

1923

E. AFRICA

C.O.  
00369  
12 DEC 23

FROM  
COLONIAL

DATE  
DECEMBER 1923

FOR CIRCULATION :-  
Mr. *Donnell*  
Mr. *Baldwin*  
Mr. *Stigebly*  
Asst. U.S. of S.  
  
Perm' U.S. of S.  
Part' U.S. of S.  
Secretary of State.

SUBJECT  
OFFICERS UNDER INSTRUCTION  
  
LODGING AND TRAINING ALLOWANCES  
  
Minutes as to period for which allowances should be paid.

Previous Paper  
*C.6*  
*35701* 23

MINUTES  
*copied*  
The minutes within shows the point which is for conison, namely whether the rule that a medical officer, attending a course of instruction which involves less than 5 days a of week work, receives training allow. only for the actual days of attendance should (as in Afr. 1087) be restricted to officers who remain at their usual place of residence.

See *C.6* 1960  
See *C.6* 41500 23 ER

*Put in*

Subsequent Paper  
*P.O. 8188* 26

The minutes on *4/19/60* up to of the Jan. 1923 contemplated

## MINUTES

MINUTES NOT TO BE WRITTEN  
ON THIS SIDE.

the rule being applied generally. The restriction of it to officers staying at their homes was proposed in Mr. Cadden's minute of 11<sup>th</sup> Jan 1923, for the reason that it is difficult to refuse training allow. if lodging allow. is also drawn.

In the case of Dr. Briscoe the attendance was fairly regular from Sept. 11<sup>th</sup> to Sept. 25<sup>th</sup>. Then he attended for two days after a gap of five days, and for two more after another gap of 23 days.

In minuting the file I overlooked the restriction of the ruling, & Dr. Briscoe has been paid lodging allow. for the whole period and

training allow. for the days of attendance only. I think this is fair in the circumstances & I would suggest that in order to cover a case like this the rule should be made applicable to officers drawing lodging allow. by deleting the words "who stay at their usual place of residence while" in the footnote on page 3 of Apr. 1921.

If, however, this is considered too dangerous from the point of view of the B.M.A., or undesirable in other respects, we should, in writing to the Gov. on the Briscoe case, explain that the payment was made owing

ing to the ruling being  
announced: I think  
we should read that  
the payment may stand,  
but that if Dr. Briscoe  
protests that it is not  
correct it shall be  
revised at a time  
that we have not had  
in this case than if  
without training after  
and we paid in the  
the amount of \$1.46 to  
the fund and Oct 2<sup>nd</sup>  
to the fund. I would  
not see a remarkable  
without dealing with  
the other in the same

Oct 3 1887

Mr. Jeffrey spoke to me  
about this, and I  
agree with his minute.

It is a pity to amend  
the rule just after a  
revised edition of A. J. 1887  
has come out, but there  
is not a strong case for

...ing to the ruling being  
...med. I think  
... could add that  
the payment may stand,  
but that of Dr. Brouce  
...ents that it is not  
...ible it would be  
...med out to him  
that we have got more  
in this way than if  
...dents to training allow  
and we pay for the  
...ments sept. 1<sup>st</sup> to  
... and Oct 15<sup>th</sup>  
... which would  
... have a reasonable  
method of dealing with  
... training to cover

13/12/23

Mr. Jeffers spoke to me  
about this, and I  
agree with his minutes.

It is a pity to amend  
the rule just after a  
revised edition of Rf, 1087  
has come out, but there  
is not a strong case for

455  
maintaining the distinction  
between Officers 'who stay  
at their usual place of  
residence' and others for  
this purpose.

(I do not think that the  
BMA will object. The new  
edition of Rf, 1087 whittles  
down study leave privileges  
considerably, and the BMA  
were bound to have objected  
had they had effect.)

H.D.

13/12/23

Rf, 1087 agrees with the S.A.  
Practice in laying down that  
if the man leaves away from home  
during the course he may draw  
full lodging allowance & full  
training allowance, but that if he  
lives at home during the course  
(450 does not draw lodging allowance)  
he only gets full ~~the~~ training  
allowance if he puts in 5 days  
a week.

