

EAST AFR. PROT.

13827

9 MAR 10

13827

Governor  
Kenyatta

205

1910

4th April

at previous Paper

5588 Collo

39349

## NAIROBI WATER SUPPLY

Transmits copy of a Report by the Commissioner of Public Works discussing three separate schemes. Has included £7,121 in the current year's estimate for the inception of scheme No. 2. This expenditure will practically double the supply of water. Is considering the feasibility of naming it over the water supply to the Nairobi Municipality. Does not think there would be any difficulty in paying off on the total cost.

Lt. Butler.

Apart from the last under consideration, a deal of useful information on the Nairobi water supply will be found in Captain Sanderson's memo. of the 30<sup>th</sup> June 1909, which forms the 2nd encld. to Mr. Jackson's desg. W. 366 of the 5<sup>th</sup> July in 009/25056.

There can be no possible doubt that the water supply of Nairobi is inadequate. Some of the previous correspondence indicates that from the point of view of public health, such a situation is positively dangerous, to say nothing of the discomfort it causes. On the other hand, there is an ample supply available estimated at 8,000,000 gallons per annum, if only it could be brought into the town.

Copy taken some time ago  
of 1<sup>st</sup> copy of Govt. 328. 7 June

See W. 21, nos. 1, 10, 900  
1100. A.S.E.W.

Subsequent Paper

1910  
39349

is first point is - How are supplies and  
capital to be brought into line?

The Govt gives the answer in the 1st  
Fiscal year's proposal in May 1885,  
that "The Government has considered economy;  
and after a full discussion of the revenue  
and expenditure, a scheme, which  
will support the current of work, the  
total cost of which is £15,676, & the  
immediate outlay required for its  
implementation £7,921, which has  
been included in this year's Estimate.

From the technical point of view, we  
would prefer to postpone a scheme  
put forward by the Comr<sup>n</sup> of works; we  
must rely on his judgment. It may  
be observed, however, in reply to the  
scheme proposed, that this first  
instalment enables the new native location  
at the Indian Bazaar to be built on a the  
new Public line of road & have a pure  
water supply. Although Parklands,  
Nainpur's rising suburb, does not  
benefit as yet. But the part installed  
will not be wasted - a use of  
the requirements of the Treasury is thus  
permitted. (See Dras. letter 7 October  
1885 or 36705/6). It may also be  
observed, that, when completed, this  
scheme allows 35 gallons per capita per  
head, or 100 more than the present  
population; although this quantity  
is less than that assumed at first  
by Mr. S. B. Willmott, of 30 gallons per  
head, or 100 more than the present

proposed proposals, the amount not  
contemplated is probably as much as we  
can expect to manage, & Mr. Willmott's  
estimate appears to have been considerably  
higher than those of other authorities.

(2) The second point, subsidiary  
to the first, is - How should the water be  
distributed?

In this Captain Sanderson's scheme  
is quite convincing. The Head pipe system  
is unsatisfactory, where possible,  
the meter system should be adopted.

(3) The third point is - How should  
the cost be met?

Capital, or £15,676 is included in the  
Estimate. The Treasury took up a  
stubborn line in the previous correspondence  
on the proposed diversion of Rail way  
capital funds to pay for improving  
the water-supply for non-railway purposes.

Thus, we are left standing in S. A. P.  
with no alternative but to make  
provision in the Estimate for instalments of  
the work, & there is now need to  
consider other possible ways of raising  
the money.

(4) The fourth point is - What will be the  
Revenue from the water supply?

This deals with at length in Capt.  
Sanderson's memo para. 11 pp. 9 & 10.  
He arrives at the figure £64,579 as the  
net revenue, less £100 for cost of  
running, i.e. about £64,479 clear, and

the amount of £7421. This plan  
is based on the assumption  
that such rates of  
20% of gross, less an  
allowance for other expenses  
in my judgment we should receive  
the "net" at some time during  
the incident - say 130-175.  
I take the opportunity  
of asking whether it is right and  
proper that the Public is going to  
be the work of setting that the  
amount in question is undiminished by  
any cost of Mr. Weller's fees.

