

## EAST AFR. PROT.

No.

22013

22013

18.6.21

Individual.

(Subject.)

L.R.

1908

of June.

at previous Paper.

62363 ✓

Concession at Kilima Nshi

Call attention to apparently regarding proposed  
of his concession part 2 is being within the  
Kakawala Nature Reserve. See that no  
federal policy be encouraged for ~~the~~ ~~any~~ ~~any~~  
agency or within this Reserve.

(Minutes.)

Mr. Antader

See m 16451.

Inform Sir A. E. that the  
govt is being instructed to  
take of the question of Nature  
Reserve will advise t. this  
limits being fairly settled  
as soon as possible, & make  
immediate

M. 9/7

Copy comes go 352 11/29 1/5/23

Montgomery House  
Colchester, Essex

Reference to  
your 44393/1908

June 1st 1908

The Under Secretary of State  
Colonial Office London

18 JUN 08

Sir,

I have a 99 years lease (from 1907) of 4000 acres in the Ukaruma Province E.A. Protectorate, at Kifiso. There I also hold a special permission to take up 1000 acres additional, and recently obtained leave to purchase 10 acres of land (and to build a house thereon) on ground adjoining my present leasehold property, at the point marked "A" on the attached sketch map, which is just within the supposed boundary of the WAKAMBA Native Reserve. I may explain this 10 acres was granted to me in order that I might have a residence in a patch of waterland in a healthier situation than on my leasehold farm which is low-lying, practically without water or timber and swarming with ticks and other pests.

As you are aware, I am entitled to make 1000 acres of my leasehold freehold, but am, like other settlers, in a dilemma and do not know how to act in respect of either:-

- (1) exercising my right to take 1000 acres additional,
- (2) exercising my right to convert 1000 acres into freehold until the authorities definitely declare whether they intend to throw open for settlement any part of the Reserve or keep it permanently closed.

This Reserve or as much of it as can affect myself and my European

- 61 - 15

EUROPEAN

European neighbours, is as yet unbaconed and has only been occupied by the Wakamba during the last few years, since the Government removed the Masai. It is by far the most valuable ground for crop, pasture, and fruit-farming, is timbered, has running streams and on account of its altitude is the most suitable for white settlers and their families. But as far as I am concerned I am quite content, now that I have a residential site with 10 acres - provided the Native Reserves ~~now thrown open~~ is for ever closed. You will however see that it would be very unjust and cause much feeling, if the pioneer settlers in this district, having exhausted their rights of enfranchising or taking up ground for a period of time that this vastly superior area is thrown open to new comers, or that privileged persons are allowed to obtain land which they were excluded from. It is said that both Mr. Macmillan and Lord Delamere have been allowed to purchase properties within this Reserve this year, which were originally lands only granted to missionaries for the purpose of missions. Personally I see no objection to their being there, but with the further development of the colony is it probable that settlers will tolerate exclusion from this ground? If the Native Reserve is thrown open to any person, I wish to exercise my rights to extend my holding and to enfranchise them. I am told that if I do not exercise my rights within the next few years they may be prejudiced. You will see that till the question is ~~settled~~ no settler knows what he is to do, for he naturally wishes to expend his capital on the ground he makes eventually

302

eventually his freehold.

I see the difficulty in which the authorities are placed and am  
an advocate of breaking solemn engagements with the Natives,  
besides neither my neighbours nor myself have anything to gain  
by having as our next-door neighbours natives with a grievance.  
May I suggest that, in order to prevent future trouble, it  
should be decided at once and for all, that only such settlers  
as hold land actually adjoining the Native Reserve shall be  
entitled to obtain land within the Native Reserve and that in  
no case (says in the case of mission lands?) shall more than 100  
(160) acres be sold or leased to any one settler. Some definite  
policy of this sort is much required. The present position is  
very embarrassing to the colonists and discourages their spending  
capital.

pe  
I have the honour to be

Sir

Your obedient servant

Alfred D. Pease



SMT

6  
8

303

✓ 22/12/62

6 Aug 62

DRAFT

A. P. Peace But

MINUTE

Mr. Stan. Lucy

Mr. Read 5

Mr. Judd

X Mr. Astor

Mr. Cox

Sir G. Lewis 5

Sir F. Hopwood

Cllr. Smith

The Earl of Orton

Left London with 7

to 17<sup>th</sup> June I am directed  
the early here to inform

I am back to 8<sup>th</sup> June 7 SMT

is being substituted Stake w/

the question of Native Reserves

with a view then being finally

set apart, delimited and

made available at an early

Date

2 Jan 63

(d) Local Govt

on Draft or

(STL)

1302-11 Aug

62-15