

## EAST AFR. PROT.

30686

14-10-30

See or Indicate.

amount

1909

14 Sept

Previous Paper

30164

## 2. Magazan Scheme

In its first issue of Post<sup>2</sup> agreed +  
contract a copy also sent to Mr Europe. Under  
copy of letter to Messrs Samuel &c

Mr Fiddes. See also ~~Post~~ 30164

I think to the assistance of all  
those who have helped the matter along  
in the Office, an agreement has at last  
been arrived at with Messrs Samuel. It is  
now submitted to the Secretary of State for  
approval, with a ~~memorandum~~ calling attention  
to the points which require comment.

as the Agreement was likely to provide  
little criticism on the part of those who had  
expressed interest in it. Some ninety thousand  
original basis was drawn up with the  
assistance of the first units of African  
Railways + the General Manager of  
the North British Railway. The preliminary  
agreement is contained in the accompanying  
Co. prints (19212), + the only material alteration  
which

has been made is in the matter of contractors areas.

and he soon made a list of the facts have  
so decided to put upon the way required  
for the protection of the rolling stock with  
instruments of torture and instead of  
letting men loose to provide the way  
upping the way by violence. The  
conquest was a fact demanded by the  
people required to do so that especially  
for we can safely say that the whole  
expedition is approved by their authorities.

It also has the entire approval of Sir P. Prinsep  
~~of~~ <sup>(by authority)</sup> letter attached to Treas<sup>r</sup> <sup>25/7/00</sup>.

I think therefore that founded by the  
body of capital power we have a quite  
sufficient defence against those critics.

With regard to the question of capital  
power to which I have referred in  
my memo: under the Head of Section 32  
of the contract, we should, as you have  
suggested tell the Govt to instruct the  
local Auditors to keep sharp look-  
out on what is charged to capital  
account, also to examine the  
specifications periodically to see that  
the latter are not carried out on an  
unfair scale. We might also  
think, tell the Govt to inform us  
if any favorable opportunity occurs  
to purchase land for the fort at  
Kashmir. As the little available land

would the labour will probably become  
extremely valuable. We are now more or less  
at the beginning of things & will probably  
never get a better opportunity of buying  
land which may be eventually required  
for important Labour works.

210

On the general question I think that,  
if the scheme finally goes through, it  
will be a good thing for the Public. And  
Secondly we a powerful firm & they  
evidently do not intend to confine their  
operations entirely to the ~~small~~ <sup>small</sup> amount of  
the deposits. The minimum amount of  
gold which they ~~want~~ contract to export  
is almost equal to the whole annual  
turnage at present handled by the  
Baptist R.R. All the additional  
traffic must tend to cheapen the  
working of the railway & this must  
favorably react on the agricultural  
industry, to say nothing of the  
cotton industry & Uganda. Now we  
cannot tell what rates may be so  
arranged that we shall be able to  
export our timber by rail at a  
profit. <sup>of improvement of shipping</sup> Facilities  
convenient for port dues, & the  
general stimulating effect for a big industry,  
may also be taken into consideration.

the Spanish  
and 1000 ft. of the S. P. open.  
The road should go to the town  
with minimum work. It will  
require about 1000 ft. to be built there  
a sufficient width except with  
12 ft. of the topsoil. It is pretty  
quick should go to the port for  
the exportation.

b.s.R

15/15

A lot of good work has been done by good  
people in bringing about this result and they  
deserve much credit for their contributions.  
The Chinese are doing their share of work,  
and it appears to be great main for  
the state operationally until he has  
fought a severe border battle. Only those  
who like myself have been living in an  
environment the reverse will be in other  
countries - particularly in a extremely  
imperialistic form of government. I believe  
that the agreement will be of no support  
in the border conflict, and it makes me  
wonder if the world has only  
one or two tests of democracy  
and destruction. Let for God sake

effective intervention will be taken  
to stop border war. There is no time.

