

**RAINFALL VARIABILITY IMPACTS ON RICE PRODUCTION: A
CASE STUDY OF RICE YIELD IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE UNIT
OF COLLINES IN BENIN, WEST AFRICA**

BY

AGNONTHEME ABIOLA INNOCENT

**A RESEARCH PROJECT SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE OF MASTER OF ART IN ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT IN THE
DEPARTMENT OF GEOGRAPHY AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES, UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI**

OCTOBER 2018

DECLARATION

This research project is my original work and it has never been presented for examination in any other University or institution.

_____ Date ____/____/____

AGNONTHEME, ABIOLA INNOCENT
(C50/82154/2015)

This research project has been submitted for examination with our approval as University supervisors.

_____ Date ____/____/____

Dr. Isaiah A. Nyandega
Department of Geography and
Environmental Studies
University of Nairobi

_____ Date ____/____/____

Dr. Gilbert Ouma
Department of Meteorology
University of Nairobi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisors Dr Isaiah Nyandega and Dr Gilbert Ouma. Your, patience, criticisms, guidance, and encouragement made this work successful.

I am also indebted to the lecturers in the Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, especially Dr Samuel Owuor, Dr Boniface Wambua, and Dr John Kioko Musingi for their encouragement during the course work.

My profound gratitude to Dr Christopher Pauw, Coordinator of Trans disciplinary Training for Resource Efficiency and Climate Change Adaptation in Africa (TRECCAfrica I) project(Stellenbosch University); Prof Ogara Director of Centre for International Program and Link (CIPL) and Ms Linnet Oeba ; Prof Shem O. Wandiga, Director of Institute for Climate Change and Adaptation (ICCA); Dr Sander Zwart (Africa Rice Centre); Ms Venerande Goudjo Chief of Direction of Agriculture and Statistic of Ministry of Agriculture Livestock and Fisheries; Mr Pierre Dacko, Chief of National Meteorological Direction; All Councilman Districts of Administrative Unit of Collines and sub officers of agriculture, all rice farmers and field assistants who participated in the study.

To my family especially Mondukpe Moulero, Reine Hounoukon, Freid and Qeren Agnontcheme for their love and supporting my long absence.

Finally, sincere thanks to my colleague in Kenya; Clifford, and friends Sero Abou-Bakari, Arsene Dansou, Fadei Christian, and Assifa Idrissou for your love, moral and financial support.

ABSTRACT

Rice is considered as key crop in the strategic planning to revitalize the agricultural sector to a level of self-sufficiency, reduce poverty as specified in the National Rice Strategic Plan. To place rice as a strategic crop in Benin, there is need to have information on both physical and human factors that influence production.

This study aims to determine the impact of inter-seasonal rainfall variability on rainfed rice yield in Collines. In order to achieve these purpose three objectives were considered; establishing the nature of spatial and temporal variation of inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield, determining farmers' perception on agro-calendar and to determine the relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall and rainfed rice yield in districts of Collines. Different types of datasets were used; Secondary dataset - Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission dataset calibrated (correlation coefficient $r = 0.86$) with in situ measurement of weather station of Save for the same period, data on annual yields and total rice growing acreage; Primary data of 100 rainfed rice farmers were randomly and purposively interviewed on rainfall season, rice growing season, constraints and factors affecting production. The primary and secondary data sets were transformed and subjected to descriptive statistics, correlation analysis and simple linear regression analysis to determine impacts of inter-seasonal rainfall over a time period 1998 to 2015.

The results shows inter-seasonal rainfall is decreasing (coefficient of determination, $r^2 = 0.15$) and rice yield is increasing ($r^2 = 0.18$) within the six districts with coefficients of rice yield variability are; Bantè (0.22), Dassa-Zoumè (0.24), Savalou (0.21), Ouèssè (0.32), Glazoué (0.35) and Savè (0.36). Rainfed rice farmers responses (68%) of three districts (Bantè, Savalou and Glazoué) indicate rainfall and rice yield are decreasing within the districts and 100% blame a shift in agro-calendar onset. Finally inter-seasonal rainfall variability impacts varies differently from district to another but statistically significant for Ouèssè where 29.6 % of inter-seasonal rainfall variability are explaining rice yield variability in a district of Ouèssè, 70.4% remaining are attributed to other unexplained factors such as soil, farming methods, planting date, weeds, seed varieties, pest and diseases.

The study concludes that the decreasing rate of inter-seasonal rainfall and impacts have not reached a critical level to induce significant impacts on the rice yields within districts of the Administrative Unit of Collines. The study recommends a need to invest in rainfed rice insurance scheme, rice farmers' capacity building on farming practices and the irrigation scheme for the Collines region in future.

LIST OF ACRONYMS

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| AUC | Administrative Unit of Collines |
| AMO | Atlantic Multi-decadal Oscillation |
| AO | Artic Oscillation |
| ARC | Africa Rainfall Estimate Climatology |
| ASECNA | Agency for Aerial Navigation Safety in Africa and Madagascar |
| BRGM | Beninese Office of Geological and Mining Research |
| CARD | African Coalition for Rice Development |
| CCFS | Climate Change and Food Security |
| CENATEL | National Centre for Tele detection |
| CHIRPS | Climate Hazards Group Infra-Red Precipitation with Stations |
| EMICoV | Integrated Household Living Conditions Survey |
| ENSO | El Nino Southern Oscillation |
| FAO | Food and Agricultural Organization |
| GES | Geological Earth Survey |
| HDI | Human Development Index |
| INSAE | National Institute of Statistic and Economic Analysis |
| IOD | Indian Ocean Dipole |
| IPCC | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change |
| ITF | Inter-Tropical Front |
| MAE | Mean Absolute Error |
| MALF | Ministry of Agriculture Livestock and Fisheries |
| NAO | North Oscillation Atlantic |
| NAPA | National Adaptation Plan Action |
| NASA | National Aeronautics Space Administration |
| NERICA | New Rice for Africa |
| NRDS | National Rice Development Strategy |
| OXFAM | Oxford Committee for Famine Relief |
| PDO | Pacific Decadal Oscillation |
| PERSIANN | Precipitation Estimation from Remotely Sensed Information using Artificial Neural Networks |
| PSRA | Strategic Plan to Revitalize the Agriculture Sector |
| RFE | African Rainfall Estimation |
| RMS | Root Mean Square |
| RMSE | Root Mean Square Error |
| SCRIP | Growth Strategy for Poverty Reduction |
| TAMSAT | Tropical Applications of Meteorology using SATellite |
| TARCAT | African Rainfall Climatology and Time series |
| TMPA | TRMM Multi-satellite Precipitation Analysis |
| TRMM | Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission |
| UNFCCC | United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change |
| WARDA | West Africa Rice Development Association ex AFRICA Rice Center |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| DECLARATION | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iii |
| ABSTRACT | iv |
| LIST OF ACRONYMS | 1 |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | 2 |
| TABLE OF FIGURES | 5 |
| LIST OF PLATES | 6 |
| LIST OF TABLES | 7 |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION..... | 8 |
| 1.1. Background of the Study..... | 8 |
| 1.2. Statement of the Problem | 10 |
| 1.2.1. Research Questions..... | 11 |
| 1.3. Study Objectives | 11 |
| 1.3.1. Main Objectives..... | 11 |
| 1.3.2. Specific Objectives | 11 |
| 1.4. Study Hypotheses..... | 11 |
| 1.5. Study Justification | 12 |
| 1.6. Scope and Limitation | 13 |
| 1.7. Operational Definitions | 14 |
| CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | 15 |
| 2.1.1. Rainfall Variability | 15 |
| 2.1.2. Rainfall Variability and Agriculture | 17 |
| 2.1.3. Rice Production | 20 |
| 2.1.4 Rice Crops and Rainfall Variability | 21 |
| 2.1.5. Research with Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission | 22 |
| 2.2. Theoretical and Conceptual Framework | 24 |
| 2.2.1. The Theoretical Framework | 24 |
| 2.2.2. The Conceptual Framework of study | 25 |
| CHAPTER THREE: STUDY AREA | 27 |
| 3.1. Location and Size | 27 |
| 3.2. Geology and Soil..... | 28 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 3.3 Climate and Drainage..... | 30 |
| 3.3.1 Climate..... | 30 |
| 3.3.2 Drainage..... | 31 |
| 3.4. Vegetation | 33 |
| 3.5.1 Population..... | 34 |
| 3.5.2. Agriculture..... | 34 |
| 3.5.2 Other Economic Activities | 35 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: METHODOLOGY | 36 |
| 4.1 Study Design | 36 |
| 4.2. Data Types and Sources | 36 |
| 4.3. Data Collection..... | 37 |
| 4.3.1. Pilot Survey | 37 |
| 4.3.2 Target Population and Sample Size..... | 37 |
| 4.3.3. Data Collection Instruments | 39 |
| 4.3.4. Sampling Procedure..... | 40 |
| 4.4. Data Processing and Analysis | 43 |
| 4.4.1. Data Processing | 43 |
| 4.4.2. Data Analysis Techniques | 46 |
| 4.4.2.1 Inter-Seasonal Rainfall and Rice Yield Variation | 46 |
| 4.4.2.2 Agro-calendar in Collines | 46 |
| 4.4.2.3 Rainfall Variability and Rainfed Rice Yields | 46 |
| CHAPTER FIVE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS..... | 49 |
| 5.1. Inter-Seasonal Rainfall Variation in Collines | 49 |
| 5.1.1. Monthly Variations of Rainfall..... | 49 |
| 5.1.2 Inter-Seasonal Rainfall Variation | 50 |
| 5.2.3 Temporal Variations of Rainfed Rice Yields | 52 |
| 5.2. Farmers' Perception on Agro-calendar | 53 |
| 5.2.1. Rice farmers Profile in the Administrative Unit of Collines (AUC)..... | 53 |
| 5.2.2 Rainfall and Rice Growing Season..... | 56 |
| 5.2.3 Rice Constraints and Strategies of management | 59 |
| 5.3. Rainfall Variability and Rainfed Rice Yield | 60 |
| 5.3.1. Trend Analysis between Rainfall Variability and Rainfed Rice Yield | 60 |

| | |
|---|----|
| CHAPTER SIX: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 68 |
| 6.1. Summary | 68 |
| 6.1.1. Inter-seasonal Rainfall and Rice Yield Variation..... | 68 |
| 6.1.2. Farmers’ Perception on Agro-calendar..... | 68 |
| 6.1.3. Rainfall Variability and Rainfed Rice Yield. | 69 |
| 6.2. Conclusion..... | 69 |
| 6.3. Recommendations | 70 |
| 6.3.1. Policy Recommendations | 70 |
| 6.3.2. Research..... | 70 |
| REFERENCE..... | 71 |
| APPENDICES | 74 |
| APPENDIX I: TYPES OF QUESTIONNAIRES | 74 |
| APPENDIX Ia: RICE FARMERS QUESTIONNAIRE | 75 |
| APPENDIX Ib: INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE | 79 |
| APPENDIX I c: GUIDE OF FOCUS GROUP DISCUSSION | 82 |
| APPENDIX IIa: TRMM DATA CHARACTERISTIC | 85 |
| APPENDIX IIb: TRMM DATA CALIBRATION WITH IN SITU DATA AND CATEGORICAL STATISTIC..... | 86 |
| APPENDIX III: DATA TRANSFORMATION | 87 |
| APPENDIX IV: SAMPLE FRAME OF COLLINES..... | 88 |
| APPENDIX VI: RESEARCH PERMIT | 94 |
| APPENDIX VII: DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY FORM..... | 95 |
| APPENDIX VIII: PLAGIARISM REPORT | 96 |
| APPENDIX IX: PROOF OF REGISTRATION..... | 98 |

TABLE OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.1: Theoretical Framework of Climate Change and Food Security..... | 25 |
| Figure 2.2: Conceptual framework of Rainfall Variability Impact on Crops Yield | 26 |
| Figure 3. 1: Administrative Unit of Collines location | 28 |
| Figure 3. 2: Geology of Benin | 29 |
| Figure 3. 3: Hydro-graphic Network of Benin..... | 32 |
| Figure 5. 1: Monthly Accumulated rainfall within district of Administrative Unit of Collines | 49 |
| Figure 5. 2: Inter-seasonal rainfall in the Administrative Unit of Collines | 51 |
| Figure 5. 3: Temporal Variation of rice yield in the Administrative Unit of Collines | 52 |
| Figure 5. 4: Scatterplot analysis between rainfall variability and rainfed rice yield in Collines | 60 |
| Figure 5. 5: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Bantè..... | 61 |
| Figure 5. 6: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Dassa-Zoumè | 62 |
| Figure 5. 7: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Glazoué..... | 63 |
| Figure 5. 8: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Ouèssè..... | 63 |
| Figure 5. 9: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Savalou | 64 |
| Figure 5. 10: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Savè | 65 |

LIST OF PLATES

| | |
|---|----|
| Plate 4.1: Interview of Rice farmer at Savalou | 42 |
| Plate 4.2: Interview with field assistant at Glazoué..... | 42 |
| Plate 4 3: Focus group discussion at Bantè..... | 43 |
| Plate 5. 1: Women applying fertilizer to rice crop in District of Bantè | 55 |
| Plate 5. 2: Variability of rice planting in Bantè (5.1a in May) and (5.1b in mid-July)..... | 59 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 4.1: Summary of data used and their characteristics | 37 |
| Table 4.2: Potential Sample size in each district | 39 |
| Table 4.3: Sample distribution in each district | 39 |
| Table 4.4: Farmers perception measurable indicators | 41 |
| Table 4.5: Variable and Modes of Analysis..... | 45 |
| Table 5. 1: Summary statistic of inter-seasonal rainfall in Collines over the 1998–2015 time periods..... | 51 |
| Table 5. 2: Summary statistic of rice yield in Collines over the 1998–2015 time periods. | 53 |
| Table 5. 3: Distribution of rice farmers by sex in Collines..... | 54 |
| Table 5. 4: Distribution of rice farmers by their age groups in Collines | 55 |
| Table 5. 5: Distribution of rainfed rice farm size by the districts surveyed..... | 56 |
| Table 5. 6: Farmers perception on rainfall season and rice growing season in Collines.. | 57 |
| Table 5. 7: Farmers perception on rainfall variation in time in Collines..... | 57 |
| Table 5. 8: Farmers perception rice yield variation in time in Collines | 58 |
| Table 5. 9: Farmer’s perception on rice constraints and strategies of management | 60 |
| Table 5. 10: Correlation Coefficients between inter-seasonal rainfall and rainfed rice yield..... | 65 |
| Table 5. 11: Regression statistics of inter-seasonal rainfall and rainfed rice yield..... | 66 |

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background of the Study

Rainfall variability spatially and temporally is one of the common indicators of climate that has effects both socially and ecologically (IPCC 2007). Extreme rainfall variability triggers environmental challenges such as flood, erosion, drought and desertification, which have serious effect on crop yield(IPCC 2007). Today rainfall occurrences are becoming unpredictable and unreliable with projected significant decreasing trend for agriculture productivity (Parry et al, 2004).

According to the IPCC (2012) prediction on rainfall variability impacts projected by 2050 indicate the declining rate on the staple food in Sub-Saharan Africa, a rate counts for 14% of rice, 22% of wheat and 5% for maize pushing many people to depend on rainfed agriculture for subsistence in deeper poverty and vulnerable condition (IPCC, 2012). Some extends of rainfall variability is expected in many part of the world with extreme events; increasing frequencies of heat stress, drought and flooding events with potential adverse effects on agriculture system (Parry et al, 2004).

Sub-Saharan African as a region is more vulnerable to rainfall variability events due to increasing population and inadequate national production system (Spearman et al, 2012) and the West Africa which located within equatorial belt where rainfall is spread throughout the year have experienced rainfall deficits within many countries. Servat et al (1998) indicated that a serious deficit from 20 to 25% on rainfall variability since 1960 which had a starting point in 1970 across West Africa region brought about significant impacts on hydrological system, socioeconomic and environmental sectors.

Benin is located within the equatorial belt region of West Africa and Administrative Unit of Collines and relies on rainfed agriculture and is not exempted from the declining condition of rainfall impacts on livelihood and livelihood strategies (Eric Servat et al., 1998). Ogouwalé et al (2005) ; Vodounou et al (2011) indicated that at national level since the late 1960 the annual height of rainfall was decreasing with potential changes in hydrological system and therefore agricultural production over the country. Annual

rainfall is ranging between 1000mm to 1300mm and differs from district to district and is progressing from southern part to the north part of the country.

The explorative studies in general perspective do not focus on the rate of these changes in space and time for crops varieties producing across an administrative unit of the country to anticipate the food crisis. Obviously the food crisis that occurred in 2008 at national level with significant impacts on increasing population accelerated some mitigation initiatives that made ways to development of policies to boost agricultural production through the intensification of farming systems with particular emphasis on rice sector development (Republic of Benin, 2011; 2007; MALF, 2010). National Rice Development Strategy therefore have been setting up with crucial objective of producing 385 000 tons of milled rice and reach a level of self-sufficient by 2020. Careful assessments on the strategic plan indicated a need of investigation of climate action on rice production within rice growing districts specifically rainfed but the key of strategic plan were based only on intensification of rice production through facilitation of suitable and timely credit (MALF, 2011) to small rice farmers.

Little information is known on the rice production and especially on rainfall variability impacts in space and time in central part of country. In addition, rice is considered a strategic crop there is a need to investigate the potential rainfall influences on rice production as critical information for rice development policy. The study covered the Administrative Unit of Collines with its six districts. As such inter-seasonal rainfall variation was considered for the period of 1998 to 2015 and assessed using proxy dataset after validating with the rain gauge dataset of the Administrative Unit of Collines. Panel data on the six districts of annual production of rice yield was also assessed from the 1998 to 2015. The studies have also considered farmers perception through a survey on rainfall seasons, growing season and rice production constraints and management strategies for in-depth understanding of rainfall influences on rice yield in the Administrative Unit of Collines.

