

DESPATCH

EAST AFR. PROT.

N^o. 35069C.O
35069

Rec

Rec

3 Oct 07

(Subject)

Loss of Rs 992.16

from money in charge of P.W. Dr

Baptist etc. According to Blame,

also by Fleeton, Warden George Ross and Mr. Sargent
and Col. Superintendent

(Minsed)

Mr. Read

As the cashier was the only person who had access to the safe where the money was kept, I think the Treasurer left. I think the Governor was right in telling the Govt. we could not be held responsible if he required to return the sum of Rs 992.16. I told the Govt. that it appears he is satisfied that there was no dishonesty on the part of the Cashier (Mr. Cordner) the money

No. 3

Baptist

Government Paper.

3/5/10

Cap

Cap

Government Paper

3/5/10

Ad. he remained by putting
him on half pay during half this
period. As he only gets to
Ad. 130 per month this will
take about 18 mos.

The Board of Inquiry took the
view that the other officers
of the drift. Mr. Ross, Mr.

Torow, Mr. Sergeant &
Mr. Fletcher Ad. her first
of the tops as in various ways
they made the tops feel
that they were presented
to bring his lower numbers
but I don't think that this
makes them particularly
refuse the

Mr. Fletcher claims our service
at the expiration of his leave
on the 12th inst. & we need say
nothing to him, but I do
believe there may be a
told that the S. of

C O
35069

Recd

5 OCT 07

220

Governor's Office

Nairobi

— August 28th 1907.

Africa Protectorate

confidential

(n° 53)

My Lord

I have the honour to submit the papers connected with the loss reported in my last of Ro 992/- from the Government moneys

to His Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for the Colonies

Browning Street

S. W.

in charge of the Public Works
Department at the Headquarter
office in Mombasa

I have held with the Treasurer
that Mr. Gordero, the Accountant
must be held responsible for
the loss but the proceedings
of the Board reveal considerable
negligence in the administration
of the Department for which
Mr. Flanner the Acting Director
of Public Works is to blame.

Mr. Fletcher, whom I have
considered satisfactory for
some time past and who
will not return to the
Protectorate Service after the
expiry of his leave, appears
to have behaved in an
extraordinary manner; he
did not make over charge

of his duties properly to his
successor, and was apparently
allowed by Mr. Tanner to
leave the Protectorate
without doing so.

3. All this emphasizes what
I have been constantly
pointing out, that the
Administration of this
Department, which has the
spending of large sums of
money annually, calls for
much closer supervision than
it has had. This supervision,
I hope it will enjoy
under the new Commissioner of
Works.

4. Neither can I altogether
exonerate Mr. Macgregor Ross
though

though he had nothing to do
with this particular incident,
for the want of discipline
and contravention of Regulations
which these proceedings show
to have taken place in his
Department, owing to the extra-
ordinary attitude he has
throughout assumed with
regard to the position of the
Public Works as a Protectorate
Department. On Mr Ross' minute
addressed to the members of the
Board of Inquiry I have had to
record my censure as shown
in my minute of to-day's
date.

I have the honour to be
with the highest respect
Yours etc

Your Lordship's most obedient
humble servant

J. Mayall

C.C.
35069

Ref. 3 Oct 07

Board of inquiry held 15th May 1907 under instructions
of the Acting Commissioner.

Members of board :-

Mr. Marston	(President)
" Barnes	
" Fowler	(Recorder)

Mr. Tanner examined stated on 6th May at 10 a.m. Sergeant told us that the cashier discovered a shortage of approximately Rs. 200/- in his cash. I then instructed Chief Accountant and Executive Engineer to examine the books and check his (the cashier's) cash in the safe. It was discovered that the shortage was Rs. 220/- . The cashier was called upon for an explanation of the shortage and he said it occurred. He said that he thought he had left a bag of Rs. 1,000/- on the top of the safe, on the 2nd May when he was outside paying the men.

I then took Sergeant the cashier, and the Executive Engineer to the Assistant District Superintendent of Police's Office on the 10th May about 8-90 p.m. and reported the facts, and he took down our statements.

He sent immediately and had all the office boy's houses searched, and during the following two days he searched all over the Public Works

2

Department yard and the boundaries, nothing was discovered.

The cashier generally makes small payments in the Office. On pay days a desk is taken just outside the Office in the yard about 6 to 8 feet from the door of the cashier's Office.

The Executive Engineer is always present when the monthly pay is issued.

There is no safe in the Cashier's Office, the cash is brought from the safe in the Public Works Department Office this was done on the 2nd May and placed on a table outside the cashier's office, in the ordinary wooden cash trays.

The cashier has been in the Public Works Department's Office for seven years and has never before had any deficiency in his cash, he draws a salary of Rs. 180/- per month.

In the Office where the cash safe is kept there are three other clerks. Fernandes, at Rs. 100 per month, P. S. Gordoiro, at Rs. 80/- per month, and a new man at Rs. 70/- per month. The Office boys sit outside in the passage. One clerk only is able to see the safe from his seat in the Office.

A surprise survey of the cash is made about once in every month by the Chief Accountant or the Executive Engineer.

The cash was balanced by the cashier on the 29th April and the cashier states it was found correct.

Mr. Fletcher late Executive Engineer terminated his duties on the 19th April and was instructed by me to hand over charge to Mr. Birch, which he failed to do.

(Sd.) W.M. Tanner.

15-5-1907.

examined stated I arrived in Mombasa on 28th March a week before Mr. Fletcher left I was in the office every day. Mr. Fletcher did not come near the place.

