

EAST AFR. PROT

30769 Secret

C. Q.

30769

Rec'd  
Reg'd 5 JUL 15

Major Leggett

1915

July 2nd

Last previous Paper.

30768

## Belgian Operations.

Acknow'ledges receipt of information  
as to Belgian battery. States in  
view as to exchange of Belgian notes.

Mr. Bobbaley

Mr. Read

This letter does not seem to  
require an answer, and it is clear that  
we cannot take up with Major Leggett  
the question of the exchange of Belgian  
notes in Uganda and S.A.P. If  
the Belgian C.O. raises the question with  
us again, it will be another matter.

P.M.T.?

6/7/15

Sugn 6/7/15

at once

H. 2d

6/7/15

Next subsequent Paper

Encl.  
30768  
Uganda  
Ref

30/69

# British East Africa Corporation, Limited.

473

Secretary  
F. T. PREBLAND

TELEPHONE LONDON WALL 8176

TELEGRAMS TAAL, AVE, LONDON

CABLES INCREASER, LONDON  
CODE:  
WESTERN UNION AND BROOMHALL'S  
COMBINATION

AND AT  
MOMBASA, NAIROBI, KISUMU, &C.  
BRITISH EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE,  
AND  
KAMPALA, JINJA, MASINDI, &C.  
UGANDA PROTECTORATE

In your reply please refer

To No.

96, GRESHAM HOUSE,

LONDON.

2.7.16

Govt.

29/7/16

Dear Read:

Thank you for your Q 30. re the C.R. & Co's case  
from Cox per Sir H. Beeches. The battery etc. is worded  
exactly as comes by the Belgian War Office.

Re Cox concluding question - seeing that  
Belgian Notes are by Proclamation prohibited from  
import into England (they were being used by the Germans  
in Belgium as a means of drawing away British gold) -  
does it not follow that such notes are prohibited  
from import into the E.A. Protectorate in exchange  
for rupees which are based on gold standard?  
I can imagine many holders of Belgian Notes in the  
Sango glad to get rupees for them and then to  
freeze a currency incapable of gold standard.  
I have passed Cox' question to Belgian Co.  
but very certainly will ask myself another Qn to  
the Mys. I passed as to suggestion - at my first -