I would  
prefer to have  
the agent  
receive a  
slightly different  
sum. I would  
like him to  
keep out  
of the  
public

area

24/5

W. Read Fielder

1 April

24/3

May 26

1

4 J.R.

27/5

in trying to get to water and  
the new road to be built, the  
agent will be following S. Davies but  
exaggerated expenses.

13827

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

NAIROBI,

9 MAY 10

BRITISH EAST AFRICA.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

April 14th 1910.

No. 268

My Lord,

*Below  
5689*

With reference to paragraph 6 Head 50 of Your Lordship's despatch No. 129 of the 11th ultmo and previous correspondence relating to the Nairobi Water Supply, I have the honour to report as follows:-

S. The whole question, the urgency and importance of which I fully recognize, has been engaging my attention since my arrival in this Protectorate. I concur generally with the scheme put forward by Mr. G. Bransby Williams for the drainage and sanitation of the town and regret that so much delay has taken place in completing it. The outbreak of an epidemic might at any moment involve us in hurried and uneconomical expenditure.

S. That delay is due, as Your Lordship is aware, to the insufficient water supply which is even now too scanty for the needs of the town and precludes the occupation of the native location, new Police Lines, Gaol, &c. on the outskirts of

the

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

THE EARL OF CREWE, K.G.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DUNNING STREET,

LONDON, S.W.

(8)

the whole of it can subsequently be carried out there would be no cause for complaint.

As I am considering the feasibility of handing over the water supply to the Nairobi Municipality, I do not think that there would be any difficulty in paying 4% on the total cost. The question of revenue was very fully dealt with by Captain Sanderson in a Memorandum which formed one of the enclosures in Mr. Jarrow's despatch above quoted. He estimated it at £4,354 with an annual expenditure of £1,100 for cost of working, upkeep, &c. Though these figures may be somewhat optimistic there should at any rate be a considerable balance over and above the £1,500 approximately needed to pay 4% interest on the £35,000 spent on the original installation and the extension now proposed.

9. I trust therefore that Your Lordship will feel justified in giving the Lords of His Majesty's Treasury the assurance which they demand.

I have the honour to be  
Your Excellency's humble,

John M. K. M'Kenna

  
GOVERNOR.

INCLOSURE

In Despatch No 278 of

1907

NAIROBI WATER WORKS.

The Enclosed, Extension, &c.

551

REPORT.

In my enclosure to (16) S.M.P.No.45/1907 I showed that we only received 151,000 gallons at the first Break Pressure Park, and 105,000 at the present Iron Reservoirs whereas theoretically we should obtain much more than these quantities, viz: 178,560 and 142,880 Gallons respectively.

Note. As regards the discharge of the 5" Main, I see that I made a mistake in my calculations in my enclosure to (16), as the distance of this pipe is 5,587 yards and not 5,800, therefore the discharge should be 178,560 gallons per minute, not 173,989.

2. In Mr. G. B. Willimme's letter of September 1st 1908 he thought that my theoretical calculations by Boy's Formulae gave too large discharges and he used Kutter's instead (with which Formulae I am of course unacquainted) and made the discharges slightly under the actuals. Mr. Willimme's calculations give however too small discharges because neither the present 5" or 4" Mains run full bore, and his Calculations are for their doing so. Therefore as I have understood for many years past that Boy's Formulae are more accurate than those of Kutter's for pipes of small diameters, I prefer to adhere to my original calculations and I firmly believe that there are obstructions somewhere in both Mains - either due to an insufficient number of Air Valves, or to incrustation which account for these pipes not filling and delivering their

their respective full theoretical discharges.