1.2. Statement of the Problem

Rice production is an economic activity in Collines where farming is exclusively rainfed. Rainfall variability is therefore a threat to rice yield associated with uncertainties of the past and future climate condition in Collines. This study addressed the role of climate impacts measured in terms of rainfall variability on rainfed rice yields. Specifically the study addressed how inter-seasonal rainfall variability, impact on rice production in terms of changes and in the farmers perceptions on agro-calendar and constraint on rice production, and the nature of relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall variability and rice yields in the Collines area of Benin, all in spatial and temporal context.

Studies carried out at the various levels on rainfall variability regimes warned about possible consequences on agricultural production. In this regard, Le Barbé *et al* (2002) pointed out the declining rate of inter-annual rainfall variability in West Africa, and Ogouwalé *et al* (2005); Vodounou *et al* (2011) stressed the declining trend of annual rainfall height in Benin and trends of wet years in 1950 and dry conditions in 1960 that justified the changes observed in hydro-climatic conditions which would probably be a combination effects of food crisis observed in 2008. Little of those studies have focused on climate issue with emphasis on rainfed rice production in the Administrative Unit level where rainfed rice has been grown for decades.

The main goal of the study was to determine climate variability influence especially inter-seasonal rainfall impacts on rice yield in the Administrative Unit of Collines by providing background information for rice policy development and future agricultural planning. Understanding therefore the influence of rainfall variability and farmers perception could favour the potential rice productivity and profitability for the Administrative Unit of Collines which experiences a bimodal rainy season from March to July for the major rainfall season and minor rainfall season from October to November. The inter-seasonal rainfall in this study covered the two rainy seasons, roughly from March to November which is also the period of rice growing in the Administrative Unit of Collines.

The challenge is therefore to investigate the impacts of inter-seasonal rainfall fluctuations on the growth and yield of rice that depends entirely on rainfall in the districts of Bantè, Save, Savalou, Glazoué, Ouèssè and Dassa-Zoume under Administrative Unit of Collines. The results of this study are critical as they contribute to rice yield improvement, effective policy and program for National Rice Development Strategies.

1.2.1. Research Questions

1. Are there significant changes in the inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yields in Administrative Unit of Collines?
2. Are there significant changes in farmer perceptions on agro-calendar in Administrative Unit of Collines?
3. What is the relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall variability and variation of rainfed rice yields Administrative Unit of Collines?

1.3. Study Objectives

1.3.1. Main Objectives

To determine the impact of inter-seasonal rainfall fluctuations on rainfed rice production in Administrative Unit of Collines in Benin.

1.3.2. Specific Objectives

The main objective of this research outlines the following specific objectives:

1. To establish the nature of spatial and temporal variations of inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Collines.
2. To determine farmers' perception on agro-calendar in Administrative Unit of Collines.
3. To determine the relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall variability and rainfed rice yield in Administrative Unit of Collines.

1.4. Study Hypotheses

1. There are no significant changes in the inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yields in Administrative Unit of Collines.

2. There are no significant changes in farmer perceptions on agro-calendar in Administrative Unit of Collines.
3. There is no relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall variability and variation of rainfed rice yields in Administrative Unit of Collines.

Significant tests in all cases were at α 0.05.

1.5. Study Justification

Rice is considered as staple food and part of daily consumption intake in many countries in Sub-Sahara Africa, where rice is considered a major food crop and commercial crop, for example in West Africa regions and beyond (Tshibaka and Klevor, 2002), it is given special attention as one of the strategic crops both for food security, opportunities in the Administrative Unit of Collines and mostly in the commercial agri-business activities done around the regional market of Glazoué.

In the view of the National Rice Development Strategy, it has been assigned a crucial goal to increase rice production and support the Growth Strategy for Poverty Reduction and mitigate potential future food crisis, investigation on all rice aspects becomes an urgent need to be fulfilled. In addition, regional prediction and few at national level warned about the declining trends of inter-annual rainfall with strong implication in agricultural system (Le Barbé *et al*, 2002; Ogouwalé *et al*, 2005 and Vodounou *et al*, 2011). Benin being predominantly rainfed with traditional agriculture system being practiced by farmers (Igué, 2000), the rainfall variability in the future might be challenge and constitute limited factors in the rice productivity if no clear understanding were made on climate variability.

The findings of the study are critical for policy makers such as the Ministry of Agricultural Livestock and Fisheries, especially the National Rice Development Strategy to understand and appreciate the inter-connections through rainfall variability on rice production at the Administrative level. The study findings would facilitate the improvements of development approaches; agenda and policies in agricultural sector through a creation of favourable condition for rice farmers in the sector and strengthen the National Rice Development Strategy, to achieve rice sufficiency and poverty

alleviation. Finally the findings will also serve as background information on the rainfall variability on rainfed rice production.

1.6. Scope and Limitation

The study covered six districts of Administrative Units of Collines such as Bantè, Savè, Savalou, Glazoué and Ouéssè where farming has been rainfed rice production since more than two decades and organized in individual and small group of rice growers. The data covered 18 years due lack of historical and reliable dataset on rice yield within the six districts therefore the period from 1998 to 2015 was adopted during all analyses.

Climate data on rainfall was mainly on monthly basis acquired from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission and calibrated with in situ monthly dataset at Savè weather station, which is one of the six reference ground station of the National Meteorological Direction. The rainfall data covered the same period of rice growing, since rainfall is key determinant factor in rainfed agriculture in the Administrative Unit of Collines. Rainfall was considered as independent variable and rice yield as dependent variable, farmers' perception on agro-calendar is considered as parameters to understand in space and time rainfall influence on rice yield. However primary data collections have needed field assistant to translate questionnaire to local media communication due to language barrier and high illiteracy amongst rice farmers and due to unpredictable event the sample size expected was not reached out.

The study restricted to those variables hasn't taken into account other factors like temperature, relative humidity, sunshine, soil moisture, pests and disease, management practices.

1.7. Operational Definitions

Agro-calendar: is a complex including rainfall onset, period of rice planting and harvesting within the districts of Collines.

Farmer perception: are an opinions or views of rice farmers at the level of the study in relation to their experiences with rainfall season and rice growing season both measured in term of relative frequencies within the districts of Collines.

Gridded data: is a format of data which is represented in form of regular or non-regular point usually in cell. In this study the gridded data used was monthly rainfall in form of raster images with a regular cell of 0.25 degree 0.25 degree.

Inter-seasonal rainfall: Total annual rainfall for each year extending from March to November within the districts of the Administrative Units of Collines.

Rainfall Impact: results of high and low rainfall on rice yield within the districts of Collines over the 18 years.

Rainfall Variability or Variation: is a degree to which rainfall amounts vary across an area over a specific period of time. In this study it is the amount of inter-seasonal rainfall variation within the districts of administrative unit of Collines measured over a period of 18 years.

Rainfed: practice that relies on seasonally rainfall water

Rice Yield: an estimated quantity of rice (e.g. value) obtained by farmer during the growing season measured in standard unit such as kg/ha, tons/ha, etc.

Time series: is a statistical term used to the set of numerical data points in time order. In this study, inter-seasonal rainfall, annual rice yield were organized as data point over the period of 18 years.

Trend: significant and temporal variation from a defined period 1998 to 2015, also general direction (increasing/decreasing) or pattern taking by rainfall or rainfed rice yield over this period of time.

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Introduction

The literature review is organized topically from global picture to local picture as guided by the topic, the problem, the hypotheses and the methodology. The topics on which the review has been based are: rainfall variability; rainfall variability and agriculture; rice production; rice crops and rainfall variability, TRMM data.

This literature review was meant to provide general information on rainfall variability and agriculture so that a better understanding of the subject in this study could be gained. The review also assisted in having some insight on current trends in rainfall variability and agriculture particularly in the tropics. Relevant research methods and associated analysis techniques have been highlighted in this review. Finally the review assisted in identifying the gaps in rainfall variability and agriculture especially in Benin.

2.1.1. Rainfall Variability

Rainfall variability is an extent to which rainfall amounts vary across an area over a period of time. The concept of rainfall variability was originated both from climatology and meteorology and entails two variation scale known as areal and temporal. As such rainfall constitutes one of a critical factors determining climate characteristic of the area. The study made the use of areal and temporal in determining rainfall variability within the districts of Collines over the time period of 18 years. Cabas et al(2009 consider it in terms of various time scales from daily to intra and inter-seasonal to decadal scales. Ebi et al (2005). The variation of rainfall however, can be much more difficult to predict than temperature due to various combinations both natural and human factors.

Davis, (2011) argued that the variability of rainfall can be induced through natural processes within the climate system, which are the additional influences of human activity. In the same point of view Shaviv and Veizer, (2003) clarified that the variations of earth climate system alternate between cold conditions and warm at astronomical time scale. Shaviv and Veizer, (2003) explained that these variation were consequences of continuous shifts in the earths as it orbits around the sun and its rotational axis. Rainfall regime is therefore linked with seasons that occurred at different times of the year (Davis,

2011). Some of the manifestations are resulting in El Nino effects and as such major types of the El Nino were causing internal variations in the climate system, as declared by Diaz *and* Cabido (2001); Wanner *et al.* (2001) that include the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), the Arctic Oscillation/North Atlantic Oscillation (AO/NAO), the Atlantic Multi-decadal Oscillation referred to as AMO, as well as the Pacific Decadal Oscillation known as PDO.

Nonetheless, among the above mentioned causes, Li *et al.* (2011) pointed out ENSO as the strongest natural fluctuations of climate on inter annual timescales, which lead to different global weather consequences on the human food system. Aside ENSO, it is well known that volcanic eruptions have numerous impacts on the climate system (Church *et al.*, 2005).

According to the IPCC (2012), there is evidence that alterations in climatic extremes are most correlated with anthropogenic influences. Stott *et al.* (2011) also attributed the significant increase in the observed trends in temperatures experienced in many areas where human action affect environmental resources. The evidence provided by the IPCC (2012) and Stott *et al.* (2011) give a clear perspective on how human activities have a greater impact on the climate system and therefore on the food system. Most scholars have credited the influence of human activities on the climate system to the industrial development which provides most capabilities to control the forces of nature and manage their own environment (FAO, 2008). Humans have created artificial microclimates, in which plants are grown and breeding new race of animals with desired characteristics, improved soil quality through toxic fertilizers, and controlled the flow of water through development of irrigation schemes to further enhance man's activities on earth (FAO, 2008). These human activities have greatly affected climate variables due to land degradation, deforestation and biomass burning which fuel the intensity of rainfall event and other associated extreme events (Vermeulen *et al.*, 2012).

Vermeulen *et al.* (2012) explains that anthropogenic aerosol emissions are another important influence on climate, particularly on the intensity of rainfall event. For example, Li *et al.* (2011) research findings indicated a strong association between

atmospheric aerosols loading on extreme rainfall events for the United States Great Plains.

In contrast Sub-Saharan Africa particularly West Africa regions, have not yet elucidated the declining rainfall factors in rainfall regimes but the deficit was attributed to rainfall variability observed since 1960 (Le Barbé *et al.*, 2002). Odekunle (2007) had shown the relationship between rainfall variability on crop yield in Guinea Savannah part of Nigeria. Barry *et al.*, (2018) assessed the causes of variability through rainfall and temperature indices and came up with a conclusion that West Africa climate variability is due to a combination effects of human activities, land use and increasing of greenhouse gas over a region.

Like Wossen *et al.*, (2018), Adamgbe *et al.*, (2013) and Akpan *et al.*, (2013) from West Africa have demonstrated the important role of climates variability on food security. Benin publication in that way, counts little background information at administrative level on climate variability impacts on food system despite a potential threats on hydrological and agricultural system warned by Ogouwalé *et al.* (2005), Vodounou *et al.* (2011).The authors attempted to justify declining trend of rainfall height but the study lack to highlight some potential crops in view of threats under the scenario of rainfall variability. As such climate variability especially rainfall variability impacts is therefore needed to understand rainfall variation to further anticipate mitigation plans within farming districts dominated by traditional agricultural system.

2.1.2. Rainfall Variability and Agriculture

Rainfall variability effects is likely to impact many development sectors according to IPCC, (2012) but the most obvious was predicting adverse effects on agriculture and therefore on human system livelihoods and livelihoods strategies (Cooper *et al.*, 2008).

Rudolf and Hermann (2009), Molua and Lambi, (2007) added that physical factors variation could impact agriculture and become detrimental to crop production, for example soil fertility and moisture could be affected directly by rainfall variability. Sub-Saharan Africa agricultural production system which is rainfed was found likely to feel more impacts of rainfall variability (Cooper *et al.*, 2008). West African, and

Administrative unit of Collines as well were not exempted from the variability in rainfall regimes with probable effects on it rice production system.

Ayoade (1993) explaining a need to considered main factors of climate variables as limiting agriculture productivity, most are known as abiotic factors such as rainfalls, solar radiation, wind, temperature, relative humidity and other factors known as biotic factors causing also adverse impacts on crop distribution and productivity. Rainfall and Temperature however are predominant variables controlling agriculture system with significant variation impacts at any stage of crop growth, influencing farming output from cultivation up to harvesting stage.

Acquaah (2010) illustrated that abiotic environmental stresses are responsible and counted about 70% of yield reduction of crops in production. In addition De La Pena et al, (2007) argued that the potential threat of climatic changes added to the severity of environmental stress imposed on crops productivity, and concluded that rainfall patterns and temperature trends are important factors and determinant for crop management. Lobell et al. (2007) used a model based approach integrated climatic variable with five most growing crops such as wheat, maize, barley and rice, concluded that recent warming have produced the negative response of climate on those crops yield. Thus authors came up with the need to combine climate response with changes in economy and other conditions like crop management to improve a model performance. However Aziz et al.(2014) found through their study in the South- Eastern region of Bangladesh that temperature has less effect on crop production which indicate other variables like salinity and soil condition effect are prominent on *Aman* rice production. Through all these empirical studies, it important to conclude the rainfall variability impacts differs from region to region (IPCC, 2007).

Furthermore Verón et al. (2015) pointed out a need to considered some other aspects of temperature while attempting to study the relationship between climate and crop yield. The authors therefore considered rainfall and diurnal temperature relative for three crops all in temporal context. According to the results, the median yield loss estimated over forty year period was amounted to 5.4% for maize, 5.1% for wheat and 2.6% for soy.

IPCC 2007 report have long predicted those changes in Africa continent whose was more exposed to global warming effects than other continent .Besides White *et al* (1994) and Rosenzweig *et al.* (2000) have demonstrated that both rainfall and temperature plays a significant role in crops production, controlling developmental stages from sprouting of seeds through vegetative growth, flowering and reproductive growth.

In addition Sivakumar *et al* (2005) have used model based approach, by combining rainfall and temperature through multi-linear regression analysis, discovered a contrasting relationship. Both rainfall and temperature indicate contrasting direction meaning that while temperature is rising rainfall is decreasing which lead to more severe impact on agricultural production system. In this regards Ceccarelli *et al.* (2010) predicted not only an effects of climate variability on the past livelihood system but also the current negative impact on food production and food quality on the poorest farmers in developing countries with high risk food insecurity.

Duku *et al.*(2015) , in contrast warn weaknesses to integrate only abiotic factors as limited factors in a model of agricultural production. Therefore the author recommends a consideration of biotic factors which were also strongly corroborated by Okafor and Fernandes, (1989). Okafor and Fernandes, (1989) suggest an integrating environmental hazards with anthropogenic component such as soil degradation (e.g. for Nigeria case) also need to be considered, proliferating insect pests and weeds as determinant factors in reducing rice productivity and therefore lowering yield.

Some empirical studies tend to link climate variability with human perception which could also influence the productivity of agriculture, farmers specifically on climate have not changed over the time in which rainfed farmers hold the climate as key in the crop productivity as indicated in the work of Moyo *et al* (2012). Farmers, in the semi-arid zone of Zimbabwe considered climate variability as the main cause of reduction in their agricultural productivity. Moyo *et al* (2012) findings contrasted the perception of farmers where no evidence could corroborate farmers' perceptions although a majority of respondent (75%) had identified climate variability as major agricultural productivity reducing factor. From Moyo *et al* (2012) it was evident that decline in agricultural

productivity in Zimbabwe could have been due to other factors apart from rainfall variability. Res and Meze-hausken (2004) apportioned blame on crop failure to farmers not adopting suitable agricultural practices for better crop yields.

West Africa have seen many scholars researching on economic indicator of climate variability; Wossen *et al* (2018) among others have demonstrated a close link between climate variability and food price volatility and its effect on householders' food security. Climate variability impacts are therefore perceptible not only at environmental context but also at socio-economical context where keys points were indicators explaining potential impacts on livelihood and livelihoods strategies.

2.1.3. Rice Production

Rice is only crop that can be grown in various conditions of environment, from the sea-level area to highest altitude landscape (De Datta, 1981). Soils and water conditions are determinant in rice cultivation and depended on the environment and a cultivar. The most growing cultivar worldwide belongs to the genus *Oriza* with *O. sativa* most species cultivated under a wide range of climatic conditions Vaughan *et al* (2008). According to FAO many range of soils are suitable for rice cultivation namely Vertisols, alfisols and ultisols, luvisols and ferralsols.

Globally two broad rice cropping systems all over the world are known, lowland or wetland and upland or dry land (De Datta, 1981). Andriessse and Fresco (1991) explained some differences rainfed system between permanent, wet rice cropping systems (lowland type) and shifting rainfed rice cropping systems (upland type). Administrative Unit of Collines practice two systems are used but upland system is the most dominated within the three districts investigated and *Nerica* (New Rice for Africa) is most cultivar grown (Researcher, Field survey, August 2017).