I am not entirely satisfied, for on  
part of you, with the terms of expropriation  
of the "Port" (1<sup>st</sup> Schedule Schedule 8.34),  
we want to safeguard property as much  
as possible, and especially to protect the  
Chinese from expropriating themselves in such  
a position that we must have to buy them  
on a univous term before we could  
create a big harbour. I think 8.34  
arranges this, but nevertheless it does  
make it possible that the terms might be  
somewhat onerous. However we must  
expect to pay a safe price if you are  
our purpose we expropriate, or rather  
shift the Concession port; we have  
regard the transportation as a whole, and  
having regard to the many ports we find  
the Concessions have well as we can  
hardly expect to get every port secured  
exactly as we demand. The first  
claim by us, of course, differs from  
the one we had to introduce  
submitted it to present a compromise  
on these grounds. I advised it as follows:

Memoranda have been filed up  
which documents can be signed  
and the command & force of  
vessels of the Royal Navy sent to  
Pusan. Some are reported, but  
after this I think the S.A. will  
probably assist.

P.S. 16/9

Yes, we must take the whole  
arrangements together & bear in mind  
the wireless connection for which  
it is a necessity.

I adopt the first part of your  
Riddes memo. in terms & answer  
what he says as to the action  
will be particularly to those who  
have been charged with the duty  
of negotiating the defence agreement  
& the free entry of the British  
& French.

Yours

16/9

18/9

A few letters on this subject have  
been written and nothing more has  
been done, with me as the responsible  
member of the administration, to expedite  
and encourage the negotiations. There  
is no record and there was never  
any report from

E.C.A.

There are 3 things here

212

- (A) A preliminary Agreement
- (B) A contract for the construction of  
the branch railway like Regent with  
the Regent Railway
- (C) A lease of Lake Daegu's other lands  
to work the Soda deposits.

(A)

This makes the whole arrangement with  
Genl. Samuel contingent on the soda  
deposits proving satisfactory in further  
examination & on the detailed survey for  
the branch demonstrating that the cost  
of construction is not prohibitive. I do  
not think that we need be apprehensive  
on these two points. The report by our  
own geologist shows that the deposits  
are practically inexhaustible & I understand  
that the test of the soda will be  
being carried out at the Imperial Distillery  
practically agree so far as they have  
with those of the Commissioner.  
The expenditure will be kept to the  
minimum of the value compensated by  
surplus.

According to the estimate of  
Genl. Samuel Railway expenses  
on the

I think therefore that the Agreement may be  
fully justified, or if the S. P. S. agrees,  
possibly if it should go to the Crown  
Agencies with instructions to "keep it with  
them" - since they have been given  
a copy of all the usual "except" with  
12 copies of the Agreement so finally  
agreed should go to the Govt for  
their information.

H. J. R

15/10

A lot of good work has been done by good  
people in bringing about the results as they  
all deserve much credit for their untiring labours.  
The burden has mainly been born by the R.A.C.,  
and is due to the greatest praise for  
the skill & pertinacity with which he has  
fought an almost hopeless battle. Only those  
who are verysey have been looking  
against the reverse will be surprised  
in pulling a full strength an apparently  
impassable force off difficult Shores  
to the agreed date of November. However  
when the Agreements were signed  
with the Contractors, and it looks as  
if the letter to send them in, may be  
arrived at - the date of return and  
removal of stores to be for Oct 1st

effective in accordance with the balance  
of the old leases would have broken down.