I did not take over any thing in the shape of tools and plant or cash. The first time I took over charge of the cash was on the 6th May when the deficiency was first reported, I found there was a shortage in the cash of Rs. 988/- Since then I have had the key of the safe in my possession and I have on each occasion checked the amount taken out and paid in. I have been told by Mr. Tanner that it is part of my duties to be present when payments are made, except when the payee can sign his name. I was present on the 6th May when the artisans who could not sign were paid. The payments were made in the Public Works Department yard just outside my office. I do not know whether the cashier brought the exact sum required for payment or more than was necessary.

Payments commenced on the morning of the 2nd May at 7-30 o'clock and finished the same day, about 10 a.m. I then left the cashier to square up his accounts

up his account as I considered I had no further responsibility. The cashier never left the place during the time payments were made. I first heard about the loss on Monday 6th May from the cashier between 7 and 9 a.m. who told me that he was short in his cash by about Rs. 750/-, later on he came again and said the loss was about Rs. 500/-.

When the cashier first informed me the shortage was Rs. 750/- I went to Mr. Sergeant and reported it. Mr. Tanner was present and instructed Mr. Sergeant to inspect the books. The only duplicate key of the safe is I understand with the Treasury.

(Sigd.) H.M. Birch.

18-5-1907.

R.J.F.Corbino examined stated I have been in the Public Works Department for seven years and for the last three years as cashier. Towards the end of last year Mr. Fletcher asked me to remove my seat from the office upstairs where the safe is and to sit in the same office as himself below.

Mr. Fletcher never counted my cash or checked my cash book. Before this time, when I was in the office where the safe is, Mr. Fletcher counted my cash once a month, but discontinued to do so when I was transferred to Mr. Fletcher's office down stairs. I understood the reason I was removed was that Mr. Fletcher wanted me to be his clerk.

Before Mr. Sergeant was appointed no one checked my cash.

On the 31st March last

On the 31st March last when I paid my balance into the Treasury my books were not checked by anybody.

I did not see the necessity for the balance being checked by Mr. Sergeant the Accountant.

On May 2nd I took from the safe in the up stairs office four trays each containing

Rs. 1,000/- and about Rs. 4,000/- in notes, but of the later I am not quite sure, knowing as far as I can remember in the safe one bag of Rs. 1,000/- one tray of Rs. 1,000/- and some notes.

To get at the trays in the safe I had to remove a bag of Rs. 1,000/- and in my hurry to get to the office below I believe I must have locked the safe and left the bag of Rs. 1,000/- on the floor in front of the safe.

The money was carried from the office upstairs by boys to the office below. The name of one boy is Sheik bin Ali, the name of the other boy I do not know as he was a stranger. The money was then taken from the office below as I required it to a table outside in the yard the rest of the money being left in the room where I could not see it. There were two clerks Mr. D. S. Marthin and Mr. M. de Bouc in the office where the balance of the money was left. When I required more money I asked a boy, Sheik bin Ali, to bring it to me.

To the best of my recollection I paid away the contents of one tray and a half in rupees

and returned to the

and returned to the safe in the evening with the balance of rupees via two ways and I believe I paid away most of the notes, but do not remember how many, if I say I returned to the safe. The notes were with me the whole time.

I had a brown canvas bag containing Rs. 1,000/- in the safe and after discovering my deficiency in the cash and trying to remember what could have become of it remembered I had not used this particular brown bag of rupees and that it was missing from the safe. I had only six brown canvas bags which I had made at M.R.D'Souza's, but the six empty bags are still on the top of the safe. The safe is situated behind the door leading into the upstairs General Office and can be seen when the office door is open by anybody entering the front door of the building.

I keep the key of the safe in my possession. I keep it in a key ring with other keys, when at home I sometimes leave my keys on the table and sometimes keep them in my pocket.

I balanced my cash book. It was balanced on the 29th of April and was correct, and was not checked again until the 5th May when the deficiency was discovered.

On the morning of the 5th May I did not know what my actual cash balance was but from my cash book the balance should have been Rs. 18700 - 0-2

When I got my orders to go downstairs Mr. Ross told me that the safe was to be kept upstairs. This was very inconvenient as I have occasion to open the safe two or three times a day.

Between the dates of 2nd and 4th May I did not see any clerk or boy of the Public Works Department in my own house.

(Sgd.) J. F. Cordeiro.

16-5-1907.

The monthly pay sheets are not passed, totalled or signed by the Head of the Department before payment is made by the Cashier and Executive Engineer.

At the end of ~~the~~ each month I prepare the pay sheets from the time books of the various time keepers.

The figures entered on the pay sheets are not checked by any body.

(Sgd.) J. F. Cordeiro.

M. J. Bennett. examined stated I have nothing to do with the work of the cashier on the cash. The cashier was never placed under me. In consequence of Mr. Expert on his arrival 29th June 1905, being short handed, and having no white Overseer, I used periodically to check the cash for him, but at no fixed periods generally once a month, and on Mr. Fletcher's arrival on the 28th April 1905 I continued to do so for a short time. By duties increasing and as it was not part of my work, it was decided that Mr. Fletcher should take over sole charge of the cash. When checking

cash. When checking the cash I did not initial the Cash Book as correct. I kept a memo of the balance until I received from the Executive Engineer the signed ~~and~~ statement in verification.

Requisitions for cash are signed by the Head of the Department, for the time being.

When I ceased to check the cash for the Executive Engineer I did not ~~sign~~ check the cash and hand over to him ~~formally~~.

The monthly pay sheets come into my hands about the 10th or 11th of the following month when I check the extensions and see that the additions are correct. The pay sheets are signed, after all the payments are made.

