

EAST AFR. PROT.
26026

4
302
1912

Surry.
Date
1912
August
Previous Paper

PENSIONS FOR PRIOR SERVICE IN ARMY

in case of certain N.C.O.'s who left the Army in order to become Inspectors of Police.
Unable for reasons given to depart from previous decision not to reckon such service for pension.

762

~~to be~~ General Dept.

The message seem to be unmovable on this point. But how anyone can persist with reason to maintain their attitude - I cannot imagine.

The reply to: they refer to the ~~you~~ despatch of 31 January in File 762. Ed. seems to me quite unsatisfactory. It amounts to this:-

We have always interpreted § 5 of the Superannuation Act 1872 in this way, and right or wrong, we mean to stick to it. We will not understand it as being

In by Address

See my minute in Butler's file (ff 708)
for a summary of the position.

I was so much struck by Mr Butler's
minute following it, that I thought we
could go in to the Treasury upon it as laid
down in letter of 31 May last 1812.

I may say that no one would have guessed
the point made here. Small Antislavery must
have done in the inter-Parliamentary Congress of
1807-8 but he effected nothing.

Now I find that we are not sufficiently in the
money. The Treasury have calculated that to
go behind the inter-Parliamentary which then laid down
place upon sec. 5 of the Act of 1807, would cost
them a very large annual sum. I think they
said about £70,000 a year. It shows a
considerable military service with a great
employment, and the necessity of this a great
explanation!

We can only explain to the House that we are
expected efforts the Treasury have not been made.

I doubt if the House of State will take the
matter up on the fact that money is difficult.

Wm. C. C. 1812

We cannot ask the J. of S. to take
the up, and about therefore
proceeding forward
at once

398

C. O.

26

TREASURY CHAMBERS.

19 AUG 1912

In the reply to this letter the following
Number should be quoted.

1128

14th August 1912.

Sir,

I have laid before the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury your letter of the 1st May last (762 S.A.) transmitting a copy of a despatch from the Governor of the East Africa Protectorate, relative to the case of certain non-commissioned officers who left the Army in order to become Inspectors of Police in the Protectorate.

I am glad to request you to inform the Secretary of State for the Colonies that the principle on which the decisions contained in the letters from this Department of the 14th April 1905 (6402/05) and 20th June 1911 (7049/11) are based is one to which My Lords attach the utmost importance in the administration of section 5 of the Superannuation Act, 1887, and having recently had occasion to consider the whole question afresh they are unable to contemplate any departure from it which would involve a serious increase of non-effective expenditure throughout the public services.

I am to add that the considerations urged in Sir P. Girouard's despatch as regards the two officers concerned appear to Their Lordships to be met by the fact that they voluntarily elected to seek their discharge from the Army.

I am,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

The Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.





1890

DRAFT

Est no 602

MINUTE

Mr. [unclear]
 Mr. [unclear]
 Mr. G. [unclear]
 Mr. H. [unclear]
 Mr. J. [unclear]
 Mr. [unclear]
 Mr. [unclear]

Receipt of [unclear]
 receipt of [unclear]
 receipt of [unclear]
 receipt of [unclear]
 receipt of [unclear]
 receipt of [unclear]
 receipt of [unclear]

Patience

to see

Richardson of the

Est Pol & [unclear]

inform you that [unclear]

~~will pay to [unclear]~~
~~Cancel~~
~~from the [unclear]~~

[unclear]