I am not entirely satisfied, from our  
point of view, with the terms of expropriation  
of the Port ("1<sup>st</sup> Section Schedule 8 34") 211  
We want to safeguard perfectly as much  
as possible, and especially to prevent the  
Lessors from establishing themselves in such  
a position that in addition to buying them  
at a nominal sum before we come  
into a position to do so, we shall  
have to buy them. I think Schedule 8 34  
example 12. is undesirable as it does  
make it possible but the terms might be  
somewhat easier. However, we cannot  
expect to pay a high price if for our  
purposes we expropriate, or rather  
shift the Contractors port. We must  
regard the transients as a whole, and  
having regard to the many factors in which  
the Contractors have told us we can  
hardly expect to get every factor altered  
exactly as we desire. The present  
date of 1st is, of course, different from  
the date on which the Contractors  
submitted it previous to our agreement  
on these grounds. I advised it displace

when I discussed it with Mr. Head &  
Mr. Dunnaway  
The Somblans have the field open  
before the documents can be signed.  
The railway & the removal & transfer of  
capital of the Co., although inevitable,  
the Somblans are important, the  
subject etc. I think the Lee & Shatto  
are properly assured.

Mr. 16/9

Yes, we must take the whole  
arrangement together & bear in mind  
the watershed question for which  
it is a whiteline.  
I adopt the first part of your  
tidies memo. in terms & amend  
what he says as to the amount  
still to be forthcoming & those who  
have been charged will be fully  
of regarding the difficult question  
of regarding the difficult question  
as to the final value of the holding  
of 50%.

Yours

16/9

Yours

16/9

I have had a conference with the  
proper and necessary persons about the  
above with a view to be able to present  
the Somblans with a report  
and to see if they would then  
be willing to give up their  
shares.

517.N

There are 3 things here

222

- (A) A preliminary Agreement
- (B) A contract for the construction of  
the branch leading lake Negishi with  
the Nagashio Railway
- (C) A lease of Lake Negishi & other lands  
for working the soda deposit.

(A)

This makes the whole arrangement with  
Mess<sup>r</sup> Samuel quite good on the soda  
deposits, fairly satisfactory or further  
examination & on the detailed survey for  
it would demonstrate that the cost  
of construction is not prohibitive. I do  
not think that we will be apprehensive  
on these two counts. We will lay our  
own foundation since the reports  
are generally unreliable & I understand  
that the bulk of the soda which are  
being carried out at the Imperial Distillate  
factory agree so far as they have  
practically agreed with the Somblans  
with those of the Somblans  
therefore I am independent upon the  
matter of the collaboration facilitated by  
Nagashio.

According to the Estimate of  
Mess<sup>r</sup> Samuel Railway expenses  
etc. etc. etc. etc. etc. etc. etc. etc.

the distance from the lake to the  
main line is only 30 m: instead  
of 100 as set out with owing most  
 $\frac{2}{3}$  of it a t. L very costly  
& the only effect is lost in the  
first half mile, after leaving  
from the lake to the 1st of the  
Scarp. In my opinion it is best to  
sober up. I do not  
know what £ 1000 or £ 1000  
is off to the town - and  
expressing my further belief they are  
likely to increase.

The Agreement also provides for  
the surrender of the old measure  
framed by the T.O.

(B) 223

This Contract has at various stages of  
its evolution been framed by Mr P.  
Robertson, Mr Robertson and the  
present, Mr Robertson and the  
Consulting Engineers of the Uganda R.  
and by Mr. Currie the General Manager  
Sect. 1. (m). There was a great deal of  
several discussion as to whether "carbic  
soda" should be included under the  
definition of "Soda products". Sir Henry who  
is now? Samuels reported one of the  
leading authorities on Soda mentioned that  
carbic Soda was a Soda product &  
therefore entitled to be carried at the  
same cheap rate as the other Soda  
products. Mr. Currie pointed out that  
carbic Soda was far more valuable  
than the other products (say) £ 11. 10 -  
than the other products (say) £ 4. 5 a ton), that if  
a fair favourable rate were to be given to  
build him to give them to other people  
that the Railway act forbade him  
that was a matter of principle, he must  
charge a high rate.

We finally arrived at a compromise which  
was acceptable to both sides except allowing  
carbic Soda to stand as a soda product  
but compensated the Uganda R. by the  
period in section 1(B).

It is agreed that the pier, wharf or  
station may be constructed for the unimpeded  
supply of the works, will also be available  
for a certain amount, at any rate, of  
the general traffic of the harbour &  
the will extend the facilities of the  
pier.

