

East Africa Protectorate

No. 9583

Recd

Regd 16 March 07

Individual

John C. M. Hunter

1907

Mr.

Government Paper

H  
4254

(Subject.)

Mr. H. Henderson

Remarks on couple leading up to  
resignation  
This case may be fully gone into.

(Minutes)

Mr. Read  
Tapped minutes around

100 mg/p

Mr. Hunter

Promised as follows by Mr.  
Ellis?

A. S. L.

19/3

Yes; except that I would  
write direct to Col. Stevenson  
and not say anything to the  
India Office

Mr. Hunter  
at once

Government Paper

Mr. Reed,

For the story of Mr. H. Henderson's resignation see my typed memo attached to 4254.

Col. H. Henderson says (1) there are other officers who have laid aside and have yet not been asked to resign.

To this the answer is "Twt blacks don't make a white"

(2) That his son "did not draw a cheque on a bank where he had no account but only one where at the time he had no cash." This of course is a not unimportant distinction and it was for this reason that I proposed to omit the charge in regard to this cheques when answering an answer to Colonel H. on 4254.

(3) Col. H. desires to know what are the other actions which "disqualify him for further service" referred to in our letter of 25th November 1905 (41326).

They are detailed in my minute on 4254 Nos. 1, 4 and 5. Col. H. demands a "full and unbiased enquiry" into the inducely harsh treatment of his son.

I should inform Col. Henderson that, as he must be well aware, the time for demanding an enquiry was when his son was called upon to resign, and that the matter cannot be re-opened at this date especially as the fact, which the Secretary of State gathers is not denied, of a police officer being indebted to natives and Indians and having judgment entered against him for such debts in the courts of the Protectorate is of itself sufficient cause for dismissal, so that

*any enquiry wd. in any case have been unnecessary*

I should ask the India Office to convey this  
message to Col. Henderson, and I should at the same  
time tell them that the Secy of State does not consider  
the tone of the last intellible for an officer in  
Col. H's position addressing another department of  
Government from that under which he is serving.

S.A.R.

18/3.

Induring a  
of the  
and up to  
Col. H  
b. J. A.

From

Colonel William Henderson

9583

RECEIVED MAR 07

To The Under Secretary of State  
Colonial OfficeAdm March 6<sup>th</sup> 107.

Sir. I have the honor to acknowledge  
your letter No 4254 of 4 May 10<sup>th</sup> 107  
enclosing a copy of several orders  
of yours between Mr. Henderson  
& the Colonial Office.

In letter No 41326 of Nov  
27<sup>th</sup> 105 Mr. Henderson is informed  
that he is engaged for further  
service under the Protectorate for  
the following reasons:-

- 1 - That he is heavily indebted to  
various persons in South Africa. His  
own Son cannot deny but at the  
time he left he had with very  
Assistance paid off nearly all his  
debt. Even if he had not why  
should my son be singled out  
for dismissal on this account.  
When it is a notorious fact that  
many Officials Senior & Junior in  
the Protectorate were & probably  
are in a similar financial position.  
It is an open secret that as

175

Official certainly employed in the  
Aeronaut Department of the Protectorate  
was so heavily in debt that  
the large part of his pay was  
monthly allotted to his creditors.  
I may state that I am not indebted  
to my son for this information.  
It is known to be a fact why  
was this official not dealt with  
in a ~~similar~~ <sup>similar</sup> way? Was the  
Colonial Office & Wright & 2  
measures?

ii. The next accusation is that  
Mr. Henderson ~~at the date he left Madras~~  
is charged with owing cheques  
on a Bank when he had no account.  
This is one of those for which is half  
the truth but makes it a different  
matter to fight. Mr. Henderson  
did issue a cheque ~~as~~ <sup>on</sup> the only  
~~Bank in Madras~~ where his  
balance was nil but why he  
had no account is. What if it  
was only a time in advance into  
arrears? I know for a fact that  
the money w<sup>t</sup> went my son to  
pay his debts had been thru'  
this Bank but a few weeks.

Previously when Mr. Henderson used  
this one important cheque he had  
all his balance at the Bank. His  
balance was worth at least £100 -  
Mr. Henderson's pay was drawn

regularly by this Bank. The cheque  
was issued on the 26<sup>th</sup> or 27<sup>th</sup> of  
the month & at the time it was  
issued he wrote to the Bank ~~officer~~  
to ledger his pay bill for his pay  
which was due in a few days as sum  
against the amount. Surely Mr.  
Henderson may be held to have done  
all that lay in his power to guard  
against any suspicion of dishonesty  
dealing. There are the facts of the  
case. Are they grounds on which a  
Officer of Mr. Henderson's standing  
could be dismissed?

iii). The Colonial Office state there  
are other actions to be brought by  
him for further service. What  
are these other actions. The claim  
of the former being down and the  
latter principally partly on these  
grounds and I know what they  
are. May I ask the importance  
as to their nature. I think I may  
say I have a right to know what  
they are. Will the Colonial Office  
be so good as to certify them &  
the evidence on w<sup>t</sup> they rest