Rice is most grown crops all over the world, and rank second after wheat in term of area harvested (De Datta, 1981). According to Palacpac (1980), the largest area of rice growing is located at to India followed by China with respectively 39.6%, 36.0%. FAO (2003) indicated Africa produce substantial amount of paddy rice about 15.08 million tons in an area of 10.23 million acre which represented approximately a third percent of

the world's total rice production. West Africa was accounted for 70.4 percent which is roughly 8.74 million acre of rice area. Rice is grown not only for its richness in calories but also for the socio-economic role it plays in rural areas as employment opportunities (FAO, 2003). But today rice consumption figures were changing and rice is being adopted as staple food in many traditional communities mainly in major cities in West Africa. Since decades rice is major source of calories intake in West Africa and comes third after maize and cassava for the continent as a whole according to Bamba *et al* (2010). Annual rice consumption for West Africa increased by 6.5% according to WARDA (2008) which raise the demand faster than anywhere in the World, fuelled by population growth (2.6% per year) and increasing diet rate to 1.1% per year (Diagne and Demont, 2013). Moreover West Africa rice consumption per capita consumption have increased from 14 kg in the 1970s to 22 kg per person per year in the 1980s, and passed almost to 32 kg per person per year in 2005. This high demand for rice in West Africa outpaced the production capacity and annually imported averaged to 8% since 1997 (WARDA, 2002).

In Benin, food consumption has changed and rice is not considered as special treat on feast days, but as daily intake both rural and urban areas. As a result, rice consumption per head has risen from 25 to 30 kg/year, or in total from 175 000 to 210 000 tons per year (MALF, 2011). Rice activities are spread all over the districts under different systems and involve different communities (Researcher, Field survey, August 2017).

Rice cropping system involved both men and women at different stages, however Minnow (1977) reported through their survey that, the rice production was gender based and women are more involved at all stages of rice production mostly involved in seedling, applied fertilizers and participated in harvesting whereas men were mainly engaged in land preparation such as ploughing, harrowing and weeding in order to increase the productivity of rice outputs.

2.1.4 Rice Crops and Rainfall Variability

Rice production in Africa is influenced by many challenges from the weather vagaries to the inputs of the production. Misari (2002) and OXFAM (2005) reported a decreasing trend in rice production as a result of pests, weeds and diseases, drought and insufficient

water control, weakness in seed management, soil fertility management, and low availability of credit facilities, farm inputs and equipment used in the farm. In addition Misari (2002) emphasized other constraints which could be explaining the decreasing productivity such as; delay in planting, harvesting, post-harvest management, marketing, inadequate extension service, inadequate rural infrastructures and ineffective farmers' organization.

Several abiotic factors such as drought, submergence, extreme temperatures, salinity and low soil fertility and add to biotic constraints such as weeds and diseases limit the continent's rice production (Duku et al., 2015). Climate variability induces many variations like increasing incidence of drought, extreme temperatures, flooding, and increasing levels of salt stress which impact rice yields (Duku et al., 2015). However, the extent of losses in production due to climate change will differ between the five rice production systems practicing in Sub-Sahara Africa; rainfed upland, rainfed lowland, irrigated lowland, mangrove swamp and deep water (Duku et al., 2015). Among these, the greatest threats to rice production due to climate change are anticipated in the rainfed rice production systems and total dependence on rainfall as a source of water (Ball et al., 1997).

2.1.5. Research with Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission

The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission satellite, TRMM-3B43-v7 is a partnership between NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration) and Japan's Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA), which estimates rainfall data for the tropics region (NASA,2014).The use of TRMM dataset is a reliable precipitation data with good spatial coverage (Louzada et al, 2018).

According to Louzada et al (2018), the Climatic Water Balance variable generated with 3B43 showed a good correlation with the gauges data. Louzada et al (2018) concluded that the use of the TRMM- 3B43 rainfall data allow a consistent characterization of the regional water availability which contributing to the agricultural planning and management, mainly fill the gaps left due to the absence of rain gauges and to possible failures in the rain gauges data series.

In the opinion of Fensterseifer and Paz, (2016) both daily and monthly comparison of the rainfall shows a good reproduction with the observed rain gauges, which over estimates some peak values. Thus, V7 is an excellent tool that complements the available ground rainfall data as drought conditions are perfectly simulated. Flood conditions as well are simulated, with some reasonable overestimations. Fensterseifer and Paz, (2016) acknowledges that TRMM 3B43 data could thus be used to improve hydrologic studies needed to better manage water resources in reducing the extreme effects of climate conditions which particularly have damaged the south region of Brazil.

A.Rahman Assyakur *et al*, (2014) compared the spatial temporal relationship of rainfall with ENSO (El Nino-Southern Oscillation) and IOD (Indian Ocean Dipole) between land and sea using linear correlation on the basis of 13 years dataset of TRMM Multi-satellite Precipitation Analysis. The results showed an interesting relationship between IOD and ENSO with rainfall in Indonesia, where the influence of ENSO and IOD started during June, July and August especially in July in the southwest of Indonesia and ended in the December, January and February period especially in January in the northeast of Indonesia.

In West Africa, M. Dembélé and S. J. Zwart (2016) has compared satellite products the Burkina-Faso using continuous statistics to evaluate the performance of seven operational high-resolution satellite based rainfall products, Africa Rainfall Estimate Climatology (ARC 2.0), Climate Hazards Group Infra-Red Precipitation with Stations (CHIRPS), Precipitation Estimation from Remotely Sensed Information using Artificial Neural Networks (PERSIANN), African Rainfall Estimation (RFE 2.0), Tropical Applications of Meteorology using SATellite (TAMSAT), African Rainfall Climatology and Time series (TARCAT), and Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) daily and monthly estimate. These previous products were compared to ground data from 2001 to 2014 on a point to-pixel basis at daily to annual time steps, and then M. Dembélé and S. J. Zwart (2016) concluded that TRMM product was good for monitoring flood condition for Burkina –Faso. Based on these empirical studies, TRMM must be found capturing the rainfall variability in Benin since specific study has been carried out and specifically in the Administrative Unit of Collines.

2.2. Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

2.2.1. The Theoretical Framework

FAO, (2008) have developed the theoretical framework which took a broader view on understanding the impacts of the seasonal variation or climate change on food security. The theoretical framework is known as the Climate Change and Food Security (CCFS) framework. This conceptual framework provided information on the interrelationships between climate change and food security (food availability, food accessibility, food utilization). Most of the variables considered in the framework are: increased of greenhouse gas concentrations (CO₂ fertilization effects), increased of mean temperatures, precipitation gradual changes, and increased of weather events in frequency and intensity.

Globally, the goal of the FAO's climate change framework is to inform and promote both regional and local dialogue about what the impacts of climate change are likely to be and what options exist for reducing vulnerability, and to provide local communities with site-specific solutions to prevent any future predicament of climate change (FAO, 2008). The theoretical framework depicted by Figure 2.1 was modified as a key point of reference for the purpose of this study. The modified version figure 2.2 is used in determining the impacts of rainfall variability on rice production in Administrative Unit of Collines at spatial context.




Figure 2.1: Theoretical Framework of Climate Change and Food Security

(Source: FAO, 2008)

2.2.2. The Conceptual Framework of study

The study used a modified version Figure 2.2 as conceptual framework which shows the factors that result in rainfall variability taking into consideration both natural factors and anthropogenic factors and how they could influence rice production in Administrative Unit of Collines. The framework highlights seven interacting elements: that is, drivers of climate variability, rainfall variability, impacts on rainfed rice production, positive effects, and negative effects.

The doubled-edged arrows which link some of the components indicate the dynamic and interactive nature of climate variability and on rice production. Thus, these components affect and are also affected by the components. The framework is limited to the study not exhaustive but dependent on rainfall variability on rice production which constitutes the

source of livelihoods of farmers at Administrative Unit of Collines. The framework explains the rainfall variability conditions with associated impacts whether there are positive or negative and its implication for rainfed rice farmers. These factors are grouped and displayed under seven elements. These are not meant to be completely exhaustive sets of all possible elements; rather these represent those that were considered in the study. The conceptual element attempt to describe graphically the linkages flowing from left drivers of rainfall variability as an independent variables to a middle rainfed rice production as (dependent variables) and at right with some probable impacts of rainfall variability. These impacts lead to some implication at rainfed rice farmer's level. These linkages or relationships are represented by arrows connecting to impacts whether positive or negative.




Figure 2.2: Conceptual framework of Rainfall Variability Impact on Crops Yield

(Source: modified from FAO, 2008)

CHAPTER THREE: STUDY AREA

3.1. Location and Size

The study area is in Benin and particularly the Collines Administrative unit geographically located within latitudes 6°30'N, 12°30'N and longitudes 1°E, 3°40'E, covered 13931 Km sq with six districts including Bantè, Dassa-Zoumé, Glazoué, Ouèssè, Savalou and Savè and a total of 297 villages. Collines is bordered to the South by the Administrative unit of Zou, Dong to the North West, to the North East is Borgou and to the East by the Republic of Nigeria and in West Togo Republic.

Figure 3.1 depicts the Administrative unit of Collines and districts location with the survey districts.




Figure 3. 1: Administrative Unit of Collines location

(Source: modified from IGN, 1997)

3.2. Geology and Soil

Collines has varied geological Precambrian meta-sedimentary to unconsolidated sedimentary. The soil components includes tropical ferruginous laying on crystalline basis with variable characteristics ferric luvisols and gleyic luvisols favourable for crop production, black and hydromorphic soils are also found in the valleys of the rivers and streams that cross the Central Benin.




Figure 3. 2: Geology of Benin

(Source: BRGM, 1978)

3.3 Climate and Drainage

3.3.1 Climate

The Climate of Collines is of equatorial rain belt that is characterized by warm temperatures and rainfall throughout the year and one should therefore expect the climate of Benin to reflect the same with some modifications due to unique local conditions.

Collines is within the inter-tropical zone experiencing typical West African climate, which with a shift between the Monsoon (from the ocean) during the warm and rainy season, and increasing intensity of the Harmattan wind that blows daily during the dry season from the Sahara. The Monsoon and the Harmattan reduces and alternates towards North and South. The Inter-Tropical Front (ITF) is the central point of precipitation that causes such alterations. Temperatures experienced annually are 26.9°C (Bantè), 27.9°C (Dassa-Zoumè), 27.°C (Glazoué), 27.1°C (Ouèssè) 27.4°C (Savalou), and 27.4°C (Savè). The temperature rises as one moves towards the northern region across the savannah and plateau into the zones.

Harmattan winds have no moisture and blows from the Sahara during December to March. Causing wilting of vegetation and a veil of fine dust hangs over the country leading to the skies to be overcast. This is the duration of time when farmers practice burning land in preparation for rice production. Collines has two climatic zones; a dry savannah zone experienced within 80° and 90°N and an average rainfall fluctuation trends of 1000 mm/year and 1200mm/year and the period of continuous vegetation growth of 200 days. A subequatorial zone covers from the Atlantic Coast through Savè (7°30'N) with rainfall totals of 950 to 1400mm per annum and a period of vegetative growth of approximately 240 days.

Administrative unit of Collines is a bimodal rainfall pattern with short and long rain season. The long rainfall extends from April to June whereby the short rainfall expands from September to October. This bi-modal regime is an emerging issue and has resulted a uni-modal season with uncertainty in the rainfall regime in this region especially with regard to non-perennial crops. Moreover the annual rainfalls respectively 1116 mm (Bantè), 1173 mm (Dassa-Zoumè), 1131mm (Glazoué), 1019 mm (Ouèssè), 1140 mm

(Savalou), and 1108mm (Savè) which are enough in improving production level for crops such as oil palm, rice, cocoa and coffee but rainfall within those districts are unevenly distributed therefore the Collines districts agriculture system might be suffering from climate variability impacts for crops production.

From district of Savè up to North, a uni-modal rainfall pattern emerges within a period of May- October which is suitable for a variety of crops and for cotton growth as well. The Administrative Unit of Collines falls within two agro ecosystems which are characterized by two rainfall peak periods; the long rains in the period March-July and; short rains in the period October to November. The normal total number of rainy days in the year varies between 80 and 110 with the hilly regions protecting from the maritime influence.

3.3.2 Drainage

Three main drainage patterns exist on the basement complex; rivers run downstream from the south to the Atlantic Ocean, which discharge into the Niger in the North and into the Pendjari in the Northwest, the larger rivers like Niger, Mono and southern parts of Ouémé until Zangnando discharge water annually whereas other rivers run seasonally during the dry season.

The figure 3.3 depicts the hydrography network across Benin. Some networks are still unknown but the major rivers are Ouémé and Couffo at the southern, Mono which forms part of the border with Togo, drains the southwest. The main rivers of northern Benin are the Niger, which forms part of the boundary with the republic of Niger and its tributaries with the Sota, Mékrou and Alibori rivers. On the coast some are estuaries Lakes Ahémé and Nokoué.

The Collines is crossed by a small hydro-graphic network and lowlands spread within the districts. Most of these networks are composed of rivers such as Zou, Agbado, Aporou and Opkpara. Nevertheless, this network with some rivers provides partial coverage of people's water needs. The Administrative Unit of Collines is well supplied in terms of water availability and therefore could not be suffering from water scarcity for the food production. However, the insalubrity of these waters exposes the population to

many diseases including the *Guinea worm* or Dracunculiasis which handicaps agricultural workers over a long period of the year.



Figure 3. 3: Hydro-graphic Network of Benin

(Source: BRGM, 2011)

3.4. Vegetation

Three vegetation zones in Collines are influenced by the rainfall patterns and the climatic zones namely; Guinea, Sudan and Sahel are .Along the Coastal or Littoral Zone exist a small stretch of coastal swamps and mangroves. Further north, within the Guinea-Congolian zone is a semi-deciduous forests and savannas. A small extent of rain forest is as a result of low rainfall amounts within the Dahomey further north, in the Southern Guinea Zone are found woodland and savannas which are dominant.

In the drier Northern Guinea Zone exists trees, shrubs and savannah type of vegetation with abundant *Isobertia doka* being dominant. There are the grass layers of the savannas which are not very tall due to regular annual bush fires. In both Guinea Zones, inselbergs with their typical vegetation characterized the landscape features. The transition from the Southern to the Northern Guinea Zone corresponds with the northern boundary of bimodal rainfall.

The Southern Sudanian Zone covers nearly the complete northern Benin. In this zone woodlands and tree savannas coexist. Furthermore, different types of gallery forests occur along rivers. Westwards from the town of Bassila, a hydrophyte enclave, between the Northern Guinean and the Southern Sudanian Zone is found. There are vegetation of the Guinea-Congolian Zone found in dry deciduous forest, forests in valleys and forms of woodland on hilltops. Northern Sudanian Zone is characterized by annual precipitation of 600 to 900 mm, savannas and woodlands are found all over.

These are covering some Administrative Unit of Collines and Zou. The dominant vegetation of the area is the wooded savannah with *Daniella oliveiri* vegetation. This vegetation is more pronounced towards the north path of the administrative Unit. The most common species today are shea trees (*Vittelaria paradoxa*), néré (*Parkia Biglobosa*) and the caïlédrat (*Khaya senegalensis*). The iroko (*Milicia excels*) (*Chlorophora excels*) has almost disappeared. The Administrative Unit of Collines is home to some classified forests, which are highly threatened by human's activities through searching fertile land; harvesting wooding fire and charcoal. The Government has launched the manmade plantation programme such as cashew (*Anacardium occidentale*), and teak wood

(*Tectona grandis*) to contribute the enhancement of agriculture and safeguarding of the forest heritage by plantations.

3.5 Socio-Economic Activities

3.5.1 Population

According to the final Report results of the third General Census of Population and Housing (RGPH4, 2013), the population of this Administrative Unit of Collines was 717.477 inhabitants. The gender distribution of this population reflects the trend observed at the national level. In fact, 50.7% are recorded for females compared to 49.3% for males with average density of 52 inhabitants per km². This density of the population varies according to the districts. It is weak in Ouèssè and Bantè: between 10 to 20 inhabitants per km² and relatively dense on the remaining part of the Administrative Unit of Collines (superior to 20 inhabitants per km²). The sex ratio is 92.2 men per 100 women. In rural areas live 78.0% of the populations against 22.0% in urban areas. The Administrative is made up of two main ethnic groups: Yoruba and related (46.8%), Fon and related (39.2%). On a religious level, Hill populations are mostly protestant Methodists (20.7%), and other Protestants (12.3%), catholic are 11.5% and 10.6% of people without any religion.

3.5.2. Agriculture

Collines agriculture has an elementary socio- economic meaning and gives work and income for the majority (around 80%) of the population (Igué, 2000). Cotton is most important cash crop but others common cash crops are oil palm, groundnuts, cashew and pineapple. Traditional agriculture systems are a main source of income in many districts and the yields depends strongly on the available biophysical condition as farmers lack the capital to compensate for natural constraints. The traditional farming systems are still dominant with little mechanization and these traditional systems constitute subsistence drivers for smallholders which generate the majority of agricultural products In the central Benin, crops like rice, mango, groundnuts or cashew are generally grown for marketing purposes and accounts for at least 60% of the total cropland.

The practice in these districts is slash-and-burn agriculture which is originated from the districts of Ouèssè, Savè and is now giving way to a cropping system based on alternating fallow-crops with the majors' crops grown like sugar cane, tobacco, maize, rice, cassava, yams, okra and vegetables, observed that in the districts of Dassa-Zoume and Glazoué, due to the nature and the importance of the hills, the demographics pressure, the cropping system is somewhat limited and giving place to the practice of fallow-crops. But the burial system of herbs during ridging is widespread in some districts (Savè, Dassa-Zoume, Glazoué and Ouèssè) and contributed significantly to the organic fertilizer. The agro-calendar is established with the rainfall season where the farm activities start in March and crop planting by April pursuing through the short rainfall season. Agro-calendar in Collines is made up of four rainfall features namely long rainy season from March to July, a short dry season from August to September, a short rainy season from October to November; and a long dry season from December to March. The inter-seasonal rainfall used in this study covered the entire period of rainfall in order to capture the variability within the districts of Collines.