5. However even if the present mains were made to discharge their full quantities they would not supply enough water for the reasonable demands of Nairobi and its suburbs. Hence the necessity of increasing the existing supply, by means of the adoption of one of the schemes now brought forward. At the present time some 900,000 to 1,000,000 gallons are flowing over the Waste Weir at the Head Works, and of course the best plan would be to bring the whole of this water into Nairobi. At this course however would be too costly more modest schemes have to be sought for, and in this spirit I now bring three forward for consideration.

4. The first scheme is as follows:- Estimated cost.

1st.	To lay an 8" Steel Main Pipe from the Head Works to the First Break Pressure Tank ...	E. 5,096
2nd.	To take up the present 5" Cast Iron Main between these two points, and to relay it from the First Break Pressure Tank to a point on the pipe line 16,700 feet from the former R.L. 6,100 ...	237
3rd.	To allow the 4" Main to remain between these two points ...	...
4th.	To lay a new 6" Main (steel) from R.L. 6,100 to the present Reservoirs ...	4,199
5th.	To remove the present Second Break Reservoir Tank to R.L. 6,100	826
6th.	Erect two Tanks 20 feet diameter 15 feet high at R.L. 6,100 or at some other convenient point on the line capable of holding 7,450 gallons each for Parklands = 2 x £360	720
7th.	Construct one more Tank of the same size at the present Reservoirs for the Town Supply ...	360

591

16627

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

NAIROBI,

BRITISH EAST AFRICA

9 MAY 10

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

April 14th 1910.

No. 208

My Lord,

With reference to paragraph 6 Head 30 of  
 Your Lordship's despatch No. 129 of the 11th ultimo  
 and previous correspondence relating to the  
 Nairobi Water Supply, I have the honour to report  
 as follows:-

1. The whole question, the urgency and importance of which I fully recognize, has been engaging my attention since my arrival in this Protectorate. I concur generally with the scheme put forward by Mr. G. Bransby Williams for the drainage and sanitation of the town and regret that so much delay has taken place in completing it. The outbreak of an epidemic might at any moment involve us in hurried and uneconomical expenditure.

2. That delay is due, as Your Lordship is aware, to the insufficient water supply which is even now too scanty for the needs of the town and precludes the occupation of the native location, new Police Lines, Gaol, &c. on the outskirts of

the

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

THE EARL OF CREWE, K.G.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DUNNING STREET,

LONDON, S.W.

INCLOSURE

In Dispatch No 288 of 1910

BAIRBOY WATER WORKS.

The preferred extensions, &c.

551

REPORT

In my enclosure to (16) S.M.P.No.45/1907 I showed that we only received 151,000 gallons at the first Break Pressure Tank, and 195,000 at the present Iron Reservoirs whereas theoretically we should obtain much more than these quantities, vizt 178,866 and 147,084 gallons respectively.

Note. As regards the discharge of the 5" Main, I see that I made a mistake in my calculations in my enclosure to (16), as the distance of this pipe is 5,567 yards and not 5,280, therefore the discharge should be 178,866 gallons per minute, not 173,952.

2. In Mr. E.B.Williams' letter of September 1st 1908 he thought that my theoretical calculations by Box's Formulae gave too large discharges and he used Kutter's instead (with which Formulae I am of course conversant) and made the discharges slightly under the actuals.

Mr. Williams' calculations give however too small discharges because neither the present 5" or 4" Mains run full bore, and his calculations are for their doing so.

Therefore as I have understood for many years past that Box's Formulae are more accurate than those of Kutter's for pipes of small diameters, I prefer to adhere to my original calculations, and I firmly believe that there are obstructions somewhere in both mains - either due to an insufficient number of Air Valves, or to incrustation which account for these pipes not filling and delivering

their

Their respective full theoretical discharges.

However, even if the present mains were made to discharge their full quantities they would not supply enough water for the reasonable demands of Nairobi and its suburbs. Hence the necessity of increasing the existing supply, by means of the adoption of one of the schemes now brought forward. At the present time some 300,000 to 1,000,000 gallons are flowing over the Waste Water at the Head Works, and of course the best plan would be to bring the whole of this water into Nairobi. As this course however would be too easily more modest schemes have to be sought for, and in this minute I now bring three forward for consideration.

