

EAST AFR PROT

1472

Mar 25 1972

## COTTAGE

Draws attention to incorrect statements made in  
 Uganda Treasurer's letter with regard to copper coins.  
 Submits explanations.

H. Bates

See 11237

I do not think that Mr. and Mrs.  
 Mr. and Mrs. Bates  
 Richard and Ruth J. have been  
 shown to us

Mar 2 1972

1000 400

w/ Read

2nd

May 3

at our

R.Y.R.

3/E

11172

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

NAIROBI.

BRITISH EAST AFRICA

Feb 28 April 10

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

April 4th 1910.

No. 178

My Lord,

I have the honour to refer to the despatch of the Acting Governor, Uganda, of the 29th of February, which was enclosed in my despatch No. 153 of the 17th ultime to Your Lordship.

2. The Treasurer has drawn my attention to the fact that a statement contained in the enclosure to Mr. Boyle's despatch is incorrect and that the matter has not been made sufficiently clear to Your Lordship.

3. The remark is contained in the second paragraph of Mr. Smith's letter and is as follows:-

"The large amount of pice coined by the East Africa Protectorate was, I understand, done "with a view to a profit but the scheme did "not prove a success as the country became "overstocked with copper and confusion arose "by the excessive number of coins put into "circulation".

4. I am informed that this statement is incorrect though it is true that at one time the Treasury at Mombasa was overstocked with copper coins owing to

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

THE EARL OF CREWE, K.G.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET,

LONDON, S.W.

the Protectorate coins being demonetized in adjacent territories where they had formerly been admitted to circulation. It is also true that the late Treasurer Mr. Bradbridge for a short time endeavoured to force the circulation of the coins by issuing them at a discount. But at the time when the new coins arrived the stock of rice in hand was only Re. 86,700 as against an issue of Re. 5,75,700 and the coins were circulating at par throughout the Protectorate.

If had the copper coinage not been called in, a large financial profit must have ensued and though this profit was doubtless taken into consideration when the issue of the copper subsidiary coinage was originally contemplated it was not for this reason alone that the issue was decided on.

I have the honour to be  
Your Lordship's humble,  
obedient servant,

*M. J. Grant*  
GOVERNOR,