3.5.2 Other Economic Activities

INSAE reported in 2003 different economic activities in the Administrative Unit of Collines, essentially agriculture is 68% followed by trade 15%. Retail trader relies mainly on the distribution of food, manufactured goods and export products such as mahogany seeds, rice and chili. Industry development is very modest and essentially based on the ginning of cotton, the main export product. There were also few emerging consumption factories. There are the following units; Glazoué ginning plant, ginning plant of Savalou, Kpanhouingnan ginning factory; Sugar Company of Savè; Rice Factory of Glazoué.

Tourism activities are not well developed and organized but the Administrative Unit of Collines is home of the Hills which has a major tourist attraction that turns around the "Savè Mamelles", the "41 hills" of Dassa-Zoumè without forgetting the cultural folklores of the communities of Maxi, Tchabè and Idatcha. There is a potential of tourism activities that could generate fund and enhance the community welfare.

CHAPTER FOUR: METHODOLOGY

4.1 Study Design

This study was based on a descriptive survey to obtain information about large group of individual rice farmers in order to determine the status of agro-calendar and constraints of rice production in Collines in relation to rainfall variability impact on rice yield. Random sampling method was used to gather information from every rice farmers and the data complimented information obtained from secondary sources. Time series analysis was established the nature of inter-seasonal rainfall variation on rice production in Collines.

4.2. Data Types and Sources

To achieve the stated objectives, different data sets from various sources including primary and secondary data were used. Secondary datasets included; Simulated rainfall data downloaded from the TRMM(2011) for a period of eighteen years (18) in each district of Collines obtained from Goddard Earth Science website, observed rainfall data from a representative weather station of Collines obtained from the National Direction of Meteorology (ASECNA), annual total rice yield and cultivated area for some districts of Collines obtained from the Direction of Agriculture Statistic of (MALF) in the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries of Benin.

The Simulated monthly total TRMM rainfall data was in in millimetre for each year and district for a temporal period 1998-01-01 to 2016-03-31. The observed daily rainfall data of Savè Weather Station was in millimeters for the period of 1995 to 2015. Annual Crop yield data was in Kilograms per hectare for each district per growing season for the period of 1995 to 2015.

Primary data was gathered from rice farmers using questionnaires, interviews, focus group discussion and field observation. The self-administered questionnaires were for each rainfed rice growing site of the districts sampled while the focus group discussion was made up of leaders from rice farmer's association. Data collected from the rice farmers were; agro-calendar situation, rice production constraints and rainfall variability and strategies to improve rice yield, field observation was made by capturing the relevant pictures. Table 4.1 recapitulates data type and source

Table 4.1: Summary of data used and their characteristics

| Data | Types | Temporal Coverage | Source |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| TRMM (Proxy) | Monthly Rainfall | 1998 to 2016 | NASA, EARTH DATA GES |
| Weather Station (In situ) | Daily Rainfall | 1995 to 2015 | ASECNA-BENIN |
| Crops Data | Annual Rice Yield | 1995 to 2015 | DSA/ MALF-BENIN |
| Field Work | Qualitative and quantitative | 2 weeks | COLLINES SURVEY |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

From Table 4.1, shows that only 18 years was found reliable as time period for analysis of inter-seasonal rainfall variability impacts on rainfed rice yield within districts of Collines.

4.3. Data Collection

4.3.1. Pilot Survey

A preliminary survey was purposively done to familiarize with the study area, administrative officers and the geographical location. It was also necessary to cross check existing information both on climate and crops through agriculture offices, agencies, online searches and documentation. These included all relevant documents reviewed, informal conversation, study areas, potential target population, and full coverage of rainfall. Then on the basis of that preliminary survey with technical assistance of Agricultural Officers, Administrative Unit of Collines was found suitable with a given data frame of 232 rice site which is potentially 232 rainfed rice farmers by the Planning and Forecasting Office of the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries of Benin..

4.3.2 Target Population and Sample Size

Proxy data: The target population of collecting data from Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission was Benin and at Collines districts level in particular including raster images (gridded). For this particular location 15 gridded data in which 219 granules were

available for the product 3B43 monthly rainfall total in NetCDF format with a size of 3.8MB. The temporal coverage available was 1998-01-01 to 2016-03-31.

Crop data: Rice yield data obtained was for 77 potential rice growing district including both irrigated rice and rainfed rice. The data was in form of annual total yield in Kilogram per hectare per district covered the period of 1995 to 2015. Then the Administrative Unit of Collines with its 6 potential rice growing districts data on rice yield was drawn considering the location of Collines.

Field data: The target population for collecting field data was rice farmers of Administrative Unit of Collines as a given data frame (appendices III). The data frame entailed three districts grouped per village and by names of each rice growing field which was itself numbered. The calculated sample size was one hundred and thirty one rainfed rice framers using the Nasuirma (2000) model in determining the sample size. The sample size was determined using the equation:

$$n = \frac{N * C_v^2}{C_v^2 + (N - 1)e^2} \quad (4.1)$$

Where N = is the target population, C_v is coefficient of variation, e = derived level of confidence. The sample frame for this study consisted of the total of 232 potential rice farmers (appendence III) for the three districts Bantè, Savalou and Glazoué as released by the Planning and Forecasting Office of MALF. The coefficient of variation is 0.5 and the level of confidence is 0.05.

$$\text{Therefore: } n = \frac{232 * (0.5)^2}{(0.5)^2 + (232 - 1)(0.05)^2} = 131.5642$$

The sample size of each district is presented in Table 4.2

Table 4.2: Potential Sample size in each district

| Districts | Total numbers of rice farmers | Sample size |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Bantè | 78 | 44 |
| Glazoué | 76 | 43 |
| Savalou | 78 | 44 |
| Total | 232 | 131 |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

In addition, to complete some of uncovered information two (2) focus group discussions were purposively made from rice farmers, leaders; and sub agricultural officers of the districts of Bantè and Glazoué. The sampling size was limited at some aspect considering many reasons such as literacy of some rice farmers, fears of strangers, accessibility and identification of rice fields, abandoned selected rice field, multiple fields belonging to same farmers and multiple same names of the rice field. Therefore the effective sampling size is depicted in Table 4.3;

Table 4.3: Sample distribution in each district

| Districts | Total numbers of rice farmers | Sample size per district | Sampled |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Bantè | 78 | 44 | 34 |
| Glazoué | 76 | 43 | 32 |
| Savalou | 78 | 44 | 34 |
| Total | 232 | 131 | 100 |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

4.3.3. Data Collection Instruments

The study used various instruments in collecting both primary and secondary data including;

Proxy data: Considering the shape file of Benin, with districts coordinates the corresponding data were retrieved using raster to point method under ArcGIS toolbox

(NASA, 2011). Email: The email was useful both in registration as NASA GES data user and also receiving climatic and crops yield dataset.

TRMM Earth Data platform was used for NASA GES data query and retrieving and data preparation for the study area. ArcGIS Raster to point tools was useful in converting raster file into desirable format and also for TRMM outputs. Flash disc, this device was used for information store, data storing.

Field data: Sample frame, the structure of sample frame was used for physical randomization and rice growing site identification within each district of Collines. Questionnaires were used to collect some information related to the topic. Field note books were used for recording information and to uncover information in the questionnaire that could be explaining the outcome of the field survey using pens and pencils, rubber. A digital Camera was used in capturing relevant field images in related with the topic.

4.3.4. Sampling Procedure

Proxy Data Acquisition: TRMM is gridded data in form of granules that was acquired using incorporated algorithm involving the adjusted TRMM merge with microwave infrared precipitation rate (in mm/hr) and Root Mean Square (RMS) precipitation error estimation. The successful procedure entailed sub-setting, re-projecting and resampling performed combining ArcGIS tool and R programming language.

Then for the six districts of Collines the monthly rainfall were retrieved for each year for a period of 1998 to 2015. The use of point pixel approach needed a recalibration process since the satellite estimation is fundamentally smoother in space and time. Furthermore there are systematic differences between point observation value pixel estimation.

Rain Gauge Data Acquisition: Savè weather station data was made available by National Direction of Meteorology (ASECNA-Benin) through email. There was daily rainfall for the period of 1995 to 2015. Thus this daily rainfall data were converted into total inter-seasonal rainfall for the purpose of calibration with proxy data.

Field data acquisition: The study made use of the simple random and purposive sampling techniques to select the rainfed rice farmers. This was by using the data frame, and then before sampling was done in each district, physical randomization was applied to data frame. This method entails writing on a piece of paper, fold and mixed thoroughly on box container then pick without checking the desired number of samples without replacement. The selected site was then cycled on data frame until the size of the district exhausted. Then followed a plan to sample the selected field whether on farm or at home which was made available through assistants of each district and sub agriculture officers. Table 4.4 below indicates farmer perception and measurable indicators.

Table 4.4: Farmers perception measurable indicators

| Variables | Indicators | Type | Measurement |
|---|--|-------------|--------------------|
| Rainfall season | Starting months, ending months | Nominal | Conversation |
| Rice growing season | Starting months, ending months | Nominal | Conversation |
| Perception about current rainfall amount | Normal, increasing, decreasing, no idea | Nominal | Option items |
| Perception about rainfall (5yrs, 10 yrs, 20yrs ago) | Normal, increasing, decreasing, no idea | Nominal | Option items |
| Perception about current rice yield | Normal, increasing, decreasing, no idea | Nominal | Option items |
| Perception about rice yield (5yrs, 10 yrs, 20yrs ago) | Normal, increasing, decreasing, no idea | Nominal | Option items |
| Rice constraints | Flood, drought, pest and diseases, weeds | Nominal | Option items |
| Main factors affecting rice production | Lack of fertilizer, poor equipment, water scarcity, seed quality | Nominal | Option items |
| Strategies for rainfall management in rice production | Improved lowland, irrigation scheme, training, funding | Nominal | Option items |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)



Plate 4.1: Interview of Rice farmer at Savalou

(Source: Researcher, 2017)



Plate 4.2: Interview with field assistant at Glazoué

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

The questionnaires were administered only to the rice farmers by face to face interactions. The rice farmers were briefed on the purpose and relevance of the study before the administration of the questionnaires. Two focus group discussions were carried out in Bantè and Glazoué to generate conversations that uncovered individual opinions regarding the effects of rainfall variability on rice production. The focus group discussions explored the same questions as in questionnaire for in depth information on

the agro-calendar, constraints in rice production, and strategies of rice yield improvement. Each focus group was made up purposively with a minimum of eight participants and a maximum of ten. The participants were purposively selected from the rice growers' communities with the help of rice farmer association leaders, and agriculture sub officers guided from each district. The purpose of the discussion was to help determine the perceptions of the rice farmers on agro-calendar expressed by the participants of the selected rice grower's communities. The researcher facilitated the discussions using a checklist prepared for this purpose assisted by field assistant. Field observation was employed to capture the social setting of respondents and the influence of the physical environment on the activities of the respondents. This was to provide insight into the interaction between respondents and their physical environment and also ascertain the realities on the ground.



Plate 4 3: Focus group discussion at Bantè

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

4.4. Data Processing and Analysis

4.4.1. Data Processing

Rainfall data preparation: Savè weather station dataset was converted to monthly total rainfall to calibrate with a proxy dataset (TRMM, TMPA/3B43) which was already in

form of monthly total through a comparison of statistics. The performance of the satellite products for the Collines was evaluated in estimating the total inter-seasonal rainfall during the period of 1998 to 2015 on the basis of categorical statistics to assess rain detection capabilities. Four statistical indicators were used, computed the Mean Absolute Error (MAE) estimates the average estimate error; a positive MAE shows that the estimated rainfall is generally overestimated, while a negative sign shows it is generally underestimated; the Bias reflects the degree to which the measured value is over- or underestimated (Duan, Bastiaanssen, and Liu 2012). The Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) is a frequently used as a measure of differences between two variables; it gives the average magnitude of the estimate errors: lower RMSE values indicate greater central tendencies and generally smaller extreme errors. Following are the mathematical formulae used for the proxy calibration (Appendices II):

The Mean Absolute Error (MAE)
$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (|E_i - O_i|) \quad (4.2)$$

The root mean square error (RMSE)
$$RMSE = \frac{1}{N} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (E_i - O_i)^2 \right]^{0.5} \quad (4.3)$$

Rice yield data preparation: Rice yield data obtained was in form of annual total yield for 77 potential rice growing district including both irrigated rice and rainfed rice. This dataset covered the period of 1995 to 2015, starting the growing season 1995-1996. In order to adjust with 18 years period of study, three growing season were removed from the data considering exclusively for the six potential rainfed districts for Collines location. Then the starting growing season for the analysis was 1998-1999 up to 2014 to 2015 growing season. The rainfed rice yield for this particular period was considered for all computations.

Field data preparation: The farmer's perception on agro-calendar was structured and coded as per the main variables as rainfall season, rice growing season, constraints and strategies of rainfed rice production which was discussed with farmers profile in order to capture the nature of rainfall variability impacts on rice yield within the districts of

Collines. The qualitative data obtained were subjected to descriptive statistic using (SPSS) version 20. The table 4.5 below summarize the variables and mode of analysis.

Table 4.5: Variable and Modes of Analysis

| Variables | Indicators and code value under SPSS | Analysis |
|---|--|---|
| Rainfall season | Starting months, ending months (1=January; 2=February; 3=March; 4=April; 5= May; 6= June; 7=July; 8=August; 9= September; 10=October; 11=November; 12=December) | Descriptive statistic Frequency tables |
| Rice growing season | Starting months, ending months (1=January; 2=February; 3=March; 4=April; 5= May; 6= June; 7=July; 8=August; 9= September; 10=October; 11=November; 12=December) | Descriptive statistic Frequency tables |
| Perception about current rainfall amount | 0=Normal;1=increasing; 2=decreasing; 3=no idea | Descriptive Frequency tables |
| Perception about rainfall (5yrs, 10 yrs, 20yrs ago) | 0=Normal; 1=increasing; 2=decreasing; 3=no idea | Descriptive Frequency tables |
| Perception about current rice yield | 0=Normal; 1=increasing; 2=decreasing; 3=no idea | Descriptive Frequency tables |
| Perception about rice yield (5yrs, 10 yrs, 20yrs ago) | 0=Normal; 1=increasing; 2=decreasing; 3=no idea | Descriptive Frequency tables |
| Rice constraints | 1=Flood; 2= drought; 3= pest and diseases; 4= weeds | Descriptive Frequency tables |
| Main factors affecting rice production | 1=Lack of fertilizer; 2= poor equipment; 3= water scarcity; 4=seed quality | Descriptive Frequency tables |
| Strategies for rainfall management in rice production | 1=Improved lowland;2=irrigation scheme;3=training;4=funding | Descriptive Frequency tables |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

4.4.2. Data Analysis Techniques

4.4.2.1 Inter-Seasonal Rainfall and Rice Yield Variation

In order to achieve the stated objectives, descriptive statistic, trend analyses methods were applied to analyse the trends in both inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield datasets transformed.

Time series plots: Time series analysis entails the use of time series graphical plots, in this study monthly total rainfall, total inter-seasonal rainfalls, and annual rice yield were plotted against 18 years from 1998 to 2015. This method is however too subjective when the variability within dataset is large. Then to minimize this variability, the Least Square Fit method was applied to data. The computation was made for each district considering rainfall season covering March to November of each year with three uncovered month for a growing season and rainfall namely December, January and February.

4.4.2.2 Agro-calendar in Collines

The farmer's perception on rainfall variability and rice yield as earlier described in the 4.1.5 were coded and submitted to descriptive statistic under *SPSS version 20*. Then the output was in form of table of frequencies with each item for the surveyed districts to facilitate easy understanding and interpretations.

4.4.2.3 Rainfall Variability and Rainfed Rice Yields

Simple correlation and linear regression techniques were applied to establish the relationship and effect of rainfall variability on rice yield in Administrative Unit of Collines. This approach has been widely used by many authors in analysing the impacts of climate variability or climate change and on food crop production (Adamgbe et al, 2013; Tunde, 2011, Rowhani et al., 2011). For example Odekunle et al (2007), has applied this approach to assess rainfall variability impacts on crops yield in Guinea savannah part of Nigeria. The variability of inter-seasonal rainfall on rice yield was determined through the use of coefficient of variation;

$$CV_p = \frac{StDev}{\bar{X}} * 100 \quad (4.4)$$

Where CV_p coefficient of variation is, $StDev$ is Standard deviation and \bar{X} is the mean over 1998-2015.

The Pearson's correlation analysis (r) was performed in order to measure the strength of the linear association between rainfed rice yield, and inter-seasonal rainfall using following the Equation, in which x represents the independent variable and y represents the dependent variable

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})(y_j - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_j - \bar{x})^2 (y_j - \bar{y})^2}} \quad (4.5)$$

Where r is the correlation coefficient x_j and y_j are the j^{th} observations for the two variables, \bar{x} and \bar{y} are arithmetic means of the observations of the two variables and n is the number of observations. The coefficient of correlation varies between +1 to -1, and complete dependency is expressed by either +1 or -1, 0 represents the complete independency of the two variables.

For this study, the regression factors used were, total inter-seasonal rainfall, and coefficient of variation for the period from 1998 to 2015, in millimeters respectively. The regression model used was simplified from Adamgbe *et al* (2013) and Rowhani *et al* (2011) model with slight modifications in terms of inputs. Simple linear regression model was used as follow:

$$(5) Y(t) = a + b_1X_1(t) + b_2X_2(t) + b_3X_3(t) + b_4X_4(t) \dots + b_nX_n(t) + e \quad (4.6)$$

Where $Y(t)$ is rice yield as depend; a = intercept; $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, \dots b_n$ = is a slope of the regression line and each b represents the amount of change in Y (Rice yield) for one unit of change in the corresponding x value when the other x values are held constant; $X_1(t), X_2(t), X_3(t), X_4(t), \dots X_n(t)$ = the independent variables (inter-seasonal rainfall, Mean

inter-seasonal rainfall, Coefficient of Variation and Growing Year respectively); and $e =$ the error terms.