4. The first scheme is as follows:- Estimated cost.

1st.	To lay an 8" Steel Main Pipe from the Head Works to the First Break Pressure Tank	... ...	E. 5,000
2nd.	To take up the present 8" Cast Iron Main between these two points, and to relay it from the First Break Pressure Tank to a point on the pipe line 10,000 feet from the former R.L. 8,100	... ...	287
3rd.	To allow the 4" Main to remain between these two points	... ...	...
4th.	To lay a new 8" Main (steel) from R.L. 8,100 to the present Reservoirs	... ...	4,199
5th.	To remove the present Second Break Pressure Tank to R.L. 8,100	... ...	720
6th.	Erect two Tanks 20 feet diameter 18 feet high at R.L. 8,100 or at some other convenient point on the line capable of holding 29,450 gallons each for Parklands = 2 x £560	... ...	1,120
7th.	Construct one more Tank of the same size at the present Reservoirs for the Town Supply	... ...	360

	Estimated Cost.
8th. To take up as much as of the 4" Pipe as is required for Park-lands from the existing one of this diameter which will be replaced by the new 5" Steel Main say 3 miles @ 50 cents per foot	500
9th. Lay 60,000 feet of 2½" Galvanized Iron Pipes in Parklands, the new Indian Bazaar, the New Native Location, the new Police Lines and new Jail @ Re.1/- per foot.	4,800
10th. Lay 40,000 Galvanized Iron 1" pipes to link up with the Stand Posts @ 50 cents per foot	1,554
11th. Fix 100 new Stand Posts @ 2/- each	100
12th. Provide 50 new Metres full size New Indian Bazaar @ 2/- each	120
13th. Raise the Dam at the Head Works 2' 6"	256
Total	<u>£14,941</u>
10% contingencies	<u>1,494</u>
Total	<u>£16,435</u>

THE DETAILS OF SCHEME No.2 are:

1. To lay an 8" Main as in Scheme No.1	...	3,096
2. To lay new 5" Steel Main from R.L. 6,100 to the present Reservoirs,	...	3,424
All other Items as in Scheme No.1	...	7,685
Total	£14,209	
Add 10% contingencies	1,427	
Total	<u>£15,636</u>	

Those

(4) -

~~5-97~~

Those for SCHEME No.3 are:

	<u>Estimated Cost</u>
1. To lay a 7" Main instead of an 8" Main	\$15,362
4. To lay a 5" Main as in Scheme No.2	1,494
Add all other Items as in Scheme No.1	<u>7,385</u>
Total	\$15,702
Add contingencies 10%	1,570
Total	<u>\$15,772</u>

Therefore Scheme No.1 will probably cost \$15,458  
 " " No.2 " " \$15,492  
 " " No.3 " " \$15,772

Thus Scheme No.3 will cost less than scheme 2  
 " " No.1 " " \$15,362 " " No.1  
 " " No.2 " " 1,494 " "

6.

IN SCHEME No.3

Gallons per hour

The 8" Main should discharge	570,000
The combined 5" and 4" Mains should discharge	656,724
The combined 4" and 3" Mains should discharge at the present	348,000

IN SCHEME No.2

Gallons per hour

The 7" Main should discharge	570,000
The 4" Main is the present Reservoirs 14,000	14,000

IN SCHEME No.1

Gallons per hour

The 7" Steel Main should discharge	414,700
The 5" and 4" Mains combined as before in Scheme No.2	415,002

Note

Note. In addition Nos. 1 & 2 the 6" Main would consist of first a solid Cast Iron Pipe 16,300 feet long and then a box steel one from R.L.6100 to the present Reservoirs.