The reason the safe was ~~was~~ left in its present position was that it was contemplated moving to Nairobi and leaving the Executive Engineer in charge, when the safe would be better in its present position.

(Sgd.) John Sergeant.

19-8-1907.

~~not~~
R.A. Fernandes,
stated - On May 2nd I was in the office when the safe was opened and money taken out for paying the men. When arrived I did not see any bag of rupees on the top of the counter on the ground, and I do not remember the cashier coming back that morning to open the safe. I did not see him return any money to the safe. I was in the office the whole of the morning and afternoon of May 2nd.

of May 2nd. I do not see how the money could have been lost from our office I think it more probable that the money was brought ~~short~~ from the bank.

(Sgd.) A. J. P. de Melo.

P.A.D'M Cordeiro. examined stated. I do not remember any money being brought from the bank to pay the men on the 2nd May, and I do not remember Mr. Cordeiro returning any money to the safe on that ~~date~~ day. I did not see any bags of rupees on the safe or lying on the ground.

(Sgd.) P.A.D'M Cordeiro.

A.J.P.de Melo examined stated. I can see the safe from my seat in the office. I think I was paid my salary on the evening of May 2nd about 4 o'clock.

I remember two or three days before May 2nd that Mr. Cordeiro came into the office with several men carrying bags of rupees which they placed on the floor and the was counted. considerable noise was made in counting the cash, and anyone in the office must have heard the noise made in counting the coins. At 12 o'clock when left the office they were still counting the money. I returned at 3 o'clock and there was no one in the office and no money on the floor.

I did not at any time on the 2nd May see a bag of rupees on the floor or on the top of the safe.

(Sgd.) A.J.P.de Melo.

16-5-1907.

examined stated. I remember going to the bank to bring to the Public Works office three days before pay day. It was in the afternoon after 8 o'clock. Three brown canvas bags were taken from the office to carry the money in. The money was counted at the bank before putting into the bags. On reaching the Public Works Department office I was told by the cashier to count the rupees in two of the bags, each containing rupees two thousand and put the rupees into trays, the other which contained one thousand rupees I did not count. The bags containing the four thousand rupees, and the bag of one thousand rupees were then put into the safe.

I came to the office on Thursday (May 2nd.) about a quarter to seven in the morning. The cashier came about a quarter past six hour after words. I together with ~~Brahma~~ helped to carry the cash to the office below.

I alone carried what cash remained, after paying the sum on Thursday, to the office upstairs I think I carried about eight and half upstairs, about two thousand five hundred rupees. I came to the office on the following day Friday and remained in the office below. The cashier came into this office with a tray of 1000 rupees.

There were two other clerks in the office to come when the money was brought down. I went with the cashier upstairs and brought down a tray of about 800 rupees, the canvas bag of Rs. 1000 was still in the safe.

No payments were made on the Friday, and the money was all returned to the safe upstairs, the cashier carried upstairs the tray of Rs.500 and I met him carrying the second tray of Rs.1000 which I took from him and placed on the table in the upstairs office. I did not then see the first tray of Rs.500. The cashier came to my house on Saturday evening and asked if I had counted the rupees left in the bag as he had seen the empty bag on the top of the safe. I was told to come to the office on Sunday morning. When I arrived the cashier was in the downstairs office and two other clerks, and he sent me to his house to bring the key of the safe. The Goanese clerk living in the cashier's house gave me the key.

The above was interpreted by Mr. Ali bin Sulaiman.

17/5/07.

C Q
35069

Recd

9. 7. 001. 07

234

Findings of the Board of Inquiry into deficiency of
Rs: 600 from the Public Works Department cash.

Have Public Works Department been negligent in
fulfilling their duties in responsibility of cash.

A. The Cashier Cordeiro, a Goanese, who draws a
salary of Rs:130/- per mensem is placed under the
control of the Executive Engineer and from a day in
October 1906 when he was removed to the office
downstairs until the 10th April 1907 states his
cash was checked by no one. Mr.Fletcher was the
Executive Engineer at that time and on his departure
for England on the 10th April although ordered by
Mr.Turner to refuse to check and hand over to his
successor Mr.Hirsch either tools and plant, or cash
for which he had hitherto been responsible. The
balance which was struck at the 31st March 1907
amounting to Rs:5741-84 was taken by the Cashier
together with Mr.Fletcher to the Treasury but
according to the Cashier was neither checked by Mr.
Fletcher nor by any one else.

Mr.Sergeant (Chief Accountant of Public Works
Department), informs us that about once a month he
used to check the cash as a personal favour for Mr.
Repeut (Executive Engineer) the predecessor of Mr.
Fletcher but that Mr.Rosa (Director of Public Works)
told him that if he found his ~~work~~ increasing he
might discontinue doing so, as it was the Executive
Engineer's duty.

This

(2)

This being the case, Mr. Sergeant should not be designated Chief Accountant but Chief Clerk.

It appears from the evidence that Mr. Fletcher made an application to Mr. Ross for the Cashier to be removed from his room upstairs to the Executive Engineer's room downstairs in order that he might be utilized as Correspondence Clerk as well as a Cashier. This Mr. Ross consented to but refused to allow the safe to accompany him downstairs giving as his reason that the head office was shortly to be moved from Mombasa to Nairobi and that the safe was more secure upstairs than in a room downstairs. The safe is a small one on a wooden stand and could have been moved by four men in 10 minutes.

If the loss of the money is due to theft such could be facilitated by the Cashier being separated from his safe and requiring to convey large sums of money downstairs when monthly payments had to be made. The Cashier is a ~~carelessly and haphazardly kept~~ payments of wages for instance made in the month of May appearing in the previous month of April and the system followed of waiting until all wages are paid so as to enable the entry to be made in one large sum renders it very difficult to accurately arrive at a balance on any given day.