In conclusion I would  
merely request that my son's  
case may be ~~fully~~ <sup>fully</sup> gone into.  
That he has been foolish I freely  
admit; [When he was serving as  
Deputy Inspector General of Police  
he was only 23 years of age]

and the youngest Officer in the  
Protectorate but that he has been  
disbanded as vicious I say strenuously  
too. W. Henderson kept up a small  
stock of hounds on the condition  
that these partaking in the shot  
would be liable towards their  
black publications failed &  
W. Henderson was loaded with  
the greater part of these expenses  
and not, talking at random as  
know this to be the case. I myself  
am offend. I bought Hounds  
valley of Rennells &c to the value  
of £1000 at hundred supers a pair  
sent them on to Africa & have  
not been paid to this day

In various letters from the  
Colonial Office, stress is being  
put nicely laid on the fact  
that W. Henderson himself  
signed. This was but natural  
in the mere fact of his being  
allowed to do so goes to point  
the fact that the Colonial  
Office did not take to be a serious  
case of the care on consideration  
of this they did at first. In addition  
to this I found a copy of a kindly  
letter from Mr. Alexander Barnes of  
the Colonial Office

They yet have served  
Government for 30 years? One of  
W. Henderson's grand fathers served

for a longer period in the Indian Army. His first grand father and  
his brother side served with  
Salter in the Victory at Trafalgar  
I only mention these  
facts to show that W. Henderson  
Family some claims on the country.  
That his son went, as far as  
his capabilities as a Police Officer  
go, a little him to some consider-  
ation that he had a full & reasonable  
enquiry into what appears to me  
that it mildly, his unduly hard  
and severe treatment.

I have the honor etc,

Sir

Your most obedt Servt

W. Henry Henderson

C. I. M.

M. U. O. Ad. Pds

Private

18th Aug. 1906

9533

R.P.C.

F.G. 16 MAR 07

Dear Madam

I certainly shall not  
interfere in any way in the  
matter you mention. I  
trust you may restore the  
position.

Yours faithfully  
S. A. Lyttleton

Tam [?]  
I have send you

Downing Street

14<sup>th</sup> December 1906

9583

RECEIVED

REG'D 16 MAR 07

Dear Sir

I take back your letter of the 25<sup>th</sup> October before we you come  
come, but I am sorry to tell  
you that I see no sort of  
possibility of finding a vacancy  
that will suit you. It is not  
easy, when once a man has  
gone out of the service to bring  
him back into it again.

Yours faithfully

S. A.

for Alexander Barnes

5<sup>th</sup> Decr/100

C. C.

9583  
179

Dear Mr. Henderson

RECC:

AFG 16. M. 17

Mr. Lyttelton asks me to

say in reply to your letter of the 28<sup>th</sup> ult that he is extremely sorry that you find yourself in so ~~bad~~ a position. In consequence of facts which have been brought to light, it was necessary to call upon your husband to tender his resignation of the office which he had held in British East Africa & your husband himself in the reply which he wrote on the 28<sup>th</sup> ult.

asked to be allowed to resign Mr. Lyttelton, as you will see by the newspapers will

no longer be Secretary of State  
for the Colonies, so that any  
further letters must be  
addressed to his successor.

Believe me

Yours truly

S. J. Beekman Holland

A copy of the  
and Dabney sent on your  
letter to him

Tonight

With

# T.A.P. 180

9573

26  
26

DRAFT

J. N. Home Henderson  
I.M.P.

26 March '07

MINUTE.

Ms. 23/3  
Read 23

Mr. Adelphi. 2.51

Mr. Cox.

Mr. Lucas.

Mr. Graham.

Sir M. Ormsby-Gore.

Mr. Churchill.

The Earl of Elgin.

See

I am directed by  
the Earl of Elgin to  
acknowledge your  
letter of the 1st inst,  
in which you ask  
that an enquiry may  
be held with respect  
regard to the treatment  
of you son, Mr.

H. H. Henderson,

late Deputy Inspt  
Genl. of Police in

the S. A.P.

I am to request  
2. As you must be well  
aware the time for

Concerning such an inquiry  
was on November 1985  
when your son received  
the letter from this office  
calling upon him <sup>but that</sup>  
sign his afft; but  
as far from demanding  
an enquiry you, Mr.  
Henderson wrote on the  
28<sup>th</sup> Nov - I therefore  
most humbly beg that  
I may be allowed to  
explain what kind of busi-  
ness it is.

3. Then after according  
cannot be expected at  
this date, especially as  
the fact (which Lord Egmont  
gives is not denied)  
of Mr. Henderson having  
been Deputy Chaplain

481

become established in  
considerable sums to  
natives & Indians,  
& having judgment  
entered against  
him for such debt  
on the parts of the  
Parastate, as if  
itself sufficient ground  
to dispossess him  
~~for~~ ~~containing~~ ~~for~~ ~~any~~  
~~holy~~ ~~the~~ ~~interest~~  
~~any~~ ~~has~~ ~~been~~  
~~affording~~