

EAST AFR. PROT.

C. O.  
45362

REC'D  
REC'D 8 NOV 14

45362

India Office

1914

17 Nov.

at previous Paper.

42/111

M. E. Solomon

No info resp. terms of transfer to Service of E.A.P. Refers to analogous case of H. Daniels & enquires whether the whole of Mr. Solomon's past service cannot count for pension the Indian have being adjusted as indicated.

Mr. Harris

? Reilly & I in Mr. Daniels's case the salary in E.A.P. exceeded Rs125 a month [Rs. 170 a month in 1904-5 Bluebook] and that there was in that case no question of his E.A.P. service not being questioned, the only difficulty being whether he could receive pension in respect of his Indian service (J.O. 19/36/12 & f.p.).

Point out that in Mr. Solomon's case, although the Indian service is admittedly of a pensionable character, the E.A.P. service, at a salary of less than Rs125 a month, counts for pension only. Say that he are already in communication with the Gov. on the subject and that we propose to await his reply before communicating with them again.

subsequent Paper.

1042/14-5

For the moment just say that there would  
appear to be difference between the cases  
or there as in the last par. of minute. I  
could not explain further at present. There  
may be an unknown condition.

at one  
19 Nov 14

C. O.  
45362

211

Any further communication on this subject  
should be addressed to—

The Under Secretary of State for India,  
**Finance** Department,  
India Office,  
London, S.W.

and the following reference quoted:—

F. 6079.

REC<sup>d</sup>  
REC<sup>d</sup> INCL 14 INDIA OFFICE,

WHITEHALL,  
LONDON, S.W.

17th November 1914.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of  
Mr. Read's letter of 10th November, No. 42111, and to  
inform you that there is no information in this office  
regarding the terms of transfer of Mr. E. Solomon, or  
other clerks of the Indian Postal Department, to the  
service of the East Africa Protectorate.

It would appear, however, from the Governor's  
despatch that Mr. Solomon was transferred to East  
Africa with the sanction of the Indian Postal Authorities,  
and that his service should, therefore, be regarded as  
continuous.

In this connection I am to draw attention to  
the analogous case of Mr. K. Dwivedi, dealt with in  
Colonial Office letter of 6th May, 1911, No. 9688, and  
I am to enquire whether, under Rule 4 of the Protectorate

Under Secretary of State,  
Colonial Office.

Pansion

*Pension Regulations, the whole of Mr. Solomon's joint service, amounting approximately to 20 years, cannot count for pension, the Indian share being adjusted in accordance with Article 475, Civil Service Regulations, see Enclosure to India Office letter of 21st June 1912, F.2276.*

*I have the honour to be, Sir,*

*Your obedient Servant,*

*William Wilson*

*Financial Secretary.*

S.O. 45362/1914

Exp.

*S*

C. I.  
B. 20 NOV  
O. 23

213

23 November 1914.

Sir

DRAFT.

The U.S. & S. for India  
Finance Department,  
India Office

MINUTE.

Mr. Harper Nov 20/14

Mr. Bottomley 20/11/14

Mr.

Mr.

Sir G. Fiddes.

Sir H. Just.

Sir J. Anderson.

Lord Islington.

Mr. Harcourt.

I am directed to acknowledge  
the receipt of your letter of  
the 17<sup>th</sup> of Nov (F. 6079)  
and to ~~inform you~~ <sup>state</sup> that  
there would appear to  
be a difference between  
the cases of the  
transfers of Mr. Solomon  
and Mr. K. Dwivedi  
from the service of the  
Govt. of India to the  
Exp. service

P.T.O.

2. Mr. Harcourt has  
communicated with the  
Gov. of the East regarding  
the terms of the transfer  
of Mr. Solomon - and he  
proposes to await the  
Governor's reply before  
communicating further  
with the Marquess of  
Downe on the subject.

READ

of State