The Cashier lays stress on a brown canvass bag which he says was in the safe on the 2nd May when money was withdrawn for wages but which he does not remember seeing afterwards although the empty bag was

(3)

seen on 3rd May on the top of the safe together with five others of the same kind. He had only six of these brown bags which were made specially for him by M.R. de Souza, a merchant in town. He considers it possible he may have left this bag containing rupees 100/- on the floor in front of the safe when taking trys of superseding of the safe for payment on or after 1st May. The office boy Sheikh Ali says he saw the brown bag in the safe on the 3rd May when he assisted the Cashier in carrying money to his office on the 1st May. He assisted the Cashier on both the 2nd and 3rd May. The evidence is not very reliable.

The Cashier had custody of the key of the safe and admits to a certain amount of carelessness regarding the possession but its use by an unauthorized person would have been difficult without detection or suspicion as he always sits in the same room as the safe and an Indian is on guard when the office is closed.

We do not attach much credence to the Cashier's suggestion that the loss of the money may have arisen by leaving a bag of rupees outside the safe.

Agreed that if the loss is due to theft, while principally the Cashier is responsible, the theft has been rendered more easy in consequence of the separation of the Cashier as far away from his safe.

Agreed that the loss has not arisen through an error in the accounts which will be seen by Mr.

Pawson

Bowler's attached report after an examination of the books and vouchers.

From this report it will be seen that rule 60 of the Financial Instructions has been violated as the Cashier has made a regular habit of lending Government money from month to month to officers and employees of the Public Works Department.

Agreed that if an Accounting Officer who understood his duties had supervised the Cashier the maintenance of an efficient check would have been carried out and the carelessness and irregularities in the conduct of business in the Cash Book would not have occurred.

In Financial Instructions has also been ignored, alterations in the accounts having taken place without being attested by the initials of the officer responsible.

We would also draw attention to rule 5 of Financial Instructions.

We further consider Mr. McLeish's refusal to hand over his tools and plant and cash to his successor Mr. Kirch should have been reported, and that his leave certificate should have been cancelled until he had done so.

For me - Dr. J. S. Bowler

M. J. Barnes

W. G. Smith

J. E. Towns

Mr. Power has examined the cash book and vouchers from 1st January last, in the hope of discovering a clerical error but without success. As a result of his investigations, he reports as follows:-

- (1) It appears that Officials of the Public Works Department obtain advances from the cashier. These advances if not repaid at the end of the month are entered again on the opposite side of the cash book and then re-debited on the first of the next month to the individuals concerned.
- (2) There is an alteration in the cash book in February, payment voucher, 14, the alteration is made in pencil and not initialled.
- (3) In March the voucher numbers in many cases were not entered in the cash book. They have all been entered by the cashier.
- (4) In April there are many alterations in the cash book. The alterations are not initialled. The cash book is not totalled at the end of the month. The balance is entered in pencil, then checked physically. In April 1911 the amounts are kept to the nearest cent.
- (5) Many cheques paid in May with very large amounts, they stand except in one instance where there is a difference of 5 cents between the amount entered in the voucher and the amount entered in the cash book.
- (6) The present cash book is too small for the requirements of the Public Works Department and is not conducive to neatness.

35069

of the Board of Inquiry held on 15th May 1907 under instructions
of H. M. Ag. Commissioner.

Rec'd
Per 3 Oct 07

As we have been asked to allocate the responsibility in proportion to the amount of the cash lost, Mr. Barnes and myself consider the Rs. 1,000 loss should be allocated as follows. Mr. Foster who formed one of the Board left for England on 31st ult, and is therefore unable here to give his opinion.

Mr. Fletcher	Rs. 500
Mr. Tanner	200
Mr. Goncalves (Cashier)	200
Mr. Sergeant	200
Mr. Ross	100
	Rs. 1,000

From the way in which the accounts were kept, there is nothing to show that this loss did not happen during Mr. Fletcher's time, but whether it did or not, we consider Mr. Fletcher should be punished for refusing to hand over an account of his cash when called on. As he is at present on leave, and not likely to return, steps should be taken at once to stop this officer his remaining full pay, if our recommendations are acted upon.

Mr. Tanner, who was in charge of the department during Mr. Ross's leave of absence, showed decided weakness in allowing Mr. Fletcher to proceed on leave without handing over an account of his cash, and we do not consider it a sufficient excuse for Mr. Tanner to say that he ordered him to do so and he refused. Mr. Tanner should have reported such insubordination at once and had his leave papers to be suspended until he had complied.

Mr. Goncalves, Cashier, we consider, was placed under considerable strain

(2) being ordered to make his payments and sit in a separate room from where his safe is kept, although he is primarily responsible for the loss.

He discovered his loss on the eve of his going on leave and we do not know but what Mr. Ross may not have been in existence for some time or there was no proper check kept on him.

Whether Mr. Sergeant had orders or not from the Head of his department to check the cash, he must know that such a duty came within his province, as he called himself the Chief Accountant, and that in the interest of his own department all matters connected with money should be properly safeguarded.

Mr. Ross
although on leave, when this deficiency was found out, was responsible for the safe being kept upstairs, and if he allowed the Chief Accountant to think that he had no responsibility in connection with cash, or the accounting for it, he committed, in our opinion, a grave error of judgment.

James D. Holmes
H. W. Brandy

16 Jan 1907

Minute.

I agree with the opinion that the Cables
L'Gordens must be held responsible for
the loss of cargo & sending a report
to the Secretary of State to whom the report
will be submitted.