I see no reason to depart from  
S.A. practice generally because  
in particular cases we have taken  
action differently, or to revive  
that action seeing that the other  
way will be better by getting lodging  
allowance

owing to the ruling being  
reversed, I think  
we should not that  
the judgment may stand,  
but that if Dr. Briscoe  
protests that it is not  
warrantable and should be  
reversed, we should be  
that we have got more  
in the way than if  
we should have given all  
and we should be the  
consequence of it to the  
the fact that we should  
to the same would  
and we should have  
method of dealing with  
the same in the case.

1887

Mr. Leffler writes to me  
about this, and I  
agree with his minute.  
It is a pity to amend  
the rule just after a  
revised edition of P.J., 1887  
has come out, but there  
is not a strong case for

moving to the ruling being  
... I think  
we should add that  
the payment may stand,  
but that of Dr. Briscoe  
... that it is not  
... to be  
... to him  
... get more  
... than of  
... allow  
... paid for the  
... 10th &  
... 12th  
... would  
... reasonable  
... with  
... the case

13-22

Mr. Jeffrey wrote to me  
about this, and I  
agree with his minute.  
It is a pity to amend  
the rule just after a  
revised edition of Afs 1087  
has come out, but there  
is not a strong case for

455  
maintaining the distinction  
between Officers 'who stay  
at their usual place of  
residence' and others for  
this purpose.

(I do not think that the  
BMA will object. The new  
edition of Afs 1087 whittles  
down study leave privileges  
considerably, and the BMA  
who have had copying  
have raised no protest.)

HJD

13.12.73

Afs 1087 agrees with the C.A.  
practice in laying down that  
if the man leaves away from home  
during the course, he may draw  
full lodging allowance & full  
training allowance, but that if he  
leaves at home during the course  
(& so does not draw lodging allowance)  
he only gets full ~~the~~ training  
allowance if he puts in 5 days  
a week.

I see no reason to depart from  
C.A. practice generally, because  
in a particular case we have taken  
action differently, or to worry  
that action saying that the officer  
was well treated by getting lodging  
allowance

allowance during a three weeks break  
of  
i.e. I would advise to (1087) in  
future & let the house be case  
sleep till the office looks it up.

W.C.S. 15.12.23.

I agree. As to the boys' case I don't want to  
raise the point, but we seem to have been overgenerous,  
we have asked for 30 days, & he is giving him 47-

C.S. 18/12

at home

seen & noted in file 13117/5A

Put by

C. J. J. 19.12.23

at home

allowance during a three weeks break  
of  
i.e. I would advise to (1087) in  
future & let the particular case  
sleep till the other worker is off.

C.S. 15.12.23.

I agree. As to the ~~work~~ <sup>work</sup> I don't want to  
raise the point, but we seem to have been overgenerous,  
when he only asked for 30 days, ~~etc~~ in giving him 47-

C.S. 48/12

C. J. J.

See & noted in file 1317/8A

Put by C. J. J. 14.12.23

at once

THE LONDON LOCK HOSPITAL AND HOME,  
283 Harrow Road,  
LONDON, W.9.

28th November, 1923.

His Grace The Duke of Devonshire, KG. PC., G.C.M.G.  
Secretary of State for the Colonies  
Colonial Office, S.W.1.

My Lord Duke.

In reply to your letter of the 22nd instant, I am directed by the Medical Committee of the Hospital to inform you that Dr. R. C. Briscoe attended the clinical practice of the Male Lock Hospital on the following dates:-

September	11th
"	12th
"	13th
"	17th
"	18th
"	19th
"	22nd
"	25th

and at the Female Lock Hospital on the following dates-

September	11th
October	1st
"	2nd
"	25th
"	27th

and after consultation with Mr Charles Gibbs, FRCS. (one of our Senior Hon Surgeons) I am to inform you that it is considered by the Medical Committee that the clinical attendances made by Dr Briscoe should have enabled him to have extended his knowledge and experience of venereal diseases, especially from the practical point of view. The clinical material is so large and the opportunities for learning quick are so good, that it is felt Dr Briscoe has benefitted very much from his short course of clinical study.

I am etc.

(Sd) Henry J Eason

Secretary.

