

EAST Afr. PROT.

5135

Brentford 19 Feb 10

5135

Nov 32

1910

rejean.

previous Paper.

36542

Legion Order

Submit explanation regarding hours of licensed premises Sunday Plain Clots & license fees

Mr Paisley
Mr Butler
Mr Read

(1) week day hours of sale (General Retail business)

Bishop Tucker in his letter Apr 10 1908 re T/12858/8 Earl suggested that the hours shd. be 14 daily - 8 a.m to 10 p.m. as the maximum.

The minutes in that paper approved 14 hours but selected 9 a.m. to 11 p.m.

The ordinance authorizes 15 hours 8 a.m. to 11 p.m.

It would appear that the non-official element was mainly responsible for the 15 as opposed to the 14 hours "day."

The tenor of the Gov's despatch on this paper is perhaps a little resentful: "the

Committee of Council that this object [due not realize] would be gained by reducing the number of hours from

comes hardly with while to
reach the point, — as in Regius 67 begins
with a day. This is probably not the only to open
(4) Hours of sale on Sundays etc.

Mr. Tucker suggested 3 hours as
maximum — one in morning & two
in afternoon or evening.
Minutes of T/1930/9 Club approved
of hours.

Ordinance authorizes 5 hours
12 noon to 2 p.m. and 6 p.m. to
9 p.m.

The explanation given by the Govt. in this
Prop. is does not satisfactory.
I would suggest that the 4 hour limit
might be introduced, viz. 12 noon
to 2 p.m. and 6 p.m. to 8 p.m.

In this way, the 6-p.m. refreshment
hour wld. be provided for as the
Govt. wishes & at the same time
6-8 p.m. would surely cover
quite well the dinner hour.

(5) Section 10 (7) of the Ordinance
10 for the purpose of contemplation legislation
against the consumption of the premises
of liquor purchased into club,
he regards it as a sensible &
defensible procedure.

If members of the clubs are leaving
by train, etc. with not been appropriate
to purchase the Regius liquor at
the

X Queen
Regulation
and 2nd
7-9 rule
for p.m.
4-6-7

See S.H.
Reg 23/1
See P.M.

Railway Station Buffet - (Presumably
the east) a provision is made for
them in the Ordinance (see 10(5))

This section should I think, be
amended to prevent consumption
of the club premises of liquor
purchased in the club.

51

(6) Section 10(7)(a).

The words "in any quantity"
have a very real meaning indeed.
If however consumption on the
premises be unforbid, these words
become undesirable.

(7) The paragraph after Schedule 2.

This appears to be quite wordy
a matter of drafting but likely
will perhaps say whether it is
worth while in making up the
suggestions made in our 3rd of Aug.
on Govt/23/90/19 Part 6

(8) Section 35

Further small matter of drafting
if an amending ordinance is
passed dealing with (2) & (3) above,
as suggested, the opportunity
might be taken to include this
alteration - possibly (5) also.

A.C.P.
12/2

(2) & (3) are questions of policy.

With regard to (3) one is perhaps a little apt to regard

Charters in membership or other District places with too much of a "London" style - for example the consequences that our clubs have generally by their rules restrict their members from buying liquor in the club for consumption off the premises make me take too narrow - view of the constitution of the 10(7)(a) of the Act on 2000/01.

But now here clubs are apparently becoming entitled to sell liquor for consumption off the premises provided that it is sold to a member and in the club premises (see Licensing Act 1902, s. 12-27), and they may quit this privilege against you if you propose to introduce a more stringent law for S.A.P.

However they will probably say, that local circumstances that the S.A.P. law shall be, if anything, less rather than more stringent than Local Law, and that the Birmingham Club is more easily a "place + where" house than the pub on the Street & behind, Sides of Pall Mall and in that neighbourhood.

If you decide to amend article regard to (2) or (6), I shall certainly include (8) + (6) in the amendment.

as Fiddler

I would make the Sunday hours
4.00 p.m. 12 + 2 + two hours in the
evening to be fixed by the City and Legislative
Council, and I would let the Club sell
liquor for consumption off the premises.

S.A.P.

March 9.

I agree
K.J.R.
1922

See D Hopwood

I would look at along. The Rule was
satisfied & explanation were asked for. Then
the Govt. had been given - a question offi-
cially to consider the result in the view of the
S.A.P. Society the Govt to answer, this case later
will, I expect, be considered in detail all the
dispositions to the public & the others
? Are not simple.

Yes, I think so - Dr 1/3

J.S. 12.3

I agree with Mr Fiddler.