The conduct of L'Gordens from the time
of the strike on the 2^d day of the Board
are in my view bad. He wrote.

It was not his business to sit in judgment
on the proceedings of the Board who rightly
are subject to the Party which the no
meeting showed to be remaining in his
Department not to demand compensation
from the Board in the way and language
as he did. Such specimens as "disastrous
negligence and statement" as applied to
the opinion of a 1st class gentleman exposed
to injuries into the circumstances con-
nected with a serious trial of Government
business cannot be too severely condemned.
Attention is called to a Public Works Code
of which a copy has been sent to the
Government. This code is a draft which has
not yet been revised and still less
approved as Standard Regulation.
Special particular attention will have
to be paid on it before it is sanctioned.

and

and under the circumstances it would not have been possible for the Board to take its provisions into account.
I am much displeased that such a minute should ever have been recorded by the Head of a large Department and I most earnestly beseech you for its production.

28 August 1907

SIR R.K. APY,

I enclose, as directed, Mr. Tanner's remarks on the finding of the Board.

I find on enquiry that there was nothing in the nature of a direct refusal on the part of Mr. Fletcher to carry out Mr. Tanner's instructions with regard to handing over to Mr. Birch. -- He spent several days with Mr. Birch going round the various works in progress, and Mr. Cerdeira the Cashier tells me that Mr. Fletcher instructed him to have his cash and books ready for inspection before they finished. As to why Mr. Fletcher then left without having the cash counted and signed for I am unable to say. He knows that this is the usual procedure and he observed it in Nairobi when handing over to Mr. Espeut there a year previously. Mr. Tanner who was aware that Mr. Fletcher and Mr. Birch were spending several days together in the office, workshops and on works, had no reason to suppose that Mr. Fletcher would leave the handing over uncompleted before mailing. Had there been anything in the nature of a refusal to obey orders, there is no doubt that Mr. Tanner would have dealt adequately with the case. It was the unexpected which happened.

With regard to the findings of the Board I have the honour to request that His Excellency's attention may be drawn to the first heading on page 2, namely, that Mr. Sergeant [redacted] designated "Chief Clerk". I prefer to think that this was an allowed to appear in their reports by inadvertence. As far as I imagine not empowered or entitled to suggest that a qualified officer of the Department should be designated a clerk, if they felt called upon to criticise the designation or duties of the P.W.M. Accountant it would have been well if they had taken the trouble to inform themselves as to what his duties are. They would then have been shown a copy of the "Code of Regulations for the Guidance of P.W.B. Officers" which I submitted to His Excellency in London. I quote the duties which

Mr. Sergeant is at present called upon to carry out as a memorandum at the end of my remarks below. It will be seen that he has nothing whatever to do with the Department's cash. the duties laid down in the Code (see extract in question) are quite extensive and responsible enough to fully engage his attention. As this opinion of the Board is founded on imperfect information, and an illegal deduction from faulty premises, I have the honour to request that the signatories may be called upon to dictate it.

Next comes the position of the safe. If the Board members consider that the safe would be in a position of greater security in a back room downstairs with only a side door, not opening on the public street, than it would be upstairs in a less easily accessible position, I beg to disagree with them. I still consider that a small safe which as they point out "could be moved by four men in 10 minutes" is safer upstairs than down. It is not, I suppose, imagined that any one in charge of the safe would leave it open when making payment outside, or in another part of the building. It is used as a distant strong room would be used. Money is withdrawn from it for payment purposes, and is then closed and locked.

Coming to the Supplementary Report dated June 1st 1907 which is, I believe, not signed by the Treasury Officer on the Board, I beg to point out that it is recommended that "Mr. Fletcher should be punished", and that steps should be taken to stop his pay, without his first being called upon for any explanation. This strikes me as an irregular and un-judicial delivery and not the course which it is customary to follow in proceedings of this sort.

The Board's reference to Mr. Tanner is altogether beside the mark as it is a pure invention that he ordered Mr. Fletcher to hand over the cash "and he refused".

They went out that Mr. Sergeant's "must know that such a duty (as counting cash) came within his province and that he, etc.

This sententious misstatement of fact calls for no remark except a flat contradiction of all that is implied in it.

The opinion that I "committed a grave error of judgment in allowing the Accountant to think that he had no responsibility in connection with cash" is, in view of the board's admission to inform themselves as to what an Accountant's duties are, merely fancious. I am quite willing for the soundness of my judgment to be estimated in the light of the allocation of duties prescribed in my P.W.M.R. Code of which His Excellency has been supplied with a copy.

The recommendations as to apportionment of the responsibility amounts merely to a list of suggested cash fines for alleged irregularities, some of them entirely irrelevant to the subject under review, which is the loss of Rs. 992.16/- as for the time the City and advances from the cashier to gazetted officers of the Department.

It is, I think clear that the money was lost by theft. There is nothing in the evidence by the Board to indicate collusion by any officials of the Department and I think it probable that no such connivance existed. If the Cashier's statement as to Mr. Fletcher's never having checked his books is correct, amounting to a reprehensible degree of slackness on Mr. Fletcher's part, though it should be noted that after 26 years' continuous service without leave (in spite of an attack of Blackwater fever) must only be expected to result in a certain degree of slackness in officials so treated. As to whether the whole of the money lost should be recovered from the officials actually responsible for it

er as to whether sanction should be requested for any portion of it to be written off, is a matter entirely for His Excellency's decision.

