

EAST AFR. PROT

C @
27676REC
REC'D 3 JUN 20

A. G.
DURING

447

1920

30th April

Last previous Paper.

156915/9

CURRENCY NOTE GUARANTEE FUND

Trans letter from Treasurer re and re depreciation of securities. Suggests £50,000 be transferred to Prot A/C on behalf of Currency Commissioners.

Mr. Botstanley

I am sorry this has not been sent or before.

Para. 4 of the Treasurer's memo implies that he thought that the £50,000 which had been or were being issued were being paid for by sterling payments on the part of the banks to the account of the Currency Commissioners in London.

I have spoken to Mr. Willis of C.A.S. Office, however, and he confirms my belief that no payment by the ~~said~~ the banks on account of currency issued locally have

have been credited to the Post account.

Therefore, the 5 cables in question have
already been used against payments made
this way, the £50,000 has in effect
been repaid to the Post by the currency
commissioners, forming part of the
£55,000 referred to in Govt/29997.

Except at 66
receiving for the
Post £50,000
Currency
Year Oct - 1947
CJ

The recommendation that the
sum should not be paid over from
the fund of the currency commissioners
presumably ~~by~~ ~~before~~ ~~when~~ was based
on the assumption that these funds had
been increased as a result of the
issue of the bonds. Thus, as has been
~~said~~, ~~it~~ ~~is~~ ~~not~~ the case, and
all that is necessary I think, is
for an £50,000 to be left to the
end of the Post account when the
~~many~~ ~~various~~ accounts are finally
adjusted and the currency commissioners
are handed over to the new
Currency Board.

? Reply that as the ~~bonds~~ ^{are} now
arrived (in Happy hour), payment on
account of the currency issued locally
have

have been credited to the Post account.

Therefore, the 5 lakhs in question have already been used against payments made in this way, the £30,000 was in effect repaid to the Post by the currency commissioners, forming part of the £35,000 referred to in Govt/29997.

The recommendation that the sum should not be paid over from the fund of the Bureau Commissioners presumably ~~subsidies~~ was based on the assumption that this fund had been exhausted as a result of the ~~expenditure~~ the ~~expenditure~~, as has been the case in most of the cases, and all that is necessary, I think, is for the £30,000 to be left to the end of the Post account when the ~~currency~~ ~~commission~~ accounts are finally adjusted and the currency commissioners' names handed over to the new currency board.

I reply that as the ~~Govt~~ now are in deficit (about), payment on account of the currency was ~~done~~ done

^{Except the}
records for the
last 6 months
Currency

have been credited to the Prot. funds and
not those of the Commissioners : and as
in last para. of above minute

C. I. J. 23.6.20.

W.C.S. 23.6.20.

~~comes~~

to Jeffries.

COLONIAL PROTECTORATE,

1447

557

27676

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

NAIROBI.

REG'D 5 JUN 20

BRITISH EAST AFRICA

30 April, 1920.

My Lord,

With reference to my despatch No. 861 of the
3rd September last, reporting the position of the coin
portion of the Note Guarantee Fund, I have the honour
to forward for Your Lordship's information, a copy of
a letter from the Treasurer, with enclosures, on the
subject of the Note Guarantee Reserve and the
depreciation of Securities:

2. I suppose the suggestion in paragraph 4 of
Mr. Kempe's letter that the Brown Agents be instructed
to transfer to the Protectorate Account the sum of
£100,000 held by them on behalf of the Currency
Commissioners, and have the honour to request that Your
Lordship will issue the necessary instructions.

3. I propose to reply shortly to Your Lordship's
Circular despatch of 22nd November 1919 on the subject
of the depreciation of the sterling securities of the
Currency Note Guarantee Fund, and to deal, at the same

time

RIGHT HONOURABLE

VISCOUNT MILNER, P.C., G.C.B., G.C.M.G., &c., &c.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET.

LONDON. S.W.

558

time, with the other portions of the Fund, the
values of which are fixed in Sterling.

I have the honour to be,

Your Lordship's

humble, obedient servant,

J. Bowes

ACTING GOVERNOR.

In Durban

447080 4 1920

C. O

27676

20/10/1920.

The Treasury,
P. O. Box Nanda,
Nairobi, 5th April 1920.

3 JUN 1920

Hon'ble Ag. Chief Secretary.

559

Currency Note Reserve.
Ex-Governor, 1916-1920.

In 1916 5 lakhs of Rupees were advanced from Protectorate Surplus balances to the Currency Commissioners to supplement the coin portion of the Note Guarantee Fund, which was less than the requisite proportion of the total note issue. This was approved by the Secretary of State in a telegram dated the 10th May 1916. When the coin portion of the fund again rose above the requisite amount the 5 lakhs were left with the Currency Commissioners to meet emergencies.

