

1925

E. AFRICA

32481

Date

15th July, 1925.

385

WIDOWS' AND ORPHANS' PENSIONS SCHEME.
CONTRIBUTIONS OF MR. J. E. ROAD.

As more than three months elapsed between his appointment to Kenya and his transfer to the Uga Rly, option to discontinue his contributions was not open to him. Mr. Road must either be exempted from contributing from the beginning or must continue to contribute.

*See 24/7
Bottom by 24.7*

Previous Papers

MINUTES

Reference is made to a Memorandum from Mr. J. E. Road of the 15th June, which says that as he is not eligible for a pension he requests to be exempted from contributing to the scheme. It is proposed that his request for exemption shall be valid only if sent to the C.A. in writing within 30 days of the date of his appointment to the Uga Rly. It is pointed out that Mr. Road had not done this before he was transferred and he had not in the meantime applied to discontinue his contributions (as he may have done had he been in Kenya). It is recommended that his request for exemption be granted on condition that he should contribute to the scheme if he is appointed to a post in Kenya.

copy above & sent to B.T.C. 14 NOV 1925 B.S.M.E.A.

Continued on page

... well but it is not

introduction when he should have been 26
he had started contributing when he should have
done so at the time he was kept on and
not begun with the Railway President fund

It should be a great advantage to require
contributions to the WRO scheme throughout
his service and let him transfer his
into a new scheme contribution to the WRO
scheme that would be a great benefit
to the latter that would mean in effect
a monthly contribution. In the special
case I think it would be best to approve
his being regarded as if he had not
contributed throughout. I think it is a
mistake to let him contribute to the

A

This is the case
that is calculated
to him as well

B

CA Operative that will
be the fact stated in their accounts
to be a transfer of the amount in the
accounts of the WRO. The correct procedure is
to have a transfer of the amount in the
accounts of the WRO. The correct procedure is
to have a transfer of the amount in the
accounts of the WRO. The correct procedure is

See also requirements with the details of
the WRO scheme of the President fund as I
have said I find any details but have
not said with the law that transfer the
amount to the WRO of the President fund

is not to sustain the loss of the contribution
in the short period in Duxton. It is a small
sum and does not affect other calculations
It does not seem desirable for the S.P.F.
formally to approve of the amount being required
in effect. It is all right that in the
special case. The view of the fact that
the WRO's contribution to the President
fund the S.P.F. does not involve it
necessity to provide further the transfer of
the liability to contribute to the WRO scheme
but they need not do so further under
the law

336

W.A. 211/18

As there is to be irregularity of
some sort, we need not adjust the
total but allow a reduction to the
total fund and end of the year.
A transfer of 10% of the total fund
to the WRO scheme instead of
the P.F. This is no
claim of contribution in excess
above the total of 1 should
be no objection to the transfer
if it is not more than 10%

How long service and, that is;

fatal.

Co. 247 25

RECEIVED

COL OFFICE

32481

337

East African Department,
Colonial Office.

With reference to the second paragraph of your letter No. 30422/1925 of the 10th July, it is now observed that, as more than three months elapsed between the appointment of Mr. J.E.M. Noad to his post in Kenya and his transfer to the service of the Uganda Railway, the option to discontinue his contributions to the East African Widows' and Orphans' Pension Scheme was not open to him, and that he is, therefore, in the same position as Mr. W.R. Elliott.

In these circumstances, it will probably be agreed that Mr. Noad must either be exempted from contributing to the Scheme from the beginning or must continue to contribute.

W. R. Elliott

OFFICE DEPARTMENT.
CROWN AGENTS.
12th July, 1925.

~~was not~~ ^{suggested} as had been
stated in their letter of
the 5th of July ~~to the~~

in these ~~special~~
special circumstances

I am disposed to consider
that ~~it is not necessary~~
to ~~reopen~~ ^{reopen} further the

question of ^{his} ~~the~~ ~~Board's~~
Board's

ability to contribute

to the widows' orphan

securer scheme, and

should be glad to learn

whether you are prepared to

renew of the matter

The case of the
will
to deal with as indicated
in the letter to the C.A. of

the 10th of July

AMERY

has the Board is now
a contributor to the
Railway Provident
Fund

~~that the Board is now~~

that the Board is now
a contributor to the
Railway Provident
Fund