I desire to accept responsibility for the occurrence of petty loans of cash to gazetted officers of the Department. It was in vogue when I joined the Department and I did not prohibit it. The use of small amounts required for customs duty on postal parcels delivered at the office. It may be regarded as an infraction of the letter of the Financial Regulations but I did not view it as any non-observance of the spirit of the Regulations especially when the "loan" was formally entered in the cash book, instead of being recorded merely by a small chit or I.O.U. to the cashier. I have however given orders for the practice to cease absolutely.

I may add, in that P.W.T. Code that I produced deals with all the alleged irregularities and other points raised in the proceedings of the Board, namely, instructions as to "handing over" responsibility of Executive Engineers for cash connected with their work, petty cash advances to officials including alterations in accounts used of the Cash Book, and of course, the responsibility of accountants for accounts, and not for cash.

Since writing the above, Mr. Bowring has shown me the original minutes of the Board at the Treasury. There is not a word in them as to Mr. Fletcher having "recusaded" to obey Mr. Tanner, and I have it serious to request that Mr. Macdonald may be called upon to explain the occurrence of this misquotation and the grave use that he has made of it.

A theft of this sort is an accident that may happen in any department at any time and it is a sufficiently disconcerting occurrence without anything added in the shape of misrepresentation of deliberate statements made and signed before

(5)

before an official board or enquiry.

Sd/-W.McGregor - Ross

Director of Public Works.

Mombasa,

July 1. 1907.

ENCLOS:

Mr. Tanner's report

Memorandum re duties of P.W.O. Accountant.

File returned.

Mombasa,

18th June 1907.

Sir,

With reference to H.M. the Governor's instructions, in his minute on the findings of the Board called to report on the deficiency of Rs.992.16 in the cash in the Executive Engineer's office Mombasa, I have the honour to give the following explanations.

1. Although instructed to do so by me Mr. Fletcher failed (not refused as stated by the Board) to hand over his cash to his successor, Mr. Birch, who was also instructed to attend at Mr. Fletcher's office to take over all the duties and charges from him about the 4th April, which left ample time for so doing Mr. Fletcher leaving on the 13th April. As Mr. Fletcher was fully aware that the handing over of the cash was a part of these duties and hearing nothing further about the matter I naturally was under the impression that they had been duly carried out. Further I had no opportunity of asking him personally if everything was completed as I did not see him again before he left.

2. Mr. Fletcher failed (according to the Cashier's statement) to check his cash the whole time from October when Mr. Sergeant ceased to do it, after notifying him, until he left the country, consequently it appears that is he was not sufficiently energetic to even check this cash for which he was personally responsible he would be liable for any shortage; which may have occurred during these months.

3. As regards the alleged untidy condition of the cash book and payment of sums at a later date than their entry. I fail to see in what way the payments in a following month can be avoided, as out of 200 or 300 men to be paid some are always absent, due to sickness, on the date of the monthly payment and their salaries, or other monies as it may be, are paid to them on the next day that they present themselves. The untidy state of the cash book was pointed out to the Cashier, only a short time before the shortage was discovered and he was told to put it in order.

4. Regarding what are termed "private Advances" these are merely payments of Government dues, which are collected at the offices on uncertain dates and consist of trolley line fees, duty on parcels, &c., which if not paid at the time would delay the business of other Government Officers, for instance, parcels would have to be brought again. These advances are of no benefit to the officers concerned but only to the Government. The currency of the country practically prohibits the customary carrying about of cash. I might also remark that the custom was in vogue when I first arrived in this country four years ago.

I have etc. etc.

Sd/- W.H. Tanner

Asst. D. P. W.

Minister of Public Works.

Mombasa.

Chief Accountant.

The Chief Accountant of the Department is employed at the head office and is charged with the duty of submitting the charges for expenditure incurred by all Engineer Officers to a departmental check and to supervising the keeping of the accounts of the entire Department's receipts and expenditure according to the prescribed forms, and in conformity with the published "Abstract of Instructions for the guidance of Accounting Officers and with the Regulations contained in this "P.W.D.Code".

He will exercise his functions under the orders of the Director, and will correspond directly with the Executive Engineers, whom he will advise and assist in all matters affecting accounts and financial requirements. He will also correspond, when necessary, with officials of other departments, other than the heads.

He will prepare for the Director's signature, and forward to the officials, for whom they are intended, all the departmental and special warrants authorized by the Director. He will constantly keep the Director informed as to the expenditure on, and available balance remaining for, any and every work upon which the Department is engaged.

He will be responsible for the final accuracy of the calculations presented upon the returns from the Executive Engineers, of issues and receipts of materials and stores, but will not be required to deal in detail with the accounts of the head store of the Department. These latter, as prepared in complete form, in the Office of the Chief Store-keeper, will be forwarded direct by the latter to the Director.

The Chief Accountant, will, moreover, not be concerned in the compilation of indents upon the Crown Agents for the Colonies for stores and materials required from England. This work will be carried out in the Chief Store-keeper's and Director's offices.

The Chief Accountant will, however, have to check all contracts, and to see that all items therein are duly supported by entries in the Measurement Books of the Executive Engineers concerned, which books the latter will submit with the contract bills for departmental audit and return.

The accounts of the Department, after passing through the Chief Accountant's hands, are scrutinized and finally passed by the Director, and transmitted by him to the Treasurer for incorporation with the general accounts of the Protectorate.

If the accounts of any Executive Engineer fall into arrears or confusion, the Chief Accountant is expected to take prompt measures towards the adoption of the necessary remedies. If any investigation is considered necessary, he should, with the Director's permission, proceed to the division himself, but if only extra ~~assistance~~ assistance appears to be required, he should recommend to the Director such measures of assistance to the Executive Engineer in question as appear to him to be adequate.