With regard to the last para. of  
the section, Mr. T. Samuel's original  
demand was that, in addition to being  
given equal facilities elsewhere, they  
should be given 115% on their capital  
outlay, or 25 times the average profit  
for the last 3 years which was the  
paster, also compensation, so that  
the amount paid should be applicable  
to the whole of their trade whether  
done or otherwise. He has settled  
the sum to 105% - the capital outlay  
for the pier, save for the other  
works, + limited everything to the  
works demand only. There is still  
a considerable sum left in the  
works capital outlay. The paster  
will be dealt with under section  
34 which sets up a capital outlay

section 3

will be ensured that the contractor  
will be at least 4 hrs British. <sup>5</sup> Section 15  
At first he said that the  
whole shd be wholly British but  
Samuel said that it was essential  
that Mr. Henry on the board, as  
he was the only man who had all the  
necessary knowledge - that they would  
only have had an engineer of the  
utmost merit had an Englishman of  
such knowledge, but that they couldnt  
get Englishmen with probably to allow  
it was much better to omit  
reference to this in the contract.  
P. proposed a fully clause to  
Parliamentary difficulties connected  
with the inspection of Indian labour  
it is understood that if a witness  
is compelled from India, the expense  
is to be met by the Inspector <sup>1870</sup> ~~or~~ <sup>1871</sup> ~~1870~~  
the other expenses being <sup>1870</sup> ~~1871~~ <sup>1871</sup>  
the sum paid by the board <sup>1870</sup> ~~1871~~  
to the contractor for labour + expenses has been  
settled, but a provision has been  
made for compensation at an amount  
not to exceed 10% of the  
outlay for the pier at an amount not  
less than 105% of the outlay. It is therefore  
necessary for us to  
take

Section 32  
33

Section 34

take portions of and the Capital  
accounts. These cases being analysed  
with a view to getting the best pos-  
sible price from us, if & when it de-  
serves to be so. & the case of the rail-  
way it is not probable that we shall  
not be so. The railway leads to a small though  
desert & is not at this stage very likely  
to day develop into a trunk line  
giving a regular and distinct  
any rate the claim appears to be  
adequate so far as the lowest  
amount. & the case of the Ent-  
erpt it is quite conceivable that  
~~the~~<sup>the</sup> ~~standard~~<sup>standard</sup> ~~and~~<sup>&</sup> ~~other~~<sup>other</sup> expenses we may  
day will be so. The reason  
for the purpose of carrying out some  
big harbours scheme, & it is therefore  
true to say that the claim is  
effective to the instance. ~~and~~<sup>and</sup> ~~so~~<sup>so</sup> far as  
~~and~~<sup>the</sup> ~~standard~~<sup>standard</sup> ~~and~~<sup>&</sup> ~~other~~<sup>other</sup>  
repairs, restoration, renewals, &  
replacements, etc to be charged to  
revenue, so that we are protected  
from laying the capital amount  
down on account of these items.  
Also under ~~item~~<sup>Item</sup> 5 of the Ent-  
erpt

215

specifications and plant for building, entering or leaving from work at the contractor first has to be submitted for the approval of the government before any such works are begun. He should protest us against the construction by the ~~means~~ <sup>cost</sup> of suddenly unnecessarily specifying works with a view to getting larger compensation from us on expropriation. However, in other our interest, they are not likely to spend money for this purpose unless they think that there is a good prospect of expropriation in the near future. This ensures the possibility that they may construct works or not continuing, which may have been approved by the govt., but which in the course of time may become ~~unnecessary~~ <sup>unnecessary</sup> unneeded. So far as such such a machinery is replaced by something simpler, we are safe ground. as the replacement will be charged to revenue, but something totally different might be substituted & the <sup>not</sup> cost would go to make the capital account. Hence, after discussion between Mr. Giddes, Mr. Connelly, myself, it was thought that, a view of the importance of the machinery as a whole & of the fact that such removal had not as yet been <sup>the</sup> party ought to be the rule of the case.

value as it stands.