J.S.
14.3

I proceed as Mr Fiddler proposes

F. 10. III

S-R

5135

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

Nairobi Dec 19

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

January 24th 1910.

No. 52

My Lord,

With reference to Your Lordship's despatch No. 698 of November 18th 1909 and in reply to paragraph 2 of Your Lordship's despatch No. 455 of August 6th relating to the Liquor Ordinance 1909, I have the honour to report as follows:

23908
P. Under the old ordinance of 1909 the holder of a general retail Liquor Licence was authorized to sell liquor between the hours of 6 a.m. and 12 p.m. When the new Liquor Ordinance was first before the Legislative Council the question of reducing the hours for the sale of liquor was considered. The non-official members claiming to represent public opinion in the Protectorate urged strongly that as the existing hours had been found suitable for local requirements no alteration should be made. The Ordinance was subsequently returned by Your Lordship for further consideration of this question, and it was pointed out that the existing practice meant that a house licensed for the sale of liquor would be open for 18 out of every 24

hours

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

THE EARL OF CREWE, K.G.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET,

LONDON, S.W.

hours, and that although this might be convenient for the public, the interests of the staff employed ought to be considered with a view to securing for them a reasonable period of rest. The Council were of opinion that this object would be gained by reducing the number of hours from 18 to 15.

8. As regards Sunday closing the Ordinance provides that licensed houses may be open between 12 midday and 2 p.m. and 7 p.m. to 9 p.m., i.e. the ordinary luncheon and dinner hours; and also between 6 p.m. and 7 p.m., this additional hour being added so as to meet the requirements of a large portion of the white community who take no stimulants before sunset, but after taking exercise between 4 p.m. and 6 p.m. require such refreshment.

4. With reference to paragraph 3a, at the present time the Mombasa Club is the only proprietary club in the Protectorate. Members who are leaving by train or who for some other reason will at the ordinary luncheon hour be at a place where food and drink cannot be obtained, frequently find it convenient to purchase a basket containing the refreshment they require. The proprietor of the Club who may, under his licence, sell liquor only to Club Members, has to pay only Rs.50 less than the holder of a general retail licence, and I do not think that there can be any fair complaint that the permission referred to is a legalization of unfair competition. As there appeared therefore to be no sufficient

viz. R.450
accompanied
with R. 500,

~~is better is
intended rather~~

any kind of
area of municipality

or membership -
Mombasa Club pays

R. 50 more

sufficient reason for forbidding a convenient and seemingly unobjectionable practice, no alteration was made in the provision referred to.

5. The words "in any quantity" in Section 10 (7) (a) will be found in the Section of the Transvaal Law on which that Section is based, and as their insertion in the East Africa Ordinance will permit of a member of a proprietary club purchasing from the Club a case of any liquor which he found to his liking and which might be unobtainable elsewhere, and in view of the price paid for the licence previously referred to, it was considered that such sale and purchase should be allowed.

6. With reference to the second paragraph numbered 3 in Your Lordship's despatch No. 445 Section 9 of the Ordinance, together with the 2nd Schedule, prescribes the fees payable for licences; the paragraph in the Schedule at the end of the list directs that in the cases therein mentioned the whole of the fees before prescribed shall not be demanded, and I venture to think that such a paragraph which purports to make exceptions to what has been directed immediately before may properly be inserted in the Schedule.

7. I agree that a provision similar to that contained in Section 10 (2) (c) might properly have been inserted in Section 10 (3) and (8) to make it perfectly clear to the licensing Courts that they may authorize the issue of the dual licences mentioned in the paragraph at the foot of the

Schedule, but I do not consider that the omission will cause any practical difficulty.

8. As it was desired when returning the Ordinance for the second time to the Council that only such verbal alterations as were essential to the proper working of the Ordinance be passed, the alteration suggested in this paragraph and the 3rd alteration suggested in paragraph 4 were not made.

I have the honour to be
Your Lordship's humble,
obedient servant,

M. G. Howard
GOVERNOR.

Jan
5/35/0
Cap.

DRAFT

Cap. No. 14!

for

Giscard.

MINUTE 10/3

Dr. Noall 18/3

Dr. Butler 19/3

Dr. Just.

Dr. Antrobus.

Dr. Cox.

Dr. C. Lucas.

Dr. F. Hopwood.

Dr. Seely.

The Earl of Crewe.

4/10

Sir,

I have the honour to
ack. the receipt of
your despatch No. 32 of the
24th of Jan on the
subject of the former

Ordinance No. 12 of 1909