The Chief Accountant should submit to the Director for perusal copies of all general letters issued by him to Executive Engineers and others.

It appears to me that the Cashier (Mr. Cordeiro) is
solidly responsible and liable for the Rs 992.16.0 deficiency
in the Public Works Department cash.

The cessation of want of supervision and the punishment
of other officers of the Department is altogether a separate
matter and in my case I do not see how either Mr. Ross or
Mr. Sergeant can be held responsible.

I think that perhaps the best thing to do would be
to refer the whole case to the Colonial Office for decision.
As Mr. Titchener is on leave, any amount to be recovered from
him should be collected by the Crown Agents on instructions
from the Colonial Office.

In meantime I could request your authority to
imprison Mr. Cordeiro's pay.

With reference to the report of the Board of Inquiry held on the 15th May 1907 under instructions of H. M. Acting Commissioner.

We have read the remarks made by the Director of Public Works together with an enclosure from Mr. Turner, but see no reason for departing from our original findings. Mr. Ross's remarks are expressed in such a very doubtful taste that we would have preferred passing them over without any comment, and only do so out of deference to the A.G.'s Senior Commissioner who has asked us to consider Mr. Ross's statement.

1. Whether Mr. Fletcher used the expression that Mr. Fletcher failed to hand over his cash or refused to hand over his cash, is immaterial. The fact remains that Mr. Fletcher did receive the order and left the Colony without doing one of the most essential parts of his duties and whoever was responsible for the conduct of the department, knowing that it was very doubtful if Mr. Fletcher was returning, should have satisfied himself that such an important order as handing over tools plant and cash had been complied with.

The information left on the mind of the Board, after hearing Mr. Tannenbaum and Mr. Birch's evidence was that Mr. Fletcher received the order and refused.

Mr. Birch in his evidence states "A week before Mr. Fletcher left I was in the office every day. Mr. Fletcher did not come near the place."

2. With the note "I regulations for the guidance of Public Works Officers which Mr. Ross submitted to the Secretary in London" we have no concern. The Financial Instructions for the guidance of ~~extreme~~ Colonial Office would not be interested by departmental officials of this nature.

3. Mr. Ross writes as if he was sitting on a Court of Appeal and that our findings had been submitted to him for confirmation or otherwise. On page 4 he writes "It is

I think

" I think, clear that the money was lost by them.
The Board entered upon its duties with a perfectly
quiet mind and sought by all means in their power to
ascertain facts for the consideration of His
Majesty the Governor. The impression in their mind
and on the day by day last the money had not been
on hand. Last it had, for some time past, been standing
to account; and that at last when the shortage could
longer be covered up, owing to the cashier's going on
an imperious story of the red canvas bag missing
inside the safe, was put forward. If the missing
sum was due to theft, what was the object of putting
up the red canvas bag (which had contained rupees) back
into the safe? If it is true that the Rs.1,000
have been short for some time, the carelessness in
the cash-book was gross, and the indifference of the
mean officers referred to as to what was going on,
all elements which lent themselves to cash inaccuracies.

4. We submit that the members of the Board who had
an opportunity of hearing all the evidence and seeing
the conduct of the witnesses, were in a better position
judging the probabilities of the case than Mr. BOSS
was at that time in England.

5. Our task, which from the first has been an
arduous one, would be far now from us. BOSS'S PRACTICE
has generated into a charge against the Board of
High Hopes in ~~against~~ His Excellency the Governor,
we note with regret that such has been allowed to pass
unrebuking from His Excellency the Governor any
acts of disloyalty.

July 1st,

Amesader

H. R. Barnes

Gov
35069

ESTD

252

19
14
14

G.D.
D.

Gov 14 Aug 1907

Dear Sirs

DEAFT.

has left Post Office
Box
to Col. J. Hayes Saddington
as the subject of the letter
copy dispatched No. 53 of
the 18th of August, 1907

MINUTE.

Mr. Bodenhamer M.C. of Rs. 992.16 from
Mr. Read 11 ~~maps~~ for the money in the
charge of the Public
Works Dept.

Mr. O'Neil

Mr. Lucas

Sir F. Howard

Mr. Churchill

The Earl of Mayo.

2. It is evident that the
main responsibility for
the loss rests with Mr.
Coddens, the Cashier,
and if an investigation
were conducted to find
out who was at liberty
to sign, the money
should be recovered
from him or from
him or half salary till
it is repaid.

3/3/10

J. L.

informed that I consider
them deserving of blame
~~for~~
253

on the ground stated
in the report of the
Board of Enquiry, but
I do not see sufficient
reason for holding them
pecuniarily responsible.

5. ^{I agree} ~~I have~~ opinion that
~~turning you up~~
~~for~~ ~~he~~ ~~the~~ ~~loss~~ ~~he~~ ~~will~~ ~~responsible~~ ~~for~~ ~~the~~
~~actual loss; date~~
~~the day of December~~
~~you came~~
~~but~~
~~for the time of his ministrations~~
~~or knowledge of the~~
~~board, and he should~~
~~be held responsible for~~
~~the~~
~~loss~~

that I concur
in the course which
you have adopted.

I omit - it was my
habit for the Govt to
resort if I thought it
fit to offer

loss of the amount
of the 9th of Aug, to
Haraden and Mr Barnes
take exception to the fact
that

Gov
35069

E.A.P.

254

2 Oct

21

DRAFT

P.A. Fletcher Esq

15 Oct. 07

Sir

I am directed by
the Govt of Ugoia
to inform you that
soon after your depa-
ture from the E.A.P.
a deficiency of Rs 992.
16c was discovered
in the cash in charge
of the Public Works
Dept. at Mombasa

2. The Board appointed
to inquire into the
loss reported that

MINUTE.