There was one question of a little of  
traffic other than the "interior" traffic  
we were to have, so we could not  
have a public safety rule the  
law for it until there be sufficient  
to guarantee fairly to the interior  
traffic. It was considered that the  
section as worded placed the difficulty  
on the contractor and the City preferred  
to pay the benefit of the traffic (the  
use of the port) rather than  
lose the party.

Section 42

Sections (A) & (B) are perfectly worded by  
S. I. Gould after his interview with Mr.  
Holloway. They are to a great extent in  
accord with the original arrangement, but  
that arrangement gave a "fudge" that  
the rate should never be higher than 7  
per ton per mile. The draft ~~proposed~~ <sup>notified</sup> ~~proposed~~ <sup>notified</sup>  
that the was a perfectly safe undertaking  
to give, but the Treasury department  
hesitated (as usual, rightly) to bind  
themselves for a long period, and  
that they would stand under the minimum  
of the offering, below which the rates  
would go after the first 5 years.  
It was a great importance to get  
this word in, as it was  
an entire loss of money

Section 43

stuff to relate working expenses below  
the \$2 per ton per mile, which had  
been agreed to be the base cost of  
working. I think ~~suppose~~ <sup>216</sup> the  
envelope was adopted by which the  
removal of T was retained for 21  
years, & we preserved the maximum  
of 9% of T.

(B)

The sub-section (f) is intended to meet the  
possibility of having to use a cog-wheel  
line on the difficult part of the  
line leading from the Lake to the  
top of the scarp.

Mr. <sup>2</sup> Samuel's original proposal for a  
cog-wheel section was much wider than this, & would have  
the nature of a chart-party section. The  
present clause is the ordinary "set of teeth"  
"open wagon" clause.

/

(C)

The original joint included miners &  
passenger trains as well as rods &, although  
Mr. <sup>2</sup> Samuel Holloway started negotiations  
to the contrary, the original concession  
provided that such a passenger train could  
travel at a speed of 10 miles per hour  
and that no engine for the line all  
the way to the top of the scarp  
was required. There is little or no prospect of

of men being found as a good part of  
the amount covered by the tools etc  
itself & in any case, we should get 5%  
of the profit under Section 5.

I got the fact in because I got it  
that it might be best to keep on  
and up against us.  
Even in of course  
the shipping business  
will be ruined so  
soon.

This a fully paid  
13 f on b. to

W. Miller

With reference to the bill of lading  
you left in Section 54 of the  
laws beneath we had a full discussion  
between both sides & other solicitors  
the question, with the result that  
the Plaintiff, with the result that  
the bill of lading was rejected.

The amount wanted was  
£350 and a provis was  
to be at least  $\frac{7}{6}$  in the  
£ to be paid up. Now if some  
one else had to be  
on they would have  
to pay a  $\frac{7}{6}$  in the £  
which is about 10% and the business  
would be a bit worse otherwise  
I am not so much for the  
construction of the

money to other works. But the agreements  
cannot fix the sum of debentures (and of  
course additional capital at the end if  
required). and so long as the City is in strong  
hand I don't think that the cost figures are  
of great importance.

I suggest that we now add to the  
bonded capital part as a higher figure  
— say 100000000 as a suggestion of  
magnitude, & leave it to you. but I  
don't care to press the objection, especially  
as I have for a while left the C. Agency  
so far unengaged.

P.S.

19

for, as I see no reason  
why the capital should  
not be correspondingly increased  
it is found to be  
necessary.

John

17.9

I agree.

E. 17. 11.



1.  
GEOPGRAPHIC ADDRESS  
BENHARREY LONDON  
TILEHORSE 5125D  
LONDON MAIL

30686

REC'D  
100 2 8 1

30, Great Winchester Street

London E.C.