In order to reduce the potential heterogeneity and stabilize the variance of error term, Natural logarithms transformations have been applied to the model. The equation is therefore:

$$\ln(Y(t)) = a + \ln b_1 X_1(t) + \ln b_2 X_2(t) + \ln b_3 X_3(t) + \ln b_4 X_4(t) \quad (4.7)$$

The Datasets obtained after transformation (appendices III) were used for Pearson correlation analysis and simple linear regression analysis under SPSS in order to establish the nature of inter-seasonal rainfall variability effect on rice yield within the districts of Collines.

To test whether the correlation and regression coefficients within those districts were statistically significant, the student's T-statistic test was applied in testing the significance coefficients of correlation and the slope of regression equations. This hypothesis testing was done at 0.05. The t-test statistic used in testing hypotheses through correlation coefficient and slopes are given in equation (4.6).

CHAPTER FIVE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Chapter five is organized in three sections. Section one discusses the inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield variation. The second section details farmers' perception on agro-calendar with on rainfall season, rice growing season, constraints and strategies for rice yield improvement on the study. The third section presents the influence of rainfall on rainfed rice production which provides a basis for understanding the impacts of inter-seasonal rainfall variability on rice yield in the Administrative Unit of Collines. Under these sections, results and discussions on inter-seasonal rainfall variation, rice yield variation and regression and correlation analyses of rainfall are presented.

5.1. Inter-Seasonal Rainfall Variation in Collines

5.1.1. Monthly Variations of Rainfall

Figure 5.1 shows Monthly total rainfall, inter-seasonal rainfall from 1998 to 2015.




Figure 5. 1: Monthly Accumulated rainfall within district of Administrative Unit of Collines

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Figure 5.1 indicates temporal variability in rainfall within six districts of Collines over 18 years. The upward trend shows increased rainfall for such district and downward trend decline in rainfall. Each of the six districts depicts two cycles of the rainfall period which are clearly identified throughout the rainfall season. The first period started from March with a peak of 120mm/month in May followed by decreasing trend in July. The second period in mid-July had an increase in rainfall to the highest peak 250mm/month in September then followed by decreasing trend from September to November. In view of most scholars, as **transiting ecological zone**, the Collines region has a uni-modal rainfall regime but the results for 18 years indicated a **bi-modal trend regime**. The highest record of monthly rainfall occurred in 1999 with a rate of 450mm/month, and almost 350mm/month in 2010 followed by monthly decreasing trend.

5.1.2 Inter-Seasonal Rainfall Variation

The amount of rainfall is critical in rice production; Figure 5.2 shows the inter-seasonal rainfall variability for each district of Collines due to variable climatic conditions. The Administrative Unit of Collines (AUC) had a decreasing trend of rainfall over the 18 years, the line of best fit is defined by an equation $-11.74x + 1231$ with a negative coefficient meaning that the rainfall amount is decreasing for all the six districts.

The results corroborates Le Barbé et al 2002 who demonstrated the decreasing trend of West Africa rainfall regime. The figure 5.2 indicates an occurrences of climatic events during the past 18 years, it is then suspected that some climatic event have occurred during the rainy season of 2001, 2005, 2009. In 2013 inter-seasonal rainfall rate was lowest but had stayed above a mean for all the six districts. The mean, maximum and minimum for each district are indicated in Table 5.1, from which all the Collines district were found to be wettest with Ouèssè recording the highest rate 1500 mm over the 18 year period time. This situation could be explained by the climatic situation of the districts which was variable within two characteristic agro-ecological zones, Northern and Southern Guinea zones with prevalence of forested areas.




Figure 5. 2: Inter-seasonal rainfall in the Administrative Unit of Collines

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Table 5. 1: Summary statistic of inter-seasonal rainfall in Collines over the 1998–2015 time periods.

| | Bantè | Dassa-Zoumè | Glazoué | Ouèssè | Savalou | Savè |
|----------------------------|-------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|------|
| Mean (mm/yr) | 647 | 626 | 625 | 645 | 649 | 625 |
| Standard Error (mm/yr) | 89 | 86 | 86 | 90 | 89 | 87 |
| Standard Deviation (mm/yr) | 527 | 511 | 510 | 531 | 529 | 513 |
| Minimum (mm/yr) | 94 | 89 | 89 | 96 | 88 | 91 |
| Maximum (mm/yr) | 1491 | 1448 | 1428 | 1537 | 1389 | 1436 |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

5.2.3 Temporal Variations of Rainfed Rice Yields

The temporal variations in annual total rice yield could be explained as a consequence of inter-seasonal rainfall variation within each district. Figure 5.3 indicates the temporal trend of rice yield over the 18 years in the districts of Collines. The upward trend corresponds to more rice yield for the respective rice growing year and downward trend show less rice yield for the corresponding rice growing year. The variety of rice grown is *Nerica* which is drought and flood resistant. The overall pattern of rice yield in the Administrative Unit of Collines showed an increasing trend by the line of best fit with an equation $29.399x + 2408$. This equation has a positive coefficient meaning that the rice yield is increasing for all the six districts.




Figure 5. 3: Temporal Variation of rice yield in the Administrative Unit of Collines

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Figure 5.3 indicates very little variations occurred during the past 18 year period where a most noticeable trend was recorded in 2011-2012 growing season most of the districts

yielded highest rate of rice; Bantè, Dassa-Zoumè, Save, Savalou and Glazoué were found to have high yielding rates above the mean. The mean, maximum and minimum of rice yield as depicted in Table 5.2, a coefficient of variation is fairly weak for Bantè (0.22), Dassa-Zoumè (0.24), and Savalou (0.21) and quite moderate for Ouèssè (0.32), Glazoué (0.35) and Savè (0.36) for a period of 18 years. This little temporal variation observed in rice yield within those districts could be explained by the variety grown and other factors such as soil, planting date, farming methods, weeds, pests and diseases.

Table 5. 2: Summary statistic of rice yield in Collines over the 1998–2015 time periods.

| | Bantè | Dassa-Zoumè | Glazoué | Ouèssè | Savalou | Savè |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| Mean(Kg/Ha) | 2815 | 2547 | 2945 | 2171 | 3045 | 2604 |
| Standard Error(Kg/Ha) | 150 | 149 | 244 | 166 | 155 | 227 |
| Standard Deviation(Kg/Ha) | 637 | 632 | 1035 | 703 | 659 | 961 |
| Minimum(Kg/Ha) | 1744 | 962 | 1800 | 1000 | 1860 | 667 |
| Maximum(Kg/Ha) | 3800 | 3582 | 5000 | 3500 | 4575 | 4497 |
| Coefficient of Variation | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.36 |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

5.2. Farmers' Perception on Agro-calendar

5.2.1. Rice farmers Profile in the Administrative Unit of Collines (AUC)

Understanding the influence of rainfall variation on rice yield necessitate a clear knowledge about the socio-demographic features of rice farmers. Table 5.3, indicates the majority of the rice farmers within the surveyed districts were females (62.0%) and the remaining 38.0% were males.

Table 5. 3: Distribution of rice farmers by sex in Collines

| Sex | Districts | | | | | | Total | Percentage |
|---------------|-----------|------|---------|------|---------|------|-------|-------------|
| | Bantè | | Glazoué | | Savalou | | | |
| | Freq | % | Freq | % | Freq | % | | |
| Male | 15 | 15.0 | 10 | 10.0 | 13 | 13.0 | 38 | 38.0 |
| Female | 19 | 19.0 | 22 | 22.0 | 21 | 21.0 | 62 | 62.0 |
| Total | 34 | 34.0 | 32 | 32.0 | 34 | 34.0 | 100 | 100 |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

The disparity observed can be explained by a period of the season where women apply fertilizer to rice crops on farms which is already late according to agro-calendar in the district (plate 5.1). This high number of women on the farm doesn't suggest that women are controlling land administration within those districts but it simply indicating that women accessed land for farming in these districts but are most interested in rice farming activities that men. According Minnow (1977) survey, the rice production was gender based and women are more involved at all stage of rice production especially in sowing seed, applying fertilizer and harvesting than men engaged mostly in land clearing, ploughing, harrowing and weeding.

These results indicate a socio-economic role of the rice production in many parts of the world specially the developing country. At some point these results were likely to be confirmed with the survey in Administrative Unit of Collines, where most of rice farmers interviewed on a rice field were women. The assumption is women are accessible to land for farming than other districts mainly in north Benin where land is exclusively subjected to cotton cultivation. Therefore women would likely be vulnerable to rainfall variability on rice production in these districts of Collines.



Plate 5. 1: Women applying fertilizer to rice crop in District of Bantè

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Table 5.4 presents the distribution of rice farmers by their age groups where the majority of rainfed rice farmers are in the range of 31-40 years count for 55.0% and 41-50 years count for 39.0%. This categorization shows that the age group from 31-50 year old are more experienced in rice farming within these districts and therefore are likely to give their views on rainfall variability impacts on rice production.

Table 5. 4: Distribution of rice farmers by their age groups in Collines

| Age groups | Districts | | | | | | Total | Percentage |
|--------------|-----------|------|---------|------|---------|------|-------|-------------|
| | Bantè | | Glazoué | | Savalou | | | |
| | Freq | % | Freq | % | Freq | % | | |
| 31- 40 yrs | 16 | 16.0 | 19 | 19.0 | 20 | 20.0 | 55 | 55.0 |
| 41- 50 yrs | 14 | 14.0 | 13 | 13.0 | 12 | 12.0 | 39 | 39.0 |
| 51 yrs> | 4 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.0 | 6 | 6.0 |
| Total | 34 | 34.0 | 32 | 32.0 | 34 | 34.0 | 100 | 100.0 |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Table 5. 5: Distribution of rainfed rice farm size by the districts surveyed

| Districts | Farm size | | | | | | | | Total | Percentage |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------|----------|-----|--------|-----|-------|------------|
| | 1-5 ha | | 5-10 ha | | 11-20 ha | | 21 ha> | | | |
| | Freq | % | Freq | % | Freq | % | Freq | % | | |
| Bantè | 26 | 26.0 | 8 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 34 | 34.0 |
| Glazoué | 17 | 17.0 | 13 | 13.0 | 2 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 32 | 32.0 |
| Savalou | 23 | 23.0 | 10 | 10.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |
| Total | 66 | 66.0 | 31 | 31.0 | 4 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

From Table 5.5 it is important to distinguish that most of farmers within the three districts are likely to grow their rice on the area of 1-5 ha, with the highest records in Bantè (26.0%) and Savalou (23.0%). Glazoué hold the record of larger size of rice cultivation with 13% for 5-10 ha 2.0% for 11-20 ha, this trend might be linked with some motivating conditions in this area where there is a big storage of rice and initiative of rice transformation is setting down. Overall results on cultivated rice areas denotes a limited agricultural technologies applied in the districts which have an impacts on rice yields ; added the effects of biophysical condition, as farmers lacking a minimum capital to compensate natural constraints (Igué et al, 2004; Nonvidé et al, 2017).

The Agricultural practice in these districts is slash-and-burn which originated from the districts of Ouèssè, Savè and is now giving way to a cropping system based on alternating fallow-crops with the major crops grown like sugar cane, tobacco, maize, rice, cassava, yams, okra and vegetables (Igué, 2000). Further Igué (2000) observed other reasons in the districts of Bantè and Savalou, likely linked with the nature of complex basement in those districts, the demographic pressure and the cropping system.

5.2.2 Rainfall and Rice Growing Season

The field investigation conference in Table 5.6 indicates that 68% of rice farmers within the three districts agreed on a slight shift in rainfall season which normally started in March, 29% of rice farmers said April and 3% in May. Whereas 88% of rice farmers declared that the rainfall season ended in July and for 12 % in November. This variability observed in farmers' response about the rainfall season occurrences could be explained by their experiences of rainfall patterns in their district. Observation during a survey

showed that rice farming was delayed in many districts of Collines. Overall, rice farmers of Savalou and Glazoué supported an idea that rainfall season is shifting from March to April and later May that led to most variability observed in space and time during the planting rice. The plate 5.1 below showed the impacts of onset in rainfall season within the same districts.

Table 5. 6: Farmers perception on rainfall season and rice growing season in Collines

| Response (%) | Bantè | Glazoué | Savalou | Overall |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Rainfall season starting | 100 | 55.9 | 58.5 | 68.0 |
| Rainfall season ending | 64 | 100 | 97 | 88.0 |
| Rice growing season starting | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Rice growing season ending | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

In details, the onset of rainfall situation have not changed in Bantè and most farmer's plant the rice in July and harvested in November. Whereby rice farmers in Glazoué, declared the rainfall onset varies from March to May but the rice growing season have not changed. Some farmers' planted rice in July and harvested in November. The same perception is shared at Savalou, where the rainfall onset varies from March to May later but the rice growing season have not changed and some farmers' starts planting rice in July and harvested in November.

Table 5. 7: Farmers perception on rainfall variation in time in Collines

| Response (%) | Bantè | Glazoué | Savalou | Overall |
|--|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Current rainfall | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Perception about rainfall 5yrs, ago | 100 | 100 | 94 | 98 |
| Perception about rainfall 10 years ago | 100 | 100 | 94 | 98 |
| Perception about rainfall 20yrs ago | 100 | 100 | 79 | 93 |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

The Table 5.7 indicates that the majority of rice farmers agreed that current rainfall amount is changing, 98% percent also have indicated that the rainfall amount was decreasing since 5 years and 10 years ago, 93% rice farmers recognized that the rainfall

amount was normal this 20 years ago whereas 7 % said they have no idea about the rainfall for the past 20 years. These result shows that farmers are much more concerned about rainfall variation in their districts and identifies each variation in space and in time.

Table 5. 8: Farmers perception rice yield variation in time in Collines

| Response (%) | Bantè | Glazoué | Savalou | Overall |
|--|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Current rice yield | 100 | 100 | 97.0 | 99 |
| Perception about rice yield 5yrs, ago | 100 | 100 | 94.0 | 98 |
| Perception about rice yield 10 years ago | 97.1 | 100 | 88.0 | 95 |
| Perception about rice yield 20yrs ago | 37.3 | 65.0 | 61.0 | 54 |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

The Table 5.8 indicates 99% of farmers within the three districts had an opinion that the current rice yield is decreasing, 98% supported that this trend began 5years ago, 95% assumed that the rate yield had been increasing for 10 years at 54%, the amount of paddy rice was increasing for the past 20 years. These opinions indicated that variability had occurred in rice yield and might probably be related to some potential factors whether biotic or abiotic. This result of survey is in line at some point with the previous temporal trend of rice yield in the Administrative Unit of Collines which shows increasing trend within the districts over the period of study. The finding indicates that the amount of changes produced by inter-seasonal variability is minimal and not detrimental for rainfed rice within these districts. Since the rainfall season have slightly changed, according to a survey, it was obvious to note that 100 percent of farmers agreed that the rice production season started in July when the rainfall was well distributed, and ended in November.



5.1a

5.1b

Plate 5. 2: Variability of rice planting in Bantè (5.1a in May) and (5.1b in mid-July).

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Picture 5.1a shows the rice at earlier ripening stage of maturity (booting or heading, milky or dough) whereas the picture 5.1b depicts rice at tillering stage due to delaying in rainfall season. In this regard some farmers avoid planting the rice on field until the rainfalls well felt within the districts.

5.2.3 Rice Constraints and Strategies of management

The rice yield constraints within the three district as illustrated in the survey was mainly due to occurrences of short drought during the rice growing season. Table 5.9 shows 96.96% of farmers' responses support idea of short drought during a planting period. This result could be explained by the delay in rainfall season and occurrences of short drought within rainy days. Then main factors affecting rice production within these districts are; 97 % poor equipment, weeds and water scarcity in certain districts; district of Bantè, and Glazoué respectively, weeds for Savalou. Rice farmers 82% therefore suggested some strategies in order to improve rice production. Most of those suggestions were development of irrigation system in relation to inter-seasonal rainfall variability to manage rainfall water, lowland improving and capacity building through training within the districts.

Table 5. 9: Farmer’s perception on rice constraints and strategies of management

| Response (%) | Bantè | Glazoué | Savalou | Overall |
|---|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| Rice constraints | 97.0 | 100 | 93.9 | 96.96 |
| Main factors affecting rice production | 94.0 | 100 | 97 | 97 |
| Strategies for rainfall management in rice production | 76.5 | 85 | 87 | 82.83 |

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

5.3. Rainfall Variability and Rainfed Rice Yield

To examine the relationship between rice yield and rainfall variability, trend analysis, correlation analysis and linear regression analysis were carried out for the period of 1998 to 2015. The transformed variables for each district were used where inter-seasonal rainfall for each district was plotted against rice yield. This method helped to find out if inter-seasonal rainfall could explain the changes in rice yield in each district of Collines. Then the strength of potential relationship was checked through simple and linear regression analysis.

5.3.1. Trend Analysis between Rainfall Variability and Rainfed Rice Yield




Figure 5. 4: Scatterplot analysis between rainfall variability and rainfed rice yield in Collines

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Figure 5.4 indicate the possible linear relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall with rainfed rice yield in all the districts of Collines over the study period. This means inter-seasonal rainfall variability could be influencing at some extends rice yield within a six districts of Collines.




Figure 5. 5: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Bantè

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Figure 5.5 depicts the association between inter-seasonal rainfall which is positive and very weak in the district of Bantè, the line of best fit is defined by the equation $y = 0.1032x + 6.2113$. The coefficient of the equation is positive, inter-seasonal rainfall variation have an influence on rice yield in the district of Bantè. However this influence of inter-seasonal rainfall is very weak shown by the coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.0257$.




Figure 5. 6: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Dassa-Zoumè

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Figure 5.6 indicates the relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall is negative and weak in the district of Dassa, the line of best fit is defined by the equation $y = -0.1921x + 8.4942$. The coefficient of the equation is negative which means inter-seasonal rainfall variation seem to have less influence on rice yield in the district of Dassa-Zoumè, which is indicated by weakness of coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.1433$.