Mr. Ellis 11 Oct.
Mr. Read 11
Mr. Just.
Mr. Autobus.
Mr. Cox.
Mr. Evans.
Sir F. Hopwood.
Mr. Churchill.
The Port of Elgin

for review

21 Oct.
22 Oct.
23 Oct.
24 Oct.

Spies
Clyde

Wapato

Method 11

8

15 October 07

Guthrie

255

I am directed
by the test of your
to inform you that
the have charge due
to Mr D A Fletcher
late townsite engineer
in the E.A.P. for the
period from the 1st
to the 12th Octo.
It is not to be paid to him
as it has been decided
not to allow him to hold
any office or position
for a life time because
of the public works dept
at Spokane.

Mr Fletcher has been
removed from this division

I think, clear that the money was lost by the Board entered upon its duties with a perfectly
quiet mind and sought by all means in their power to
ascertain the facts for the consideration of his
Majesty's Government. The impressions in their mind
of the day by day last two days were
that what it had, for so long a past, been outside
the accounts; and that at least when the money would
appear by cover of night, owing to the carrier's going on
an implements of the red canvas bag missing
which they say was put in there; I have also
that was due to be left, what was the object of putting
the red canvas bag (which did contain money) back
into the safe? If it is true that the mil. 000
has been short for some time, the careless way in
the cash-book was kept, and the indifference of the
bank officers referred to as to what was going on,
all elements which lent themselves to such inaccuracies.

4. We submit that the members of the Board who had
opportunities of hearing all the evidence and seeing
behaviour of the witnesses, were in a better position
judging the probabilities of the case than Mr. Ross
was at the time in England.

5. Our task, which from the first has been an
alleged one, would be far now from Mr. Ross's remarks
so generated into a charge against the Board of
Hilary Miles & ~~Excellency~~ His Excellency the Governor,
not with regret that such has been allowed to pass
but asking from His Excellency the Governor any
action of its approval.

July 1867

James L. M.

H. R. Barnes

Gov
35069

EMM

252

C.D.

14

R.

D.

14

14 July 807

DRAFT

to Col. Post Capt.
for
to Col. J. Maye Sader C.S.

allow the bank to
ask the rest of you
and I suppose no. 53 of
the 18th of August, to
the subject of the box.

MINUTE

Mr. Bottrell 9/10

Mr. Read 11

Mr. Just.

Mr. Adams 12/11

Mr. Cox.

Mr. Lucas.

Sir F. Hopwood.

Mr. Churchill.

The Earl of Elgin.

of Rs. 992.14 for
the amount on the
charge of the public
bank debt.

2. It is evident that the
main responsibility for
the loss rests with the
Comptroller, the Cashier,
and, if, as I understand
you are engaged in
this case ascertaining
a sufficient sum
should be recovered
from him by paying
him a half salary less
than a week.

3 D/ftc.

3. h

3. In the case of Mr. Hobart, also, there are grounds for inferring his pecuniary liability,

but I have concluded

that there should not be set by withholding the balance of salary which remained unpaid on the receipt of your despatch, as, for the period from the 1st to the 17th of October at the rate of £300 a year.

The money and authority to do so have been given

~~to~~ for the following
and the amount so received should, of course, be deducted from the amount due you by the Comptroller.

4. The Treasurer and the Sergeant should be informed

of the sum of £1000
being derived of above
for ~~the~~

on the grounds stated in the report of the Board of Inquiry, but does not see sufficient cause for holding the pecuniary responsibility.

5. ^{I have} ~~I am~~ of opinion that the wages paid
~~from~~ to the Comptroller
should not be deducted from the amount due him by the Comptroller
as the time of his service
in the building of the
House and the time
he was employed by the
Comptroller

do not coincide
that is to say
in the course which
you have recorded

I don't - I used to think that the Govt to reward the Comptroller
paid him off

part of the amount
of the 9th of July, Dr.
Barnard and the Baron
take exception to that fact

for
35089
E.A.P.
254

DRAFT

B.A Fletcher Esq

15 Octr 04

Sir

MINUTE.

Mr. This 11 Octr
Mr. Read 11
Mr. Just.
Mr. Antrobus
Mr. Cox.
Mr. Lucas.
Sir F. Hopwood.
Mr. Churchill.
The Park of Elgin.

for reasons

I was directed by
the Board of Health
to inform you that
soon after your departure
from the E.A.P.
a deficiency of £992
was discovered

in the cash money
of the Public Works
Budget and Accounts

2. The Board officially
transmit - into the
Box reported that

Copy to Mr. G. 35089
16 Octr 04

that you were to blame
on the master anyone
failure to hand over
the cash to you except
Mr. Flebler or the usual
monies, made it
impossible to fix the time
at which the defacement
of the book occurred.

3. The Board considered
that you should be
required to make good
the loss to the extent
of £100, but had
also considered that
you should be allowed up
to the sum of £100 to be paid
from your salary £9.8.6
in satisfaction of the claim, & the Clerk
will be instructed accordingly.

Chapt

Chapt

March 11 8

15 October 1905

255

Further on
I am directed
by the Board to inform
you that you have been
dismissed from the
service of the Board
to Mr. H. A. Flebler
late Treasurer to you
in the C.A.P. for the
period from the 1st
of Oct. to the 12th of Oct.
and will be paid to him
as it has been decided
that he should in full
reimburse the Board at his
present address
for all expenses incurred
in the Public Works Office
at Preston.
Mr. Flebler has been
removed of the service