

1923

KENYA

C.O.  
41429

FROM

A. S. BROWNING.

T.S.L.  
TELEGRAMS

DATE

18th AUGUST 1923.

REGD.

REU 20 AUG 23

FOR CIRCULATION:-

Mr. Sir J. Bailey  
Mr. P. J. M. ...  
Mr. ...

Inst. U.S. of S.

Inst. U.S. of S.

Inst. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

SUBJECT

546

ALLEGED MURDER OF NATIVE.  
CASE OF MR. J. ABRAHAM.

.....In absence of special recommendation or application Abraham will serve sentence legally!

Previous Paper

G.A.G.  
39732

23 Aug 23

22 Aug 23

5.0

Branch of Derby

38

Subsequent Paper

M. G.  
46261

## MINUTES

We can, of course, send the substance of this to the Brigadier of Derby. But if we do, we will at once seize the g as it is a special recommendation or application. We sd. be prepared for that. Will you, please, advise whether, with so, by whom such a recommendation or application may - or must - be made?

As far as I can see from the (H. Indian Removal Act 1852), it is a matter of health or prison recommendation; but I cannot see what provision is made

Carry on his work not off

I will get a letter from Dr. G. L.  
about Abraham's physical health  
of the stage at such that he  
can cannot at present be  
held to fall within" (a)

Other questions therefore will have  
to be considered e.g.

(1) Is there proper prison accom-  
modation in Kenya for  
white serving long sentences

(2) Are such whites usually  
removed to the U.K. for  
safeguarding or on the  
ground that their sentence  
can be more efficiently carried  
into effect here?

There are the  
best friends  
-3 & in 1915.  
6.C.B.

White pris. on  
Island may be  
quite all right  
for a 2 yrs. sentence  
and

Cases are so rare  
that I doubt if there  
is a usual practice:  
at least European, of  
those we heard,  
certainly never  
was someone longer,  
but it was shorter  
than Abraham  
and

of the answers to (1) is no.  
Abraham can, and I think  
should be removed to this  
country.

of however it is yes, no (2)  
names of importance, and  
(in order to avoid any allegation  
of favouritism in the case  
of a Bishop's son) Abraham  
should not be removed  
unless that is the usual  
practice on the other grounds  
indicated in no (2).

H.R.

21/3/23

Mercantile for con-

cerned

7.8.23

P.D.O.

conveying his sentence not effect.

I rather gather from his tel.  
that Abraham's general health

is tolerable enough so that his  
case cannot at present be  
held to fall within" (a).

Other questions therefore will have  
to be considered e.g.

(1) As there proper prison accom.  
modation in Kenya for  
white serving long sentences?

(2) Are such whites usually  
removed to the U.K. for  
safes custody or on the  
ground that their sentences  
can be more efficiently carried  
into effect there?

White pris. on  
Island say w. u  
quite all right  
for a long sentence  
and

Cases are so rare  
that I doubt if there  
is a usual practice:  
the last I remember of  
was we heard,  
certainly served  
his sentence locally,  
but it was shorter  
than Abraham's

and

If the answer to (1) is no  
Abraham can, and I think  
should be removed to this  
country.

of however it is yes, no (2)  
becomes of importance, and  
(in order to avoid any allegations  
of favouritism in the case  
of a Bishop's son) Abraham  
should not be removed  
unless that is the usual  
practice on the other grounds  
indicated in no (2)

J.R.

21/8/23

Whereas you concur

Recd

11.8.23

H. Parker

You may like to see the  
Bishop's reply of  
23 Aug. attached

Acct  
24/8/23

Mr. Parkinson

Thank you very

NN.

24/8/23

Patty Acct

25/8/23

elbow

PMW

Mr. Lester  
you may like to see the  
original reply of  
23 Aug. enclosed

Aref  
24/8/23

Mr. Patterson

Thank you for

RA.

24/8/23

Patty Aref  
25.8.23  
alone

Mr. Aref

1. 23.

REEDON

DEPTH

in the Portion con-

I am much indebted

To you for both your letter  
and for the B.S.A. Assoc.  
Minutes. I have copied the  
Minutes which deal with the  
Complaint, and I leave it  
open to you.

Your first letter of Decr 1st  
indicates that all the conditions  
are far more 'Bormes' than  
had in any way anticipated  
with regard to trials and  
juries etc.

Unless, as you say, Cutcher  
Chapman may have been  
killed in cold blood Aug. 1914  
which may be the expression  
of words at the outbreak of  
war.

