



contribution to (e.g.) the cost of road  
the amount is provided under the  
head of Railway. If it is also shown  
as Revenue, there can be no deduction  
under the head Audit Dept. The result  
is that the same expenditure is shown  
twice over, the addition to Revenue is  
a gross increase of expenditure &  
gross profit in order to show a  
balance which is not a genuine  
increase of the revenue of the P.E.  
I think therefore (though I must  
subject to correction) that the Road  
contributions in respect of Watch & Ward  
Audit should be deducted from the  
expenditure under the head of Police  
& Audit, so long as the amount of  
these contributions is provided in the  
Railway Schedule.

In any case, both contributions should  
be treated alike as the Govt says in  
par 5.

II. Our remarks on remanent

Treas  
500  
here with

expenditure were made in par 5  
of our despatch of the 11th March last. No  
change on the Govt. for the current  
year. What we wanted is to avoid  
unnecessary expenditure. So long as  
it exists - almost so in treating it as  
a loan - we have to have the  
expenditure put down  
to the  
Government.  
I think the  
Government  
should be  
asked to  
contribute  
to the  
cost of  
the  
watch &  
ward  
audit  
in the  
same  
manner  
as the  
Railway  
Schedule.  
I quite agree with  
the  
half-half principle.  
HAB  
Oct 19  
I quite agree with  
the  
half-half principle.  
100-115

GOVERNMENT HOUSE  
NAIROBI,  
BRITISH EAST AFRICA.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

September 21st 1910.

No. 571

My Lord

I beg to inform Your Lordship that the following is connected with the work of the Station.

The Station is to be built on the site of the old station and the new station will be built on the site of the old station.

I enclose herewith a copy of the report of the Station Committee for the year 1909-10.

I enclose also under this head a copy of the report of the Station Committee for the year 1908-9.

The account of the Station Committee for the year 1907-8, which has hitherto been kept as a confidential document, is also enclosed.

It will be seen from the above reports that the Station Committee have expended considerable sums of money on the Station.

The contributions by "other Governments", are of a similar nature. I have the honour to enquire whether it was Your Lordship's intention that they should be treated in the same way.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE  
THE EARL OF CREWE, K.G.,  
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,  
DOWNING STREET, LONDON, C.W.

5. If not, I would suggest that both the  
Railway contributions be shown either as deductions  
from Expenditure Schedules or as ordinary reim-  
bursements under Revenue Schedule 4 as there does  
not appear to be any reason for showing one  
differently from the other.

Yours faithfully,  
[Signature]

Governor.