16th September 1909

Dear Head,

EAST AFRICA TRADING CO. LTD.

Take Nagali Sona

another one

I send you ~~two revised~~ of the Preliminary Agreement and Contract, together with copy letter I have to-day written to Messrs. M. Samuel & Co., which will speak for itself.

I have also sent a print of the enclosure to Mr. Currie directing his attention to certain of the clauses, and asking his final approval of the draft in its present form.

A further revise of the lease I hope you will receive to-morrow evening.

Yours truly,

C. H. Ormaney

J. J. Head Esq., C.M.G.

229 6/17

30686

4 Great Winchester Street

London, S. E.

Recd

14 SEP 09

14th September 1909

Encd.

Dear Sirs.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATELake Magadi Soda.

I now hand you a further revise of this Preliminary Agreement and Contract and shall hope to be able to send you by to-morrow morning a further revise of the Lease, a print of which should have been contained in the second schedule to the print now enclosed, but the printers have found them always unable to supply a further revise this morning.

You will find, as is usual in these cases, that the title has been altered, the whole document containing in effect the terms of the Concession to which you will become entitled. As now printed the first part of the document contains the terms upon which you will become entitled to take up the Contract contained in the first Schedule and the Lease contained in the second Schedule.

In the enclosure I have underlined in black ink the amendments which I have introduced since the meeting at the Colonial Office on Friday last, but in so much as this further revise has been prepared with great expedition, I have not had an opportunity of conferring with Mr Read as to my amendments, so must ask you to be good enough to let me know what that the draft is now submitted to you subject to any views which Mr Read may have with respect to the drafting of my amendments.

It may be, having regard to the addition I have  
made at the end of Clause 79, that the drafting of the  
Contract in places may require some clerical amendment.

Yours truly,

J. P. C. H. O'NEILL.

Messrs. K. Samuel & Co.



DRAFT

The ~~new~~ agents

MINUTE

Read. 17 Apr. by ~~total~~ & ~~leave to~~

Mr.

Mr. Just.

Mr. Antrobus.

Mr. Cox.

Sir C. Lucas.

Sir F. Hopwood.

Col. Seely.

The ~~new~~ of Crews.

Gathered I am pleased

to you

Draft of an agreement  
with ~~the~~ Samuel & Co.  
which ~~is~~ ~~the~~ ~~same~~ ~~as~~ ~~the~~ ~~one~~

between ~~the~~ ~~two~~ ~~parties~~  
Kendall in consultation  
with the ~~new~~ ~~agents~~ for the

1.  
OUR ADDRESS  
101 LONDON  
SILVERMAN & SONS  
LONDON W.C.

384 Great Winchester Street  
London E.C.

Enccls.

15th September 1909

Dear Read,

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE

Lake Magadi Soda.

I now send you two prints of the further revised of the second schedule to this proposed Concession containing a copy of the proposed Lease.

You will remember that as a matter of fact we have never considered the terms of this proposed Lease together except on one occasion, our consideration being recently directed to Clause 10. I should like to have seen you on this print before supplying Messrs Samuels with copies but on Mr. Currie's urgent request a print of the enclosure was handed to him to give to Dr. Hers this morning, and I have now supplied Messrs Waltons with further prints and understand that they are considering the same with their Clients this afternoon.

I enclose a note which I have received from Mr. Currie which sets forth certain amendments in the rates which he considers necessary should be made, and also suggesting an addition to Clause 46, which, in its present form, you will remember, had been approved of both by Mr. Currie, Mr. Shelford and Mr. Robertson.

Enclosed is the map which you asked for yesterday, a copy of which it is proposed should be annexed to this Concession. You will remember it has been suggested that this map should not be cut down so that the longitude and latitude should appear thereon.

Yours truly,

C. H. Amusancy

H. J. Read Esq., C.M.G.

Draft.