27 Lock Hospital 28th November, 1923.

(Report on his course of study).

Mr. Jeffries,

The days of attendance are only 13 in all, spread over the period 21st September to 27th October, leaving a break of 23 days in October. Dr. Briscoe himself asks for one month's allowances and I think this might be granted. When he called he mentioned that he had not used the railway but had had come to London by motor car. I might have asked him whether it was his own car or a friend's, but omitted to do so as I thought he would put this in his letter.

Mr. Harper heard what passed and drew my attention to the ruling on File 14132 W.A.F.F.(34). I suggest that that ruling viz., that first class fare should be allowed for the return, should be adopted for East Africa.

2A. 1/2 mile S.A. 1/2 day.

30 days lodging allowance £ - a day

30 days travelling allowance £ - a day

1st class return from Am. international

subject to Dr. Briscoe's signing the usual agreement.

? inform Dr. B. accordingly and say that he is now qualified for passing the £700 efficiency bar.

? copy of 27 and our letter to Dr. Briscoe to Gov. L.F.

(Intld) M.J.

3.12.23.

(He sails next Wednesday).

Mr. Brownie

Mr. Bastonley

As regards the travelling expenses I think we may very well accept the ruling on file 14132 W.A.F.F. for civil E.A. cases. The ruling should be noted in S.R.1. and in S.R.E.A. as well as in S.R.3.

oted C.I.  
E.A.)

(Intld) H.F.W.  
oted

(Intld) M.J.  
7.12.23.

As regards allowances, lodging allowance should be

27 Lock Hospital 28th November, 1923.

(Report on his course of study).

Mr. Jeffries,

The days of attendance are only 13 in all, spread over the period 11th September to 27th October, leaving a break of 23 days in October. Dr. Briscoe himself asks for one month's allowances and I think this might be granted. When he called he mentioned that he had not used the railway but had had come to London by motor car. I might have asked him whether it was his own car or a friend's, but omitted to do so as I thought he would put this in his letter.

Mr. Harper heard what passed and drew my attention to the ruling on File 14132 W.A.F.F. (34). I suggest that that ruling viz., that first class fare should be allowed in such cases, should be adopted for East Africa.

? Authorise C.A. to pay.

30 days lodging allowance 5/- a day

30 days training allowance 5/- a day

1st class return from Amminster-London

subject to Dr. Briscoe's signing the usual agreement.

? inform Dr. B. accordingly and say that he is now qualified for passing the £700 efficiency bar.

? copy of 27 and our letter to Dr. Briscoe to Gov. L.F.

(Intld) M.J.

3.12.23.

(He sails next Wednesday).

Mr. Downie

Mr. Bastomley

As regards the travelling expenses I think we may very well accept the ruling on file 14132/W.A.F.F. for

oted C.I.

E.A.)

(Intld) H.F.W. in S.R.E.A. as well as in S.R.3.

oted

(Intld) M.J.

7.12.23.

As regards allowances, lodging allowance should be

paid

paid from September 11th to October 27th inclusive i.e. 47 days, as he evidently had to remain in London during this period; but as the attendance was not equivalent to 5 days in the week training allowance should be paid only for the actual days (12) of attendance.

(Intld) C.J.J.3.12.23.

I agree.

(Intld) H.F.D.3.12.23.

(Intld) W.C.B.  
3.12.23 at once.

To C.A.4th December, 1923.

(Course allowances and fees).

...to be  
...formed of the  
...ve; the  
...Dept C.A.  
...aised to  
...ad over a minute  
...arding these  
...ments).

Mr. Jeffries.

I have ascertained that C.A. paid Dr. Briscoe his money in cash on board ship and obtained his receipt.

Before drafting to C.A. I pointed out to you that the

restriction of training allowance to the actual days of attendance is not in accordance with the rule in AFR.1087 (August 1923) which so restricts it only in the case of officers who are not living away from home. You decided to let the draft go as directed and to look into the intention of the minutes which led to that rule. They are on C.O.4960/23 W.A.  
E.A. (The rule was actually adopted on C.O.35181/23 W.A.) and I think the intention was to confine the restriction to officers not living away from home.

(Intld) M.J. 7.12.23.