Figure 5. 7: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Glazoué

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Figure 5.7 indicates that the association between inter-seasonal rainfall is negative and very weak in the district of Glazoué, the line of best fit is defined by the equation $y = -0.0281x + 7.2173$. The coefficient of the equation is negative showing inter-seasonal rainfall variation seem to have less influence on rice yield in the district of Glazoué. This impact is very weak ,the coefficient of determination is $R^2 = 0.0039$




Figure 5. 8: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Ouèssè

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Figure 5.8 indicates that the relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall is negative and moderate in the district of Ouèssè, the line of best fit is defined by the equation $y = -0.2832x + 9.182$. The coefficient of the equation is negative which means inter-seasonal rainfall variation has a moderate influence on rice yield in the district of Ouèssè. This impact on rice yield is high in a view of coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.3375$.




Figure 5. 9: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Savalou

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Figure 5.9 shows that the relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall is positive and weak in the district of Savalou, the line of best fit is defined by the equation $y = 0.1827x + 5.563$. The coefficient of the equation is positive and inter-seasonal rainfall variation have an influence on rice yield in the district of Savalou. However this influence of inter-seasonal rainfall is very weak according to the coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.0601$.




Figure 5. 10: Association between inter-seasonal rainfall and rice yield in Savè

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Figure 5.10 indicates that the relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall is positive and weak in the district of Savè, the line of best fit is defined by the equation $y = 0.0634x + 6.4977$. The coefficient of the equation is positive which mean inter-seasonal rainfall variation have an influence on rice yield in the district of Savè. However this influence of inter-seasonal rainfall is very weak with the coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.0295$.

5.3.2. Rainfall Variability and Rainfed Rice Yield

To examine the strength between inter-seasonal rainfall and rainfed rice yield, correlation analysis and linear regression were used in the study. Specifically Pearson correlation analysis was carried out between inter-seasonal rainfall and rainfed rice yield to test whether there is relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall variation and rainfed rice yield in each district. The results of correlation analyses are presented in Table 5.10.

Table 5. 10: Correlation Coefficients between inter-seasonal rainfall and rainfed rice yield

| Districts | Correlation Coefficient | Significance |
|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Bantè | 0.16 | NS |
| Dassa-Zoume | -0.38 | NS |
| Glazoué | -0.06 | NS |
| Ouèssè | -0.58 | S |
| Savalou | 0.24 | NS |
| Savè | 0.17 | NS |

NS= Non-Significance at 0.05, S= Significance at 0.05
 (Source: Researcher, 2017)

The significance was tested using 2 tailed tests at the 0.05 level. From the table 5.10 it is noticeable that there is positive and weak relationship between inter-seasonal rainfall and rainfed rice for the districts of Save, Savalou and Bantè but the strength is not statistically significant. Dassa-Zoume, Glazoué indicated a negative and weak relationship but not statistically significant. That means the inter-seasonal rainfall variability impacts could be negligible in these districts which is also corroborated by increasing trend observed with rice yield within those districts. However the district of Ouèssè indicates a negative and moderate impact of the inter-seasonal rainfall on rainfed rice yield. The extent and significance of inter-seasonal impact on rice yield is tested for each district through a linear regression.

The linear regression analysis determines the extent and significance of inter-seasonal rainfall on rainfed rice yield in Administrative Unit of Collines over 18 years growing period. The regression statistics are shown in Table 5.11 for each district.

Table 5. 11: Regression statistics of inter-seasonal rainfall and rainfed rice yield

| Districts | Multiple R | R Square | Adjusted Square | Significance |
|-------------|------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|
| Bantè | 0.16 | 0.026 | -0.035 | 0.52* |
| Dassa-Zoume | 0.38 | 0.0143 | 0.09 | 0.12* |
| Glazoué | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.58 | 0.80* |
| Ouèssè | 0.58 | 0.337 | 0.296 | 0.01** |
| Savalou | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.001 | 0.33* |
| Savè | 0.17 | 0.03 | -0.031 | 0.49* |

*Non-significant at 0.05; **Significant at 0.05.

(Source: Researcher, 2017)

Table 5.11 indicates that in the district of Ouèssè 29.6% of the inter-seasonal rainfall variability could be explained by the rainfed rice yield. The decreasing trend of the inter-seasonal rainfall could be affecting the rainfed rice yield in the district of Ouèssè, and other remaining percentage might be due to the combination of others climatic variable, management practices and potential effects of biotic factors.

These results findings are in line with Adamgbe et al (2013) who have statistically demonstrated a significant relationship between annual number of rain days and annual

rainfall accumulated, and positively correlated with maize yield; duration and date of rainfall cessation also showed weak correlation with maize; while the starting date of rainfall indicated negative correlation with maize. In contrast, comparing the effects of rainfall variability in the district of Gboko Nigeria estimated to 67.7% for the past 30 year in this area higher than the rate obtained in the district of Ouèssè for the past 18 years which is 29.6% on rice yield. Simply this mean, the rainfall variability is explaining at 29.6. % the rate of rice yield in Ouesse although the rice yield show increasing trend due to potential of *Nerica* to resist climate effects; and the remaining percentage is due to unexplained factors such as weeds, pest and diseases, soil, management practice.

Tunde et al, (2011) examining the effects of climatic variables on different crops in Kwara State indicated that rainfall is negatively correlated with rice, sweet potato and groundnut yield. However this association was positive for maize, millet and sorghum in the same State. These findings are contrasting with Odekunle et al, (2011) who indicates in their conclusion that for the same geographical region the impacts of rainfall variability on crops are the same for the maize crop. These disparities within the districts explained the complexes' effects of rainfall variability on crops food system.

CHAPTER SIX: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1. Summary

6.1.1. Inter-seasonal Rainfall and Rice Yield Variation.

The results of analyses produced show that inter-seasonal rainfall in Collines is decreasing in all the districts whereas rice yield is increasing over the periods of 18 years.

The decreasing trend of inter-seasonal rainfall within some districts was not statistically significant at 95% and not influencing the rate of rice yield in Bantè, Savalou and Glazoué, Dassa-Zoumè and Savè. However this rate was statistically significant in the district of Ouèssè where inter-seasonal rainfall was found explaining rice yield at 29.6 %.

The temporal results show substantial changes of rice yield with increasing trends both at Collines level and at districts level. The coefficient of variation indicated Bantè, Savalou, Dassa-Zoumè have low variation respectively 0.22, 0.25 and 0.24. Ouèssè, Glazoué and Savè have moderate variation respectively 0.32, 0.35 and 0.36 over the 18 years. But these variations are not statistically significant at 95% for five districts out of six which could probably be related to other combination factors.

6.1.2. Farmers' Perception on Agro-calendar.

The majority of rice farmers agreed the shift in the rainfall season onset, and 99% indicated that the rainfall amount was decreasing these past 5 and 10 years, 89% recognized that the rainfall amount was normal the past 20 years which was the result depicted by temporal trend analysis.

Rice yield is decreasing in a view of majority of rice farmers, that the current decreasing rate of rice yield is blamed by a delay in rainfall season, 99% of rice farmers situated the decreasing trend since 5years, 95% of respondents assumed that this yield was increasing 10 years ago but for the rainfall situation in Collines 54%, assume the rainfall season trend was normal past 20 years ago which is contrasting with rice yield trend analysis indicating increasing trend in Collines.

6.1.3. Rainfall Variability and Rainfed Rice Yield.

The Pearson correlation between rice yield and inter-seasonal rainfall variability is negative for Dassa-Zoumè (-0.38), Glazoué (-0.06), Ouesse (-0.58) and positive for Bantè (0.16) Savalou (0.24) and Savè (0.17) but only was found statistically significant for Ouesse at 95% over the period of 18 years.

The strength of these associations was found only statistically significant for the district of Ouèssè where inter-seasonal rainfall is explaining rice yield at 29.6 %. The inter-seasonal rainfall variability has no direct effect on rice yield within Dassa-Zoumè , Glazoué, Bantè , Savalou , Savè districts in Collines others factors could be influencing rice yield.

6.2. Conclusion

The study has revealed that inter-seasonal rainfall has been decreasing and the relationship between rainfed rice yields differs within the districts. The variability within the districts is not statistically significant at 95 % level for the majority of districts despite there is evidence of potential relationship of rice yield with inter-seasonal rainfall. Annual rice yield increasing apparently in rate indicate that the decreasing trend of inter-seasonal rainfall is not detrimental for rice producing in most of district but it is at optimum level of rainfed rice production. Precautionary principle need to be applied despite inter-seasonal rainfall showed an optimum condition of rice production in Collines in order to reach a target of rice self-sufficient and poverty reduction thus highlighted in National Rice Strategic Plan. In addition there is a need to identify local high-quality rice varieties that are suitable with different ecologies, and therefore promote their production by smallholder farmers to supply local demand and national needs. TRMM product 3B43 could therefore be considered as good as data source with potential capabilities to capture in the future the rainfall condition in Collines and beyond.

6.3. Recommendations

6.3.1. Policy Recommendations

The study recommends for policy makers to consider the decreasing rate of inter-seasonal rainfall within the districts of Collines as potential warning of future challenge of water scarcity. As precautionary principle needs to be applied, the study recommends;

- ❖ Rainfed rice insurance scheme in order to anticipate some adaptive strategies,
- ❖ Rice policies reviewing to preserve rice crops like development of irrigation scheme within the districts of Administrative Unit of Collines
- ❖ Promoting smart valleys rice production, within the districts of Administrative Unit of Collines

6.3.2. Research

The futures researchers need to expand by replicating the same approach to others Administrative Unit of Benin where farmers are dependent on rainfall for crop production.

- ❖ Consideration should be made on staple food production to understand not only the resilience capacity of each crop to weather vagaries but the inter-relationship between those crops yield
- ❖ Modelling variables by integrating farmers perception as moderating variables in combination with others variable like temperature, humidity, soil moisture, drought assessment for a same period of study.
- ❖ Climatic time series dataset should not be the barrier for any upcoming climatic studies. Consideration should be made to the TRMM NASA product 3B43 as potential source of data which could be well assessing and capturing the climatic impacts on crop production.

REFERENCE

- Adamgbe, E. M., and Ujoh, F. (2013). Effect of variability in rainfall characteristics on maize yield in Gboko, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Studies*, and Vol. 4 No.9 pp 881-887.
- Akpan, S. B., Inimfon, V. P., Samuel, J. U., Edem, A. O. and Uwemedimo, E. O. (2013). Determinant of credit access and demand among poultry farmers in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria, *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, Vol. 3 No. 2, pp. 293-307.
- As-syakur, A. R., Adnyana, I. W. S., Mahendra, M. S., Arthana, I. W., Merit, I. N., Kasa, I. W., ... & Sunarta, I. N. (2014). Observation of spatial patterns on the rainfall response to ENSO and IOD over Indonesia using TRMM Multi-satellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA). *International Journal of Climatology*, 34(15), 3825-3839.
- Bamba, I. et al., (2010). Corrigendum to : Rising trends and variability of rice prices : Threats and opportunities for sub-Saharan Africa Food Policy 35 (2010) 403 – 411. *Food Policy*, 36(2), pp.325–327. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2010.11.010>.
- Berding, F. Et Van Diepen C.A., (1982). Notice explicative des cartes d'aptitude culturelle de la R. P. du Bénin. Etude n° 251 CENAP/Cotonou.
- De Datta, S. K. (1981). *Principles and practices of rice production*. Int. RiceRes. Inst...
- Diagne, M. & Demont, M., (2013). Self-sufficiency policy and irrigated rice productivity in the Senegal River Valley. ,pp.55–68.
- Duku, C., Sparks, A.H. & Zwart, S.J., (2015). Spatial modelling of rice yield losses in Tanzania due to bacterial leaf blight and leaf blast in a changing climate. *Climatic Change*. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10584-015-1580-2>.
- Evenson, R.E., (1997). Genetic Resources, International Organizations, and Improvement in Rice Varieties *. , 45(3), pp.471–500.
- Fensterseifer, C., Allasia, D. G., & Paz, A. R. (2016). Assessment of the TRMM 3B42 precipitation product in southern Brazil. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 52(2), 367-375.
- Fink, A. H., Vincent, D. G., & Ermert, V. (2006). Rainfall types in the West African Sudanian zone during the summer monsoon 2002. *Monthly Weather Review*, 134(8), 2143-2164.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), (2008). —Climate change and food security: a framework document. Available at www.fao.org/forestry/15538-079b31d45081fe9c3dbc6ff34de4807e4.pdf (Accessed on 04 August 2018)
- Gbetondji Melaine Armel Nonvidé, Daniel B. Sarpong, George T-M. Kwadzo, Henry Anim-Somuah & Fulbert Amoussouga Gero (2017): Farmers' perceptions of

irrigation and constraints on rice production in Benin: a stakeholder-consultation approach, *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, DOI: 10.1080/07900627.2017.1317631

- Igué A.M (2000). The use of a soil and terrain database for land evaluation procedures: cas study of central Benin. Hohensheimer Bodenkundliche Hefte 58. University of Hohenheim. Stuttgart.
- Igué A.M Gaiser T, Stahr K. (2004). A soil and Terrain digital database for improved land use planning in central Benin. *Eur J. Agron* 21
- INSAE, RGP3, (Février 2002), Résultats Définitifs, Décembre 2003
- IPCC (2007). Climate change : impacts, adaptation and vulnerability : Working Group II contribution to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Working Group II Contribution to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Fourth Assessment Report*, 1(July), p.976.Availableat: <http://www.ipcc.ch/publications>
- J. O. Akintola, (1993) “An Analysis of the Effects of Agrocli- matic Factors on Food Crop Yields in Ibadan Area of Oyo State,” Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, 1983.
- Le Barbé, L., Lebel, T., & Tapsoba, D. (2002). Rainfall variability in West Africa during the years 1950–90.*Journal of climate*, 15(2), 187-202.
- Lobell, D., Lobell, D.B. & Field, C.B., (2007).Global scale climate – crop yield relationships and the impacts of recent warming Global scale climate – crop yield relationships and the impacts of recent warming.
- Louzada, F. L. D. O., Xavier, A. C., & Pezzopane, J. E. (2018). Climatological Water Balance With Data Estimated By Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission For The Doce River Basin. *Engenharia Agrícola*, 38(3), 376-386.
- Mazur, J. (2002). Encarta Encyclopedia 2002.*Seattle: Microsoft Inc.*
- Menz, G. (2010). Regional geography of West and Northwest Africa: an introduction. In *Impacts of Global Change on the Hydrological Cycle in West and Northwest Africa* (pp. 30-103).Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Minnow, K. (1997). Rice production in the Tamale.Some economic aspects.Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ghana, Legon, Accra, Ghana. (B.Sc Dissertation)
- Moctar Dembélé & Sander J. Zwart (2016) Evaluation and comparison of satellite-based rainfall products in Burkina Faso, West Africa, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 37:17, 3995-4014.
- Moyo, M. et al., (2012). Farmer perceptions on climate change and variability in semi-arid Zimbabwe in relation to climatology evidence, 20, pp.317–335.
- Mumtaz, R., Baig, S., Kazmi, S. S. A., Ahmad, F., Fatima, I., & Ghauri, B. (2018).Delineation of groundwater prospective resources by exploiting geo-

spatial decision-making techniques for the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 1-21

- NASA (2014). National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Tropical Rainfall Measurement Mission (TRMM) Home Page. Greenbelt: GSFC. Disponible en: <http://trmm.gsfc.nasa.gov>. (Accesed on November 2, 2016).
- Nasuirma D. K., (2000). Survey sampling theory and methods. Nairobi: University of Nairobi press.
- Olufayo, A. A., Stigter, C. J., & Baldy, C. (1998). On needs and deeds in agrometeorology in tropical Africa. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 92(4), 227-240.
- Res, C. & Meze-hausken, E., (2004). Contrasting climate variability and meteorological drought with perceived drought and climate change in northern Ethiopia. , 27, pp.19–31.
- Saito, O., Kranjac-Berisavljevic, G., Takeuchi, K., & Gyasi, E. A. (2017). Strategies for Building Resilience against Climate and Ecosystem Changes in Sub-Saharan Africa. Springer.
- T. O. Odekunle, I. O. Orinmoogunje and A. Ayalande (2011). Application of GIS to Assess Rainfall Variability Impacts on Crop Yield in Guinea Savanna Part of Nigeria,” *Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 6, No. 18, 2007, pp. 2100-2113. <http://www.academicjournals.org/ABJ>
- Tiamiyu, S. A., Eze, J. N., Yusuf, T. M., Maji, A. T., & Bakare, S. O. (2015). Rainfall variability and its effect on yield of rice in Nigeria. *Internat. Lett. Nat. Sci*, 49, 63-68.
- Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) (2011), TRMM (TMPA/3B43) Rainfall Estimate L3 1 month 0.25 degree x 0.25 degree V7, Greenbelt, MD, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC), Accessed: **[Data Access Date]**, 10.5067/TRMM/TMPA/MONTH/7
- Tunde, A. M., Usman, B. A. and Olawepo, V. O. (2011). Effects of climatic variables on crop production, *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning*, Vol. 4 No. 14, pp. 708-714.
- Verón, S.R., Abelleira, D. De & Lobell, D.B. (2015). Impacts of precipitation and temperature on crop yields in the Pampas., pp.235–245.
- Wossen, T., Berger, T., Haile, M. G., & Troost, C. (2018). Impacts of climate variability and food price volatility on household income and food security of farm households in East and West Africa. *Agricultural Systems*, 163, 7-15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsys.2018.05.002>
- Yohe, G. & Tol, R.S.J. (2002). Indicators for social and economic coping capacity—moving toward a working definition of adaptive capacity. *Global Environmental Change*, 12(1), pp.25–40.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I: TYPES OF QUESTIONNAIRES

Agnontcheme, Abiola Innocent

Master Student at Department of Geography and Environmental Studies

P.O Box 30197 Nairobi

Field Questionnaire for a Master of Arts in Environmental Planning and Management

Dear Sir/Madam

RE: Field Data Collection

I am Masters Student at University of Nairobi, Department of Geography and Environmental Studies and my address is above. My field work seeks to investigate on Rainfall Variability Impacts on Rice Production: an Assessment on Rice Potential Yield in Benin, West Africa.