O  
30686/oy

231

2ab

Reference to previous correspondence

Africa Protectorate

~~Enclosed~~ Dispatch No. 7 of 7 August

Confidential

Bowning Street,

24 September 1909.

Sir,  
Yours

I have the honour to transmit to you

for your information, 3 copies of the  
the papers noted below, ~~the subject of~~  
agreement concluded ~~with Mr. M. Samuel~~ <sup>the body of</sup> ~~in connection with Lake~~  
~~M. Samuel et al.~~ <sup>the body of</sup> ~~in connection with Lake~~  
Magadi, a further despatch will be sent to you  
as soon as possible

~~containing further copies of~~ Sir.

~~the agreement or a copy~~ Your most obedient, humble Servant.

the Officer Administering

of the correspondence

CREWE

the Government of which led up to it.

~~East Africa Protectorate~~, I have.

Description.

1909

Agreement in connection with the  
Lake Magadi Concession  
(3 copies)

C. S. T.

10.00000/1909.  
East Africa Protectorate.

DRAFT

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

overhead

Colonel Sir F. Girouard, K.C.M.G., C.S.O., R.A.

Bombolulu Street,

8 October, 1909.

MINUTE.

Mr. Butler. Oct 7 Sir,

Mr.

Mr. Just.

Mr. Antrobus.

Mr. Cox.

Sir C. Lucas.

~~of Agreement~~ Sir F. Hopwood.

Col. Seely.

The Earl of Orkney.

