

and ~~transmit~~ send by post ~~opportunities~~  
~~recovery~~ ~~regulate~~

~~as per~~ ~~proposed~~  
~~transmitted~~  
Land office clerk  
l'accounter  
is registered by  
via telegram of February 1912  
submitted

19

Harcourt

EAST AFRICAN  
UGANDA  
7996

C.O  
7956  
Recd  
16-MAR-12

Form 80  
Forward

TRANSPORT FACILITIES FOR OFFICERS

Date  
1912  
February

Fears unable to draw up one set of regulations suitable for both E.A.P and Uganda. Submits revised schedule for E.A.P for sanction. Trusts office may be allowed the free transport of three servants.

previous Paper.

Case  
20358

1912  
March 21 June 1912  
14/3/12  
15/3/12

at the  
Draft for 24/ from Uganda to me  
submitted on 20/3/12  
at me  
12/12  
22/12

Subsequent Paper

1912

203.  
April 16  
at me

46  
C/O  
7936

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,  
NAIROBI.  
BRITISH EAST AFRICA

February 3rd 1912.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

No. 80

Sir,

Inc no  
20356

I have the honour to refer to your despatch No. 373 of the 8th July 1911 on the subject of the regulations governing transport facilities for officers in the Protectorate.

Schedule

2. In obedience to your instructions I have conferred with the Governor of Uganda to see if one set of regulations could be drawn up and made applicable to both Protectorates. I fear however that such a course is not practicable owing to the fact that the Uganda Railway does not run in Uganda and even were an agreement in general terms possible I think that the numerous differences would entail such a variety of explanatory footnotes, exceptions, additions and exemptions that a reference to them all would, in all probability, only lead to confusion. In these circumstances I have thought it advisable to frame regulations for this Protectorate only and have now the honour to transmit for sanction a revised schedule in which are embodied

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

LEWIS HARCOURT, F.C., M.P.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET, LONDON, S.W.

*Inc.*  
*2005*

embodied all the amendments called for in the Treasury letter No. 10239/1911 of the 20th June 1911 which formed enclosure to your despatch under reply.

3. The only point which calls for special attention is the number of servants allowed to an officer when travelling.

4. I notice that the Lords Commissioners of the Treasury do not think it necessary to extend the privilege of three servants to unmarried officers but I would point out that there are certain Heads of Departments including a member of the Legislative Council who are unmarried and it would not appear to be desirable to differentiate by only allowing such officers two servants. I would therefore ask for a reconsideration of this ruling and trust that all officers may be allowed the free transport of three servants.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient servant.

*[Signature]*  
GOVERNOR.