2. In December last the Auditor reported that the invested portion of the Note Guarantee Fund (including the Depreciation Fund) was less than the amount of the fund taken for investment by Rx. No. 31074-43, and pointed out that under section 20(3) of the Currency Order in Council 1909, the amount should be paid out of the General Revenue of the Protectorate. He suggested that in this view since the 5 lakhs lent from the Protectorate surplus balances should be returned. He did not however take into account the depreciation of the sterling investments in relation to the rupee liabilities. The Auditor's suggestion was not carried out in view of the fact that the Protectorate's financial position is not such as to admit of a final payment of the sum mentioned and it was considered advisable to leave the full 5 lakhs of coin with the Currency Commissioners.

3. Since the Auditor's report was received the Rupee has been stabilized at Rs/- so that a more accurate statement of the deficiency can be made and a communication with regard to the establishment of a depreciation fund for investments generally has been received from the Secretary of State. I am addressing a separate communication to you on this subject pointing out that the Protectorate is not at present in a position to make any contribution to such a fund from its revenue and suggesting that if any profit is made on the sale of Indian Rupees by the Government the proceeds should be in the first place devoted to meeting this depreciation.

4. The five lakhs of Rupees advanced from Surplus balances are now being drawn out by the Banks to meet the shortage of coin, either on payment in London to the account of the Currency Commissioners with the Green Agents or in exchange for notes which have been paid for in this manner. The five lakhs are therefore represented at the present time by 250,000 held by the Green Agents on behalf of the Currency Commissioners. As this sum no longer fulfills the function for which it was intended, namely supplementing the coin portion of fund, and as the Protectorate

is heavily overdrawn with the Crown Agents and requires the money I suggest that the Secretary of State should be asked if the Crown Agents may be instructed to transfer it to the Protectorate account. His decision will of course depend upon his view of the suggestion that the Protectorate should not at the present time attempt to make good any part of the depreciation of its sterling securities out of its revenue or by increasing its overdraft.

4. I attach the accounts of the Currency Commissioners and the Auditor with my opinion adjoined in this case, and a communication referred to the former. These accounts have reference to me, and alter my opinion.

sd / R. A. Kemp,
Treasurer.

OFFICES OF THE CURRENCY BOARD

NONHAMA, 29th MARCH 1920.

The Hon. Treasurer,
Nairobi.

561

I am directed by the Currency Commissioners
to acknowledge receipt of your Note No. 100/2/20
160/10/188

of the 20th instant, covering draft made to the Hon.
Asst. Chief Secretary, on the subject of the 8 lacs advanced
by the Protectorate and, in reply, to say, if the
original adjustment of the deficiency in the invested
portion of the Note Guarantee Fund which was made in
December 1917 at the Auditor's request and to which
I referred in my letter N.P. No. 86/80 of 22nd January
1920 para 4 was correct, that the still smaller
that the 8 lacs should form part of the depreciation
Fund. At the same time they realize that the Protec-
torate's financial position is not now such as to admit
of the final payment of this amount or of the regular
amount asked for by the Auditor in his letter No.
864/87 of 22nd December 1919. Especially as in the
letter case the amount of the deficiency is now
increased owing to further fall in value of the
acquisition.

My suggestion would therefore be that you submit
my letter N.P. No. 86/80 of 22nd January 1920 together
with your memorandum to the Auditor in the position in
the letter and in addition

that the following statement be made to him:- That the
Commissioners have no objection to the
proposal whereby the further amount of £ 10,000/- in the
form of £ 50,000, to the Agent Agents for the credit
of the Protectorate account but that it is pointed out that
at present only £ 40,000 has been paid by the Bank of
England to the "Agent Agents" on account of silver issued

84/- FRANCIS V. TILBURY
Secretary
to the Agent Agents

AUDIT OFFICE,

NAIROBI.

22nd March, 1920.

562

Mr. Treasurer,

Nairobi.

The situation would appear to be sufficiently serious. According to the last statement of the Currency Commissioners the amount of notes in circulation on the 10th March - Rs. 8,363,000.

To cover this there are:

A.	Invested portion	4,072,580
B.	Cash	1,264,097
C.	Securities Depreciation Fund	<u>516,560</u>
		5,853,237

or a shortage to be made up of Rs. 2,507,513. If the demand of the Bankers is that they be allowed to export Indian Rupees from India to the extent of its borrowing on the 11th February be agreed to (a sum which I understand amounts to over £4 lacs) there would not appear to be much money to come from the sale of Indian Rupees. Perhaps the Imperial Government would issue a loan to cover the depreciation.

sd/- H.H. S. RAZZOOG.

AUDITOR.

OFFICES OF THE CURRENCY BOARD
23rd January 1920.

50.

Hon'ble
The Treasurer,
Nairobi

563

Re: Your 160/10/121a of 17th January 1920.

I am directed by the Currency Commissioners to acknowledge receipt of your minute under reference covering the Acting Auditor's letter No. 324/57 of the 2nd ultimo, on the subject the depreciation of securities forming the invested portion the Note Guarantee Fund and, in reply, to inform you that we agree in principle to the proposed adjustment.