I quite agree that it is not  
advisable to write on this  
especially now in full public view,  
and I would just wish that the  
same to avoid any appearance of  
bias it appears to write me off.

To the Colonial Secretary -  
we will go on sending him  
any other son - and shall  
choose of their relatives  
as far as is their possible

The information you have  
gathered for me, as contained  
in your second letter of 20.  
is most valuable, and will  
need a good deal of consideration  
of the grounds for my proposal  
an application would not be  
in his case, therefore taking  
proper account of the  
safe custody, or efficacy  
in carrying the relatives into  
effect. The possible danger  
health or another matter

in the Government of RENAISSANCE  
which is important DEBTS  
and some other difficulties and  
these have been mentioned  
briefly.

As far as action can be had  
it would be best if we removed  
one if it were possible but  
would have no knowledge  
here, one way is the  
time.

It looks to me as if the best  
way I could do now would  
be to get out these aspects  
as soon as may be, and  
negotiations are being made.  
It may not be possible, but  
you have probably provided  
me with all possible  
material for decision.

I am your very truly

Charles D. May.

I quite agree that it is not  
desirable to write on this in the Government READING  
especially, as it has been said would be superseded DERBY  
and would not wish that our own efforts, and  
ours & several neighbors of the same nature even better  
kind appear to write themselves off.

To the Colonial Secretary,

The next step I have ~~now~~ had  
is to go on sending them, would wish to do so  
as my other son - West Point - one of whom presents, and  
desire of their reading, occurs to me as unavoidable  
as far as is there possible.

The information you were  
gathered for me, as long as it looks to me as if he had  
in your second letter of 20<sup>th</sup> Aug. I could do very well  
is most valuable, and will  
need a good deal of consideration  
as soon as may be, and  
most of the ground for returning  
the application would not or  
itself be possible, but  
in his case, increasing talk  
proper accounts datum will  
be safer custody, or office  
in carrying the sentence into  
effect. The possible danger  
health is another matter.

I am yours very truly,  
Charles Dwyer.

83

550

41429

REG'D

20 AUG 23

Telegram from the Officer Administering the Government of Kenya to the Secretary of State for the Colonies.

Dated 18th August.

(Received Colonial Office 9.30 a.m. 19th August, 1923).

397-326 235 18th August your telegram 11th August  
in the absence of special recommendation or application  
Abraham will serve sentence locally

OFFICE

TELEGRAMS

551

No. of Telegrams

W

Send,	From
By	

april 9 1914

This Bureau cannot accept  
any inquiry made  
regarding this Telegram.

Sent	4 420	05
To	U.S.A.	
By	TELEGRAPH	

1914

Office of Origin, Foreign Number, No. of Words, Date, Time by

TELEGRAPH

RECEIVED  
APR 10 1914

harrobi objs 1254 10 1914

Chapelettes Fondue.

235 Yachryader jejuinsianus up  
apartyska baksanische reyries  
Indonesia

Aug 21 1929 Kew

552

To my signature  
and

W.M.

DRAFT.

To the Rev. Bishop of Derby  
Reply

Derby

22 Aug 1929

MINUTE.

Recd 7/7/29

Rev. Bishop of Derby

Re: His / Respy 21/7/29

Mr. Halliday with

Mr. Evans  
Sir G. Grindell.  
Sir H. Read.  
Sir J. Masterman Smith.  
Mr. Ormsby-Gore.  
Duke of Devonshire.

Upon the 9<sup>th</sup> of August  
I suggested that you  
had not decided about  
going to E. Africa  
until you had heard  
further from Mr. T.O.

A Tel. was sent in  
the afternoon asking him  
to report whether you  
would like to know if  
this country, if so  
when, & whether  
you will be able to have

the information.

access to him in the ordinary course of his imprisonment.

The appr's reply is as follows:-

In the absence of a valid remonstrance or application, the authority will be exercised locally.

To appear that in the present case, the which is governed by the provisions of the Colonial Prisoners Removal Act 1884, the only ground on wh. such an "order" or applic' would be based are

- (1) provide danger to ~~the~~ life or permanent injury to health from local imprisonment;
- (2) absence of proper prison accommodation locally;
- (3) special reasons wh. would make removal to Great Britain expedient for the super custody of the prisoner; or for more efficiently carrying on sentence with effect.

Should such an application be made, it will be referred to the Govt of India, and the Governor of Madras will be requested to make a report.