In this regards, I would like to kindly request you to assist by answering the following questions

Note that your views and opinion in this interview will be treated confidentially and strictly but will only be using for the purpose of academic research work.

**APPENDIX Ia: RICE FARMERS QUESTIONNAIRE
BIO-DATA**

1. Name of lowland:
2. Administrative Unit:
3. District:
4. Village:
5. Number of member:
 1. Gender: Male; Female;
 2. Age:
 3. Rice growing experiences:
 4. Varieties:
 5. Education status: Educated; Primary , Secondary, University Semi-educated; alp Never educated
 6. Type of field: Individual; Association;
6. Estimated Rice Area:
7. Area cultivated:
 1. Rainfall and rice production season
 1. When the rainfall season is starting and ending? Please give the months
 2. When the rice production season is starting and ending? Please give the months
 3. For which purpose do you grow rice? Choose below the appropriate

Income

Family consumption

4. What are others crops do you grow during?
 1. During the season? Choose below the appropriate

Maize

Vegetables

Bean

Okra

Millet

Soja

Other

2. After the season? Choose below the appropriate

Maize

Vegetables

Bean

Okra

Millet

Soja

Other

2. Rice production constraints in time and space

1. Rice production

2.1.1. What are the main constraints do you experience? Choose below the appropriate

Flood

Drought

Pest and disease

Weeds

2.1.2. What are the main factors affecting of rice production in the district? Choose among below

Lack of fertilizer

Poor equipment

Water scarcity

Seed quality

2.1.3. What is your perception about rice yield five year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

2.1.4. What is your perception about rice yield 10 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

2.1.5. What is your perception about rice yield 20 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

2. Rainfall season in time and space

2.2.1 What do you think about rainfall season? Choose below the appropriate

Normal

Short

Long

Delay

No idea

2.2.2. What do you think about the current amount of rainfall?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

2.2.3. What is your perception about the rainfall season five years ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

2.2.4. What is your perception about the rainfall season 10 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

2.2.5. What is your perception about the rainfall season 20 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

2.2.6. What do you think better strategies for rain water management in rice production or other crops for the district? Choose the appropriate answers

Improve lowlands

Irrigation scheme

Training

Funding

Thank you

APPENDIX Ib: INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Administrative Unit:

2. District:

3. Village:

4. Gender: Male; Female;

5. Rice production constraints in time and space

5.1 Rice production; Varieties:

5.1.1. What are the main constraints do you experience? Choose below the appropriate

Flood

Drought

Pest and disease

Weeds

5.1.2. What are the main factors affecting of rice production in the district? Choose among below

Lack of fertilizer

Poor equipment

Water scarcity

Seed quality

5.1.3. What is your perception about rice yield five year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.1.4. What is your perception about rice yield 10 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.1.5. What is your perception about rice yield 20 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.2 Rainfall season in time and space

5.2.1 What do you think about rainfall season? Choose below the appropriate

Normal

Short

Long

Delay

No idea

5.2.2. What do you think about the current amount of rainfall?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.2.3. What is your perception about the rainfall season five years ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.2.4. What is your perception about the rainfall season 10 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.2.5. What is your perception about the rainfall season 20 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.2.6. What do you think better strategies for rain water management in rice production or other crops for the district? Choose the appropriate answers

Improve lowlands

Irrigation scheme

Training

Funding

Thank you

APPENDIX c: GUIDE OF FOCUS GROUP DISCUSSION

1. Administrative Unit:

2 District:

6. Village:

7. Gender: Male; Female;

8. Number of rice farmers in discussion;

9. Rice production constraints in time and space

5.1 Rice production; Varieties:

5.1.1. What are the main constraints do you experience? Choose below the appropriate

Flood

Drought

Pest and disease

Weeds

5.1.2. What are the main factors affecting of rice production in the district? Choose among below

Lack of fertilizer

Poor equipment

Water scarcity

Seed quality

5.1.3. What is your perception about rice yield five year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.1.4. What is your perception about rice yield 10 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.1.5. What is your perception about rice yield 20 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.2 Rainfall season in time and space

5.2.1 What do you think about rainfall season? Choose below the appropriate

Normal

Short

Long

Delay

No idea

5.2.2. What do you think about the current amount of rainfall?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.2.3. What is your perception about the rainfall season five years ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.2.4. What is your perception about the rainfall season 10 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.2.5. What is your perception about the rainfall season 20 year ago?

Normal

Increasing

Decreasing

No idea

5.2.6. What do you think better strategies for rain water management in rice production or other crops for the district? Choose the appropriate answers

Improve lowlands

Irrigation scheme

Training

Funding

Thank you


APPENDIX IIa: TRMM DATA CHARACTERISTIC

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| SHORTNAME | TRMM_3B43 |
| LONGNAME | TRMM (TMPA/3B43) Rainfall Estimate L3 1 month 0.25 degree x 0.25 degree V7 |
| DOI | 10.5067/TRMM/TMPA/MONTH/7 Version: 7 |
| FORMAT | HDF/ Net CDF |
| SPATIAL COVERAGE | -180.0,-50.0,180.0,50.0 |
| TEMPORAL COVERAGE | 1998-01-01 to 2016-03-31 |
| FILE SIZE | 4.9 MB per file |
| DATA RESOLUTIONSPATIAL | 0.25 ° x 0.25 ° |
| TEMPORAL | MONTH |


APPENDIX IIb: TRMM DATA CALIBRATION WITH IN SITU DATA AND CATEGORICAL STATISTIC

| | Mean Bias (mm) | MAE (mm) | RMSE (mm) | Corr. Coef (r) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| Annual accumulation Precipitation | 49 | 83 | 112 | 0.86 |

Inter-comparison of inter-seasonal accumulation with observed, errors of satellite estimates



TRMM overestimation with in situ rainfall in Collines



Scatterplots analysis of rainfall between TRMM and in situ measurement in Collines

APPENDIX III: DATA TRANSFORMATION

| | In(Bante Ye (mm/yr ar) m/yr)) | In(Dassa- Zoume(m m/yr)) | In(Glazo ue (mm/yr)) | In(Oue sse(m m/yr)) | In(lou (mm/yr)) | In(Sava lou (mm/yr)) | In(Save (mm/yr)) | In(Bant e (Kg/ha)) | In(Dassa- Zoume (Kg/ha)) | In(Glazo ue(Kg/ha se(Kg/h a)) | In(Oues se(Kg/h a)) | In(Sava lou(Kg/ ha)) | In(Sav e(Kg/h a)) |
|------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1997.09386 | | | 6.91113 | 7.0509 | 7.0539 | 6.9951 | 7.82404 | | | 7.80710 | 7.51389 | 8.0063 | 7.6555 |
| 8 8 | 6.852741 | 2 | 09 | 85 | 48 | 6 | 7.649693 | 8 | 1 | 68 | 07 | | |
| 1997.19217 | | | 7.26397 | 7.2685 | 7.2360 | 7.2697 | 7.74066 | | | 7.64979 | | 8.0895 | 7.5859 |
| 9 6 | 7.277785 | 2 | 94 | 33 | 67 | 4 | 7.649755 | 9 | 7.45062 | 18 | 77 | | |
| 2007.08742 | | | 6.97765 | 7.0478 | 6.9707 | 7.0205 | 8.00636 | | | 7.71232 | 7.51866 | 7.9010 | 7.6247 |
| 0 4 | 6.952633 | 2 | 82 | 17 | 02 | 8 | 7.547017 | 8 | 4 | 07 | 39 | | |
| 2006.86057 | | | 6.78205 | 6.8488 | 6.8402 | 6.8262 | 8.17582 | | | 7.60090 | 7.32405 | 8.0864 | 7.7422 |
| 1 2 | 6.778802 | 3 | 79 | 87 | 6 | 9 | 7.824225 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 16 | | |
| 2007.14394 | | | 7.01125 | 7.0419 | 7.1474 | 7.0321 | 8.19467 | | | 7.55145 | | 8.0503 | 8.1849 |
| 2 7 | 7.010073 | 7 | 03 | 44 | 62 | 7 | 8.002085 | 7.67798 | 4 | 47 | 23 | | |
| 2007.30699 | | | 7.14996 | 7.3374 | 7.1347 | 7.2691 | 8.24275 | | | 7.49549 | 7.51389 | 8.0712 | 8.0990 |
| 3 4 | 7.068252 | 1 | 7 | 19 | 73 | 6 | 7.613806 | 9 | 1 | 05 | 26 | | |
| 2007.13794 | | | 7.04364 | 7.1162 | 7.1407 | 7.1051 | 8.16051 | | | 7.56014 | 7.82404 | 7.8240 | 8.0751 |
| 4 5 | 7.020506 | 1 | 73 | 94 | 01 | 8 | 8.006368 | 1 | 6 | 46 | 11 | | |
| 2006.96160 | | | 6.87386 | 6.8964 | 6.8772 | 6.8858 | 7.82531 | | | 7.82512 | 8.16051 | 7.8240 | 7.9373 |
| 5 5 | 6.856918 | 5 | 03 | 65 | 21 | 9 | 8.162473 | 7 | 8 | 46 | 75 | | |
| 2006.92115 | | | 6.92173 | 6.8979 | 6.9825 | 6.8828 | 8.23998 | | | 7.82498 | 7.95334 | 7.9377 | 6.5022 |
| 6 9 | 7.006702 | 9 | 49 | 21 | 32 | 2 | 7.824046 | 6 | 3 | 69 | 9 | | |
| 2007.12921 | | | 7.19621 | 7.1891 | 7.2327 | 7.1652 | 7.93820 | | | 7.90564 | | 8.4282 | 8.3030 |
| 7 2 | 7.238409 | 5 | 67 | 6 | 06 | 5 | 7.681532 | 7 | 7.02462 | 93 | 18 | | |
| 2007.07677 | | | 7.06065 | 7.2251 | 7.1162 | 7.0951 | 7.85955 | | | 8.51719 | 6.90775 | 8.0940 | 7.4222 |
| 8 7 | 7.125462 | 6 | 46 | 3 | 92 | 3 | 6.868599 | 3 | 5 | 73 | 11 | | |
| 2007.04314 | | | 6.98422 | 6.9758 | 7.1147 | 6.9338 | 7.77192 | | | 8.15585 | 7.36082 | 8.0709 | 8.0546 |
| 9 8 | 6.976625 | 5 | 84 | 99 | 14 | 4 | 7.827847 | 4 | 5 | 06 | 79 | | |
| 2017.13810 | | | 7.15376 | 7.1367 | | 7.1307 | 7.46412 | | | 8.42392 | 7.61821 | 7.5284 | 7.4637 |
| 0 6 | 7.156021 | 5 | 47 | 7.173 | 58 | 6 | 7.751544 | 6 | 2 | 53 | 71 | | |
| 2016.95046 | | | 6.99775 | 6.8818 | 7.0557 | 6.8462 | | | | 8.51154 | 7.71649 | 8.4268 | 8.4110 |
| 1 4 | 7.031006 | 7 | 33 | 7 | 43 | 8.12707 | 8.183585 | 1 | 5 | 52 | 77 | | |
| 2016.97352 | | | 6.95488 | 6.9208 | 6.9606 | 6.8731 | 7.69621 | | | 7.93737 | 8.02289 | 7.9373 | 7.9724 |
| 2 8 | 6.943656 | 2 | 04 | 68 | 42 | 3 | 8.006368 | 5 | 7 | 75 | 66 | | |
| 201 | | | 6.7616 | 6.8004 | 6.7445 | 7.69621 | | | | 7.90100 | 7.91859 | 7.9007 | 7.8941 |
| 3 6.78226 | 6.886512 | 6.86349 | 61 | 71 | 37 | 3 | 7.937375 | 7 | 8 | 01 | 32 | | |
| 2016.98456 | | | 7.06930 | 7.0262 | 7.0226 | 7.0503 | 7.78322 | | | 8.04375 | | 7.9183 | 7.9301 |
| 4 6 | 7.033359 | 2 | 26 | 69 | 86 | 4 | 7.98744 | 5 | 7.97701 | 31 | 5 | | |
| 2016.73522 | | | 6.68416 | 6.6771 | 6.7084 | 7.78322 | | | | | | 7.9180 | 7.2579 |
| 5 8 | 6.6815 | 2 | 6.757 | 86 | 9 | 4 | 7.98744 | 8.29405 | 7.97314 | 92 | 46 | | |

APPENDIX IV: SAMPLE FRAME OF COLLINES

| N° | Name of rice field | Localisation administrative | | | Spéculation développée |
|----|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | | Commune | Arrondissement | Village | |
| 1 | Itchountchon | Bantè | | Mayamon | Maïs, Riz |
| 2 | Ilagbo | Bantè | | Ilagbo | - |
| 3 | Otounfou-Zankou -Madon | Bantè | | Zongo | - |
| 4 | Koutadjaba | Bantè | | Koutadjaba | Riz |
| 5 | Idiogou | Bantè | | Idiogou | - |
| 6 | Attokolibé | Bantè | | Attokolibé | - |
| 7 | Bouboula | Bantè | | Koutadjaba | Riz |
| 9 | Lakpa | Bantè | | Owodé (Ferme) | Riz, maraîchage |
| 10 | Kpô-Ôwo | Bantè | | Owodé (Ferme) | Riz |
| 11 | Filia | Bantè | | Owodé (Ferme) | Maraîchage |
| 12 | Koto-Olou | Bantè | | Owodé (Ferme) | - |
| 13 | Gadja II | Bantè | Akpassi | Illagbo | Riz |
| 14 | Gadja I | Bantè | | Illagbo | - |
| 15 | Idina | Bantè | | Illagbo | - |
| 16 | Boro | Bantè | | Illagbo | Riz, maraîchage |
| 17 | Otchééré | Bantè | | Illagbo | Riz |
| 18 | Bouro | Bantè | Akpasi | Ilaré | Riz |
| 19 | veroirou | Bantè | bante | adjante | |
| 20 | tchallo | Bantè | bante | adjante | |
| 21 | beete | Bantè | bante | illelakoun | |
| 22 | djolodjo | Bantè | bante | illelakoun | |
| 23 | ferolakoun | Bantè | bante | basson | |
| 24 | gbelebia 1 | Bantè | bante | illelakoun | |
| 25 | koubete | Bantè | bante | basson | |
| 26 | odooko | Bantè | bante | gbegamey | |
| 27 | odonla 1 | Bantè | bante | illelakoun | |
| 28 | odonla 2 | Bantè | bante | illelakoun | |
| 29 | owouko 1 | Bantè | bante | illelakoun | |
| 30 | owouko 2 | Bantè | bante | illelakoun | |
| 31 | idioké | Bantè | bante | basson | |
| 32 | ohuisessou | Bantè | bante | gbegamey | |
| 33 | adjoule | Bantè | bante | gbegamey | |
| 34 | agoue | Bantè | pira | adjigo | |
| 35 | aguehe | Bantè | bante | gbegamey | |
| 36 | odoboukou | Bantè | pira | adjigo | |
| 37 | omudonagbo | Bantè | pira | idiogou | |
| 38 | alladji | Bantè | pira | okoutaosse | |
| 39 | kagoure | Bantè | pira | adjigo | |

| | | | | | |
|----|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|-----|
| 40 | kpamiokpe | Bantè | akpassi | ilare | |
| 41 | golofo | Bantè | akpassi | okoto | |
| 42 | okeowo | Bantè | akpassi | illagbo | |
| 43 | katakata | Bantè | akpassi | illare | |
| 44 | omioyi | Bantè | lougba | kotakpa | |
| 45 | dodokpako | Bantè | lougba | gotcha | |
| 46 | binonon | Bantè | akpassi | kouradjou | |
| 47 | koukpatcho | Bantè | bante | konta | |
| 48 | owo | Bantè | lougba | agongni | |
| 49 | ogouroro | Bantè | lougba | alletan | |
| 50 | adjimon | Bantè | akpassi | ilare | |
| 51 | odookere | Bantè | koko | itchocobo | |
| 52 | akatakou | Bantè | koko | itchocobo | |
| 53 | ketou | Bantè | atokolibe | malomi | |
| 54 | odokoto | Bantè | atokolibe | atokolite | |
| 55 | afofo | Bantè | atokolibe | malomi | |
| 56 | ateron | Bantè | atokolibe | atokolite | |
| 57 | idjoukou | Bantè | atokolibe | atokolite | |
| 58 | allou | Bantè | koko | itchocobo | |
| 59 | temidire 1 | Bantè | bobe | assaba | |
| 60 | ifekpadjo | Bantè | koko | itchocobo | |
| 61 | temidire 2 | Bantè | bobe | assaba | |
| 62 | kassonhoun 1 | Bantè | bobe | assaba | |
| 63 | otoubou | Bantè | bobe | assaba | |
| 64 | konoukou | Bantè | gouka | mayamon | |
| 65 | imorookpesse | Bantè | gouka | mamatchoke | |
| 66 | okoutara | Bantè | gouka | gouka | |
| 67 | donko | Bantè | gouka | gouka | |
| 68 | zankoumadon | Bantè | gouka | gouka | |
| 69 | imoro | Bantè | gouka | mamatchoke | |
| 70 | abebi | Bantè | gouka | gouka | |
| 71 | kpoba | Bantè | gouka | gouka | |
| 72 | sawere | Bantè | gouka | gouka | |
| 73 | okoutalakoun | Bantè | gouka | gouka | |
| 74 | kipatcho | Bantè | gouka | galata | |
| 75 | odohilamon | Bantè | gouka | gouka | |
| 76 | gbangbaloke | Bantè | gouka | gouka | |
| 77 | koutokounon | Bantè | agoua | n'tchon | |
| 78 | konguinon | Bantè | agoua | n'tchon | |
| 1 | Adjakété | Glazoué | | Sowé | Riz |
| 2 | Béthel | Glazoué | | Béthel | Riz |