July 8

1909

July 19

1909

Aug 13

1909

Aug 17

1909

Aug 24

1909

Aug 31

1909

Sept 7

1909

Sept 14

1909

Sept 21

1909

Sept 28

1909

Oct 5

1909

Oct 12

1909

Oct 19

1909

Oct 26

1909

Oct 31

1909

Nov 7

1909

Nov 14

1909

Nov 21

1909

Nov 28

1909

Dec 5

1909

Dec 12

1909

Dec 19

1909

Dec 26

1909

Dec 31

1909

Jan 7

1909

Jan 14

1909

Jan 21

1909

Jan 28

1909

Feb 4

1909

Feb 11

1909

Feb 18

1909

Feb 25

1909

Mar 1

1909

Mar 8

1909

Mar 15

1909

Mar 22

1909

Mar 29

1909

Apr 5

1909

Apr 12

1909

Apr 19

1909

Apr 26

1909

May 3

1909

May 10

1909

May 17

1909

May 24

1909

May 31

1909

June 7

1909

June 14

1909

June 21

1909

June 28

1909

July 5

1909

July 12

1909

July 19

1909

July 26

1909

Aug 2

1909

Aug 9

1909

Aug 16

1909

Aug 23

1909

Aug 30

1909

Sept 6

1909

Sept 13

1909

Sept 20

1909

Sept 27

1909

Oct 4

1909

Oct 11

1909

Oct 18

1909

Oct 25

1909

Nov 1

1909

Nov 8

1909

Nov 15

1909

Nov 22

1909

Nov 29

1909

Dec 6

1909

Dec 13

1909

Dec 20

1909

Dec 27

1909

Jan 3

1909

Jan 10

1909

Jan 17

1909

Jan 24

1909

Jan 31

1909

Feb 7

1909

Feb 14

1909

Feb 21

1909

Feb 28

1909

Mar 6

1909

Mar 13

1909

Mar 20

1909

Mar 27

1909

Apr 3

1909

Apr 10

1909

Apr 17

1909

Apr 24

1909

Apr 31

1909

May 8

1909

May 15

1909

May 22

1909

May 29

1909

June 5

1909

June 12

1909

June 19

1909

June 26

1909

July 3

1909

July 10

1909

July 17

1909

July 24

1909

July 31

1909

Aug 7

1909

Aug 14

1909

Aug 21

1909

Aug 28

1909

Sept 4

1909

Sept 11

1909

Sept 18

1909

Sept 25

1909

Oct 2

1909

Oct 9

1909

Oct 16

1909

Oct 23

1909

Oct 30

1909

Nov 6

1909

Nov 13

1909

Nov 20

1909

Nov 27

1909

Dec 4

1909

Dec 11

1909

Dec 18

1909

Dec 25

1909

Jan 1

1909

Jan 8

1909

Jan 15

1909

Jan 22

1909

Jan 29

1909

Feb 5

1909

Feb 12

1909

Feb 19

1909

Feb 26

1909

Mar 5

1909

Mar 12

1909

Mar 19

1909

Mar 26

1909

Apr 2

1909

Apr 9

1909

Apr 16

1909

Apr 23

1909

Apr 30

19

party which they are sending out ~~next month~~  
to make preliminary enquiries as to the con-  
struction of the branch railway and the  
working of the deposits.

2. You are fully cognisant of all the  
details of the negotiations with Messrs W.  
Samuel and Company, owing to the part which  
you yourself took in them before leaving  
England for the Protectorate. Moreover the  
enclosed correspondence shows fully by what  
stages the present agreement was arrived at.

I do not propose therefore to enter into any  
~~discussion~~ <sup>34</sup> of the terms of the agreement.

I would, however, call attention to section ~~35~~  
<sup>34</sup> of the form of contract which forms the  
second schedule to the agreement. In view  
~~power which is given to you in Sec. 48~~  
of the provision which has been made for  
~~to purchase under~~  
the expropriation both of the branch line  
and the contractor's port and pier, ~~you~~  
~~on the basis of capital cost, you~~  
will appreciate the necessity of watching

very

very carefully the actual capital outlay in-  
curred by the contractors in the event of  
their ultimately taking up the lease. In  
this connection you will no doubt, when the  
time comes, instruct the Local Auditor to keep  
~~such care very closely~~  
~~a sharp look out on~~ what is charged to capital  
account, and also to examine closely the speci-  
fications for work in order to see that they  
are not carried out on an extravagant scale.

3. I should be glad if you would inform  
me if any favourable opportunity occurs of  
purchasing land for the Government at Kilindini.  
As the Protectorate develops land round the  
harbour will probably become extremely valuable  
and it is not likely that any better opportu-  
nity will present itself later of buying land  
which might eventually be required for the  
new harbour works.

4. Please be ready this afternoon ten copies  
of a 38 page pamphlet on the subject of the

of the Railway in addition to those which  
would be sent normally for the use of the  
~~the purpose~~  
Government. I regret that so many  
copies are not available for specifically for the use of  
closed for this purpose. Railway but I trust that you will be  
to spare an adequate number from the copies enclosed in the despatch.  
5. In conclusion I desire to place on  
record my ~~very~~ appreciation of the part  
which you yourself took in the negotiations  
for the agreement. You spared no time and  
trouble in the matter and I feel ~~very~~  
surely that your efforts contributed in a  
very large degree to the successful conclu-  
sion of the arrangement. I have to request  
that you will also express to Mr. Currie  
my thanks for the untiring assistance which  
he rendered at so many stages of the nego-  
tiations, often at personal inconvenience to  
himself.

I have, etc.,

(Sd.) Currie

17 DEC 1909

No. 1  
Waiting copies of Agreement  
to be sent

5. 0/30686/1909

East Africa Protectorate

DRAFT.

Secretary  
to the Treasury.

Browning Street,

13 October, 1909.

MINUTE.

Mr. Butler. Oct. 7

Sir,

Mr.

Mr. Just.

Mr. Antrobus.

Mr. Cox.

Sir C. Lucas.

Sir F. Hopwood.

Col. Seely.

The Earl of Crewe.

With reference to your

# 18340/67

letter (No. 5000/67) of the 9th of

September, I am directed by the Earl  
of Crewe to transmit to you for the  
information of the Lord Commissioners  
of the Treasury, three copies of the  
agreement signed with Messrs W. Samuel

and Company with regard to the lease  
of the stock deposits at Lake Nicatori  
in the East Africa Protectorate.

I am, etc.,

0/30686/1909

Agreement  
3 copies