6. The Reservoirs on the Hill now hold:

	Gallons
No. 3 Square Tank 20' x 20' x 4" = 3 x 10000	36,000
No. 1 Round Tank 20 diameter x 18' high = 28450	<u>28,450</u>
Therefore the total capacity of these Reservoirs	<u>64,450</u>
Add one new Tank to be erected close to these	<u>28,450</u>
The capacity of the 5" Tank will therefore be	<u>68,000</u>

The 6" Main from R.L.6100 will discharge 11,471 gal.p.hr.

The 5" Main the First Break Reservoir Tank

Therefore the 6" Main will fill these Tanks with no one draught from them, in 7 hours and 28 minutes, and the 5" Main in 8 hours and 40 minutes. Now the water will probably only be drawn off of the pipes for use in the town from 5 a.m. to 10 p.m. = 18 hours. Therefore for some 38 minutes per day, if the 5" Main is laid the Tanks will overflow. Therefore in order to get the value of the full supply by this main it will eventually be necessary to erect an additional one 20' diameter x 18' at an estimated cost of £360 when funds admit.

The 6" Main will when the two tanks are put in supply 28 gallons per second for 1,204 people and the 5" Main the same amount for a population of  $\frac{P6400}{28} = 16,500$ ,

7. As regards the 4" existing Main from the First Break Pressure Tank or from R.L.6100 it is proposed to utilize its discharge for supplying Parklands and its vicinity.

From the First Break Pressure Tank to the existing Reservoirs

Reservoirs thus 4" Cast Iron Pipe will discharge  $\frac{151,800}{24}$   
 $= 6,300$  gallons per hour and from R.L. 6100 to the same  
 point it will discharge  $\frac{100,000}{24} = 4,167$  gallons per hour.

Therefore the proposed 2 new tanks to contain

$$(2 \times 29450) = 58,900 \text{ gallons will fill in}$$

$$\frac{58900}{6125} \text{ and } \frac{58900}{4200} = 9 \text{ hours, } 25 \text{ minutes, and}$$

14 hours respectively.

It is not now however proposed to place these tanks on the Hill where the present ones are, but either at the First Break Pressure Tank, R.L. 6100, or at some convenient point to give sufficient pressure between R.L. 6100 and the Ainsworth bridges. In this case the discharges however will probably be greater than those given above as no portion of Parklands is as high as the site of the existing Tanks on the Hill. The exact discharge however cannot be determined until levels are taken all over Parklands. The Supply from the above mentioned Pipes will give 25 gallons per head to a population of  $\frac{151,800}{25}, \frac{100,000}{25}$   
 $= 6,072$  and 4,000 respectively.

Therefore the proposed improvements will supply  $(11204 + 4032) = 15,236$ , and  $(10598 + 6048) = 16,646$  people respectively. That is to say they will suffice for 1,000 more than the present population. Therefore a few years hence it may have to either increase the supply, or to decrease the liability of water per head per diem.

5. Now as regards the merits of the respective Schemes. Scheme No.1 is defective in that the new 6 inch Steel Main from R.L. 6100 will practically carry no more water than a 5" one from the First Break Pressure Tank R.L. 6431, and the former will cost £971 more than the latter.

Scheme No.2 has the advantage that if we put down a 7" Main

CCD

Main now from the Head Works to the First Break Pressure Tank we may have to take it up in a few years, owing to increase in population and lay one of larger diameter. It has this advantage however, vizt that it will cost £628 less than an 8" Steel Main.

Scheme No.2 is however, to my mind, the best one because:

1. The 8" Main will deliver 574,880 gallons per diem as against 414,720 from a 7" Steel Pipe, and the former will supply  $\frac{(574,880)}{25} = 23,155$  souls with 25 gallons per diem, as against  $\frac{(414,720)}{25} = 16,588$  by the latter pipe.

The 8" Main will therefore allow for an expansion of population for many years to come, whereas the 7" one will not do so.

2. The 8" Cast Iron Pipe to be relaid from the 1st Break Pressure Tank to R.L. 610 ft and a new steel one of the same diameter to be put down from R.L. 610 ft to the present Reservoirs will carry enough water for the Town to meet existing requirements, and
3. A 4" Cast Iron one will carry quite sufficient water for Parklands and its vicinity.