| | | | | | |
|----|-------------|---------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| 3 | Assanté | Glazoué | | Assanté | Riz – légume |
| 4 | Aklassouté | Glazoué | | Sowé II | - |
| 5 | Adjima | Glazoué | | Boubou | Riz |
| 6 | Oguirin | Glazoué | | Oguirin | Riz – maïs |
| 7 | Ararômi | Glazoué | | kpakpada | Riz |
| 8 | Aklassouté | Glazoué | | kpakpada | - |
| 9 | Kpoto | Glazoué | | kpakpada | - |
| 10 | Ayédjoko | Glazoué | | Yawa | Riz – igname |
| 11 | Awalayé | Glazoué | | Yawa | - |
| 12 | Arigbokoto | Glazoué | | Yawa | Riz –igname |
| 13 | Toga | Glazoué | | kpakpada | Riz |
| 14 | Mèdahotonou | Glazoué | | Atéguédji | Riz |
| 15 | Gbègbèlè | Glazoué | Aklankpa | Affizoungo | |
| 16 | Kpolé | Glazoué | | kpakpada | Riz |
| 17 | Médékpo | Glazoué | | kpakpada | Riz |
| 18 | Kpesseman | Glazoué | | Oké-Okounou | Riz |
| 19 | Dagbéto | Glazoué | | Oké-Okounou | - |
| 20 | Idjè | Glazoué | | Oké-Okounou | - |
| 21 | Balé | Glazoué | | Oké-Okounou | - |
| 22 | Akouégba | Glazoué | Sokponta | Akouégba | |
| 23 | Alawénonsa | Glazoué | Aklampa | Alawénonsa | Riz |
| 24 | Okéowo | Glazoué | Thio | Okéowo | Riz |
| 25 | Atenguédji | Glazoué | | Yagbo | Riz |
| 26 | Offe | Glazoué | zaffe | zaffe | |
| 27 | kpele | Glazoué | zaffe | egbessi | |
| 28 | egbessan1 | Glazoué | zaffe | egbessi | |
| 29 | zaffe 2 | Glazoué | zaffe | zaffe | |
| 30 | okowassan | Glazoué | sokponta | akpikpi | |
| 31 | itchedjiro | Glazoué | glazoue | affecia | |
| 32 | kaloufe | Glazoué | kpakpaza | sowe | |
| 33 | kassowokpo | Glazoué | kpakpaza | sowe | |
| 34 | ifedoun | Glazoué | kpakpaza | sowe | |
| 35 | abedoun | Glazoué | kpakpaza | yawa | |
| 36 | Toba | Glazoué | kpakpaza | yawa | |
| 37 | trantran 1 | Glazoué | kpakpaza | kpakpaza | |
| 38 | trantran 2 | Glazoué | kpakpaza | kpakpaza | |
| 39 | americain | Glazoué | kpakpaza | attogbo | |
| 40 | Abia | Glazoué | kpakpaza | attogbo | |
| 41 | attogbo 1 | Glazoué | kpakpaza | attogbo | |
| 42 | attogbo 2 | Glazoué | kpakpaza | attogbo | |
| 43 | orokoto | Glazoué | glazoue | orokoto | |

| | | | | | |
|----|----------------|---------|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| 44 | kotobo | Glazoué | gome | gome | |
| 45 | essinou | Glazoué | gome | gome | |
| 46 | gome 1 | Glazoué | gome | gome | |
| 47 | xassagbaka | Glazoué | aklampa | allawenonsa | |
| 48 | lantadji | Glazoué | aklampa | affissoungo | |
| 49 | logozodohoui | Glazoué | aklampa | lagbo | |
| 50 | yenanwassetego | Glazoué | assante | yenanwassetego | |
| 51 | wesse | Glazoué | aklampa | wesse | |
| 52 | kolowo | Glazoué | aklampa | sowiandji | |
| 53 | adonoutche | Glazoué | aklampa | allawenonsa | |
| 54 | tognon | Glazoué | aklampa | sowiandji | |
| 55 | babato | Glazoué | aklampa | sowiandji | |
| 56 | xovi | Glazoué | aklampa | affissoungo | |
| 57 | lanta | Glazoué | aklampa | affissoungo | |
| 58 | dandohoue | Glazoué | aklampa | sowiandji | |
| 59 | manmonhoue | Glazoué | aklampa | lagbo | |
| 60 | batte 1 - 2 | Glazoué | sokponta | sokponta | |
| 61 | Abia | Glazoué | sokponta | akwegba | |
| 62 | towe | Glazoué | sokponta | kpaco | |
| 63 | kakountonou | Glazoué | ouedeme | ouedeme et goto | |
| 64 | sofan | Glazoué | ouedeme | ouedemecentre | |
| 65 | sogoedjrosse | Glazoué | ouedeme | ouedemecentre | |
| 66 | godonou 1 | Glazoué | ouedeme | ouedemecentre | |
| 67 | kolime | Glazoué | ouedeme | goto | |
| 68 | some | Glazoué | ouedeme | kpota | |
| 69 | Klou | Glazoué | ouedeme | yagbo | |
| 70 | abiya | Glazoué | ouedeme | yagbo | |
| 71 | dogbote | Glazoué | thio | hlasoe | |
| 72 | abori | Glazoué | thio | gbogbogni | |
| 73 | katchitche | Glazoué | thio | riffokpota | |
| 74 | ayewa | Glazoué | thio | riffokpota | |
| 75 | wokpa | Glazoué | thio | masse | |
| 76 | houala | Glazoué | magoumi | houala | |
| 77 | egbeko | Glazoué | magoumi | monso | |
| 78 | aboro | Glazoué | magoumi | aidjesso | |
| 1 | Lahadjô | Savalou | | Mangoessi | Riz |
| 2 | Bahassè | Savalou | | Mangoessi | Igname |
| 3 | Lahawô | Savalou | | Carrefour | Riz |
| 4 | Lahanin | Savalou | | Carrefour | - |
| 5 | Ganfan | Savalou | | Klougou | Riz-arac-niébé |
| 6 | Kpakpa-Aïzin | Savalou | | Klougou | Courge-crin-crin |

| | | | | | |
|----|----------------------|---------|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| 7 | Klan-Sowo | Savalou | | Klougou | - |
| 8 | Sando | Savalou | | kpakpavissa | Riz |
| 9 | Fifadji | Savalou | | kpakpavissa | Riz – igrname |
| 10 | Dah-Sinto | Savalou | | kpakpavissa | Riz – igrname |
| 11 | Sozoun | Savalou | Logozohè | Sozounmè | Riz |
| 12 | Gbodjèvè | Savalou | | Adjahossou-Doho | Riz – igrname |
| 13 | Logozo-Dowin | Savalou | | Adjahossou-Doho | Riz – maraîchage |
| 14 | Sohé | Savalou | | Adjahossou-Doho | - |
| 15 | Agonkpato | Savalou | | Ferme | - |
| 16 | Djodagoin | Savalou | | Ferme | Riz |
| 17 | Monro | Savalou | | Ekpa | Riz |
| 18 | Létou | Savalou | | Ekpa | - |
| 19 | Kiti | Savalou | | Ekpa | Riz |
| 20 | Godé | Savalou | | Lahotan | - |
| 21 | Kinoussissadji | Savalou | | Covedji | Maraîchage |
| 22 | Sandomè | Savalou | | Kpakpavissa | Riz |
| 23 | Zounzonkanmey | Savalou | | Zounzonkanmey | - |
| 24 | Kpakavissa (Sandomè) | Savalou | | Kpakpavissa | Riz |
| 25 | Djalloukou | Savalou | | Djalloukou | Riz |
| 26 | Toffadji | Savalou | Agbado | Toffadji | Riz – maraîchage |
| 27 | Lahotan | Savalou | | Lahotan | Riz |
| 28 | nadjakpa | Savalou | ouesse | ouesse | |
| 29 | toganou | Savalou | logozohe | naoudji | |
| 30 | avounsawa | Savalou | agah | dagadoho | |
| 31 | alanmilan | Savalou | kpataba | codji | |
| 32 | monroakitikli | Savalou | kpataba | ekpa | |
| 33 | tchatcha | Savalou | tchetti | ottele | |
| 34 | assankon dago dago | Savalou | kpataba | miniki | |
| 35 | gogoedji | Savalou | gobada | lama | |
| 36 | zatedji | Savalou | gobada | lama | |
| 37 | kpakodji | Savalou | gobada | gobada | |
| 38 | okpitchoukouladjou | Savalou | tchetti | monsafou | |
| 39 | attan | Savalou | tchetti | adjoya | |
| 40 | kozodji | Savalou | kpataba | mondji | |
| 41 | dave | Savalou | logozohe | logozohe | |
| 42 | ahoho | Savalou | gobada | govi | |
| 43 | ahossouto | Savalou | ouesse | agbodranfo | |
| 44 | vete | Savalou | ouesse | akete | |
| 45 | mandogbo | Savalou | ouesse | aglamidjodji | |
| 46 | akpakpo | Savalou | ouesse | aglamidjodji | |
| 47 | tchoudoudouin | Savalou | ouesse | aglamidjodji | |

| | | | | | |
|----|------------------|---------|--------|--------------|--|
| 48 | kiosego | Savalou | ouesse | lowozoungo | |
| 49 | eninfekamoura | Savalou | ottola | ottolaigberi | |
| 50 | batikpo | Savalou | doume | coffeagballa | |
| 51 | kpako | Savalou | doume | ekpatiko | |
| 52 | agbanin | Savalou | doume | affezungo | |
| 53 | odi | Savalou | doume | affezungo | |
| 54 | n'gbodo | Savalou | doume | abeokouta | |
| 55 | laguidan | Savalou | ottola | akpaki | |
| 56 | odele | Savalou | ottola | akpaki | |
| 57 | logbo | Savalou | ottola | ottolaigberi | |
| 58 | alloudikadjehoun | Savalou | ottola | alloudi | |
| 59 | enefe | Savalou | ottola | alloudi | |
| 60 | kongbonran | Savalou | ottola | alloudi | |
| 61 | okoutagninni | Savalou | doume | bebiani | |
| 62 | odoatto | Savalou | doume | irroukou | |
| 63 | kodjovi | Savalou | doume | affezungo | |
| 64 | togba | Savalou | doume | affezungo | |
| 65 | kpako | Savalou | doume | agan | |
| 66 | odouagban | Savalou | doume | abeokouta | |
| 67 | obotchinse | Savalou | doume | abeokouta | |
| 68 | adjitche | Savalou | doume | affezungo | |
| 69 | gbogui | Savalou | doume | abeokouta | |
| 70 | otchoumare | Savalou | doume | abeokouta | |
| 71 | bayedje | Savalou | doume | abeokouta | |
| 72 | lodonou | Savalou | doume | n'gbehan | |
| 73 | aklajlo | Savalou | agbado | zounzonkanme | |
| 74 | agokoedji | Savalou | monkpa | monkpa | |
| 75 | satego | Savalou | monkpa | monkpa | |
| 76 | agbkin | Savalou | ouesse | ouesse | |

(Source: Planning and Forecasting Office, 2016)

APPENDIX VI: RESEARCH PERMIT



MINISTÈRE DE LA DÉCENTRALISATION
ET DE LA GOUVERNANCE LOCALE

REPUBLIQUE DU BENIN

Contact

PREFECTURE DES COLLINES

BP: 01-882: Tel: (1 229) 21 30 40 30

info@decentralisation-benin.org

2017 N°05/016/PDC/S&S-D/AR

Collines, 11th July, 2017

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

AGNONTCHEME ABIOLA INNOCENT:

This is to introduce you that the above named person is a student of University of Nairobi, who is required to conduct a survey and gather information for academic purposes on "*Rainfall variability impacts on rice production: a case study of rice yield in Administrative Unit of Collines, West Africa, Benin.*"

Please accord him the necessary assistance required for his academic award.

Faithfully Yours



Firmin Aimé KOUTON (Interim Prefect)

FOR PREFECTURE OF COLLINES

Copies: All Mayors of Districts of Collines

Agnoncheme Abiola Innocent

APPENDIX VII: DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY FORM

Declaration Form for Students

UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI

Declaration of Originality Form

This form must be completed and signed for all works submitted to the University for examination.

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Name of Student: | AGNONTCHEME ABIOLA INNOCENT |
| Registration No: | C50/82154/2015 |
| College: | OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES |
| Faculty/School/Institute: | OF ARTS |
| Department: | OF GEOGRAPHY AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES |
| Course Name: | CGP 598 MA PROJECT |
| Title of the work: | RAINFALL VARIABILITY IMPACTS ON RICE PRODUCTION: A CASE STUDY OF RICE YIELD IN COLLIERIES |

DECLARATION

1. I understand what Plagiarism is and I am aware of the University's policy in this regard.
2. I declare that this project (Thesis, project, essay, assignment, paper, report etc) is my original work and has not been submitted elsewhere for examination, award of a degree or publication. Where other people's work, or my own work has been used, this has properly been acknowledged and referenced in accordance with the University of Nairobi's requirements.
3. I have not sought or used the services of any professional agencies to produce this work.
4. I have not allowed, and shall not allow anyone to copy my work with the intention of passing it off as his/her own.
5. I understand that any false claim in respect of this work shall result in disciplinary action in accordance with University Plagiarism Policy.

Signature: 

Date: 15/10/2018

RAINFALL VARIABILITY
IMPACTS ON RICE
PRODUCTION: A CASE STUDY
OF RICE YIELD IN THE
ADMINISTRATIVE UNIT OF
COLLINES IN BENIN, WEST
AFRICA

by Agnontcheme Abiola I

Submission date: 31-Oct-2018 12:32PM (UTC+0300)

Submission ID: 1030279067

File name: TURNITRIN.docx (5M)

Word count: 17 248

Character count: 106854

RAINFALL VARIABILITY IMPACTS ON RICE PRODUCTION: A CASE STUDY OF RICE YIELD IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE UNIT OF COLLINES IN BENIN, WEST AFRICA

ORIGINALITY REPORT

| | | | |
|------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| 11 % | 9 % | 6 % | % |
| SIMILARITY INDEX | INTERNET SOURCES | PUBLICATIONS | STUDENT PAPERS |

PRIMARY SOURCES

| | | |
|----------|---|----------------|
| 1 | hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de Internet Source | 1 % |
| 2 | www.tandfonline.com Internet Source | 1 % |
| 3 | www.icrisat.org Internet Source | <1 % |
| 4 | Franciane L. R. de O. Louzada, Alexandre C. Xavier, José E. M. Pezzopane. "CLIMATOLOGICAL WATER BALANCE WITH DATA ESTIMATED BY TROPICAL RAINFALL MEASURING MISSION FOR THE DOCE RIVER BASIN", Engenharia Agrícola, 2018 Publication | <1 % |
| 5 | www.scirp.org Internet Source | <1 % |
| 6 | d-nb.info Internet Source | <1 % |

APPENDIX IX: PROOF OF REGISTRATION

9/4/2018

Students Management Information System (SMIS)



UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI
COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

STATEMENT OF FEES ACCOUNT

Date : 04-Sep-2018

Name : ABIOLA INNOCENT-AGNONTCHEME (KENYAN)

Reg. Number : C50/82154/2015

Overall Status : CURRENT


ACADEMIC YEAR STATUS: CURRENT

Academic Year : 2015/2016

Billing Currency : KES

| Transaction Id | Date | Description | Debits DR | Credits CR | Balance | Cur.Rate |
|---|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 218978920 | 22-SEP-15 | FEES PAYMENTS | 0.00 | 214,744.00 | -214,744.00 | KES=1 |
| C50/82154/2015-2015/2016-SEM1 | 02-OCT-15 | FEES PAYABLE FOR SEM1 | 79,500.00 | 0.00 | -135,244.00 | KES=1 |
| C50/82154/2015-2015/2016-SEM2 | 10-FEB-16 | FEES PAYABLE FOR SEM2 | 62,000.00 | 0.00 | -73,244.00 | KES=1 |
| C50/82154/2015-2015/2016-SEM3 | 19-JUL-16 | FEES PAYABLE FOR SEM3 | 62,000.00 | 0.00 | -11,244.00 | KES=1 |
| Academic Year Totals : | | | 203,500.00 | 214,744.00 | -11,244.00 | |
| Closing Balance : -11,244.00 | | | | | | |
| Academic Year : 2016/2017 ACADEMIC YEAR STATUS:CURRENT | | | | | | |
| Opening Balance | | | 0.00 | 11,244.00 | | -11,244.00 |
| 2180245744 | 09-MAR-18 | FEES PAYMENTS | 0.00 | 208,254.00 | -219,498.00 | KES=1 |
| Academic Year Totals : | | | 0.00 | 219,498.00 | -219,498.00 | |
| Closing Balance : -219,498.00 | | | | | | |
| Academic Year : 2017/2018 ACADEMIC YEAR STATUS:CURRENT | | | | | | |
| Opening Balance | | | 0.00 | 219,498.00 | | -219,498.00 |
| C50/82154/2015-2017/2018-SEM3 | 25-JUN-18 | FEES PAYABLE FOR SEM5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -219,498.00 | KES=1 |
| C50/82154/2015-2017/2018-SEM2 | 25-JUN-18 | FEES PAYABLE FOR SEM4 | 74,500.00 | 0.00 | -144,998.00 | KES=1 |
| C50/82154/2015-2017/2018-RF | 31-AUG-18 | FEES PAYABLE | 144,998.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | KES=1 |
| Academic Year Totals : | | | 219,498.00 | 219,498.00 | 0.00 | |
| GRAND TOTALS : | | | 422,998.00 | 422,998.00 | 0.00 | |
| Closing Balance : 0.00 | | | | | | |

Checked By :
 Sign



Approved By :

BURSAR

11/9/2018

NB: Valid with an Official University of Nairobi Stamp
Any fee balance disqualify one for sitting for examination