I am, however, to observe that, whilr, on the one hand, the Commissioners are of opinion that the deficit of Rs. 31,974.40 (whatever may be the corresponding figure at the date on which the payment is made) should now be paid from Reserve under section 20 (3) of the Currency Order in Council 1905, they do, on the other hand, consider themselves justified in agreeing the present time, to a refund of the advance of Rs. 5,00,000. We have recently been large drawings of silver by the Banks these are likely to continue and if the proposal to load Indian Re.1 notes in the coin portion, in exchange for silver sent to the Banks, goes through, the silver reserve will be considerably depleted. Even were the repayment of the Rs. 5,00,000 made in the Protectorate Currency Notes the Commissioners have to find cover in coin for an additional Rs. 2,50,000 actually, no more coin in reserve than they now pos-

I am to invite your attention to the following statement of the position of the coin portion at dat to illustrate Commissioners' wish to defer repayment of the Rs. 5,00,000 present:-

Notes in circulation	Rs. 91,33,200
Whereof one half	45,66,500

Actual amount of Coin portion	
o/a Note Guarantee Fund	Rs. 44,14,497.81
Gold and Silver	1,44,600.00
Exchange Depreciation	1,50,000.00
	<u>Rs. 52,09,097.81</u>
or a surplus of	Rs. 8729,507

SUMMARY

Bombay.	London.
Rs. 6,30,000.00	Rs. 26,75,000
<u>19,04,097.81</u>	
Rs. 25,34,097.81	Rs. 26,75,000
	<u>25,34,097.81</u>
	Rs. 52,09,097.81

Returning to the Acting Auditor's letter I am to say that Commissioners regard the excess shown in the second statement merely fictitious in as much as the present value of investment is not their real value owing to the rate of exchange over, the coin portion is shown on paper to have a considerably greater value than that, which, for practical purposes, it really possesses.

I am in this connection to observe that the origin of this of showing how the note circulation is related in relation depreciation of the securities forming the invested portion Note Guarantee Fund is somewhat obscure but it does, in appear to have originated in the Auditor's letter No. 324/57 of the 20th June 1917 addressed to yourself.

Therein the Auditor invites attention to a deficit of Rs.7,12,553 between the face value of the notes in circulation and the present value of investments plus the coin portion and the Depreciation Fund together and, allowing for the Rs.5,00,000 advanced by you asks that the balance of the deficiency viz. Rs.2,12,553 be made good "in accordance with section 20 (3) of the Currency Order in Council 1905".

5. In the Commissioners' opinion this is an erroneous construction of the provisions of the sub-section quoted which deals specifically with the depreciation of securities in relation to the amount of coin taken for investment, only. If, however, the subsection is capable of carrying the construction placed upon it by the Auditor then they consider that, when the payment of Rs.2,12,553 was made from revenue, the Rs.5,00,000 became a final payment and no longer an advance vide para 2 of my memo No. 36/56 of the 8th April 1919. If not, then the Auditor's request for the adjustment of Rs.2,12,553 was in error and it is because they adhere to this view that, as I have stated above, they agree to refund to you the Rs.5,00,000 when it appears practicable to do so.

6. I am to request the favour of your comments on the foregoing paragraphs 4 and 5 as it appears to the Commissioners that there has, in the past, been some confusion of thought in respect to these payments from revenue.

sd/- FRANK V. HODGSON.
Secretary
to the Currency Commissioners.

Copy 27676/20
S. A. G.

565

DRAFT.

P. 898
A.G.

MINUTE

1. (Opposite 24) Mr. Parkinson H. F. 20
February 26 J.P. Treasury regarding the
Currency Note Guarantee Fund.

Mr. Grindle.

Sir H. Lambert.

Sir H. Read.

Sir G. Fiddes.

Cd. Amery.

Lord Milner.

2. Your recommendation that

the 5 lakhs of rupees a advance

of 5 lakhs of rupees made to

the Currency Commissioners

in 1916 should now be

liquidated by ~~the~~

the transfer of £ 50,000 from

the funds held by the C.A.

20 January 1920

18

as far back as early of
the currency commissioners a
the Post Account was, I
assume, based on the
assumption that the funds
of the Currency Commissioners had
arrived by the working day.
Payments made in India by
the Bank in return for money
sent back.

I write, however, from you
at No 216 of the 16th June, (29997)
that you are now aware that
the payments have been credited
to the Post Account & not to
that of the Currency Commissioners,
the advance has in fact been
therefore, in effect been repaid, and
I consider that it will only be
necessary,

DRAFT.

MINUTE.

necessary, when the accounts are
finally adjusted and the
monies to the credit of the
Currency Commissioners
currency note account handed
over to the Currency Board,
to leave £50,000 of the
monies to the credit of the Post
account in final settlement
of the advance.

I have n.

(Signed) MILNER