I therefore on these grounds recommend it for sanction. In order however to utilize any portion of it the following money would be required in any one financial year:-

For the 8" Steel Main	£3,028
-----------------------	--------

For the taking up and relaying existing 5" Cast Iron Pipes	257
---	-----

For 2½" and 1" Pipes in the new Native and Indian Locations and in new Police Lines and Jail say	600
--	-----

For the new Steel 5" Main	3,494
---------------------------	-------

Total	£7,481
-------	--------

For

For this sum all the pipes on the existing system would run full bore instead of being closed for several hours each day as at present, and the new Native Location and Indian Bazaar could be built on, also pure water would be available for the new Police Lines and Jail, which is not so now. The Parklands mostly however would benefit even little in this expenditure.

2) I prepared several other various schemes these three were brought forward, but the former I rejected because they were either far too expensive, or else they would not give enough water.

M/- G.K. Watts.

Nairobi,  
November 18th 1900.

COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Govt. of Kenya  
Treasury

9 June 1910

Dear Mr. Parkerayor.

Letter 3<sup>d</sup>

June 1352/10 - Nairobi

Water Supply

I find Batt's report extremely difficult to understand especially as we have no previous experience - We send you back the original enclosures to

your previous letter of 20  
Aug 1908 (22+106) can  
you let me have either  
the originals or a trace  
or a copy

Yours faithfully

W. D. Brewster

Govt 13827 recd

Copy to the Govt F.C.  
with 2nd

2

30

DRAFT

The Secy to the Treasury

3 January.

MINUTE

Mr. Patterson 1/6

Sir R. Gully  
Mr. Fiddes 2

Mr. Just.

Mr. Cole

Mr. O. Lucas

Sir P. Hopwood

Cab. Secy.

Lord Urewe.

With reference to the letter from the  
Minister of the Treasury & to your  
letter of the 26<sup>th</sup> of February  
relating to the estimate of revenue  
expenditure of the Rail for the  
year 1910-11, I am directed by

the Earl of Granville to transmit  
(P.M. and before the L.C. 7th, 2nd meeting)  
to you a copy of correspondence

with the Govt of the Rail on  
the subject of the Nairobi water  
supply.

2. as T.L are aware

Govt 13827

Copy Govt 13828

a sum of £742/-  
is calculated  
incurred in the  
construction of the canal financial year  
Draft Estimate for

this service, - and

special reference was made  
to the under Head 32,

Public works retrospective,

in the second met. to

the bill from the Govt.

for the road.

3. In view of the info  
was furnished, by the

Governor last week, of his views  
as regards the Govt. money  
is proposed to give the  
Government to have  
the scheme completed for the  
two experiments required

by your letter No 169 of 27  
of the 7<sup>th</sup> of October 1908.

viz. (1) that such rates and  
payments will be imposed  
for the use of the water as  
will yield a net return  
after allowing for maintenance,  
preservation, etc., & at

at least 4% on the  
total capital expended  
on the water work, £15  
without involving any  
payment by the Govt.,  
except equitable payments  
by way of rates on their  
property or for whatever  
supplied; and (2) that

the ~~transferring~~ works the  
first instalment of the  
scheme as now proposed  
will not be wasted, where  
~~the~~ ~~the~~ scheme will  
permit of the completion of  
the scheme.

4. Lord Mayor  
holding the public meeting with  
the concurrence ~~of~~ to  
inform the Governor that  
he may proceed with  
the scheme, as explained  
in his desh. No 204

year 145 of April, to the  
extent of £7421 during  
the current year, on  
and understanding - that steps are taken to prevent  
the condition stated in  
the first assurance  
following a bond  
~~given~~ ~~in~~ ~~part~~ ~~of~~ ~~this~~  
~~which~~ ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~fulfilled~~.

Dr

John C. V. THODES.