

LOM...

INT AFR. PROT.  
No. 21499

C.O.  
21499  
Recd  
JUN 29 1905

Office of Individual

(Subject)

Stationery

Handbook of General Information

1905

21 June

Asks if materials can be supplied for official maps for instead of the copyright materials obtained from the Stanford.

Last previous Paper

7 pages  
No. 21499

Handwritten notes:  
Handwritten Original  
Comm. received 209 20/6/05  
This week 25 24/6/05  
No. 21499  
through  
Comm. received 209 20/6/05  
This week 25 24/6/05

(Minutes)

~~Handwritten~~  
Mr. Read

The I.D. are sending out a survey party to the Protectorate and I think it would be advisable to wait for them to return to get an accurate official map, before allowing the working arrangement?

A good small scale map would be very useful in Dept.

W. G. B.

The Date

This is really a question of expense. Make it. If we can get a better map from Protectorate we should take it - pointing out we must keep what we have.  
Mr. Lambert

Next subsequent Paper

3/1005

C.O.  
PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE, LONDON

which the 1904 Edition is not out for  
revision which will be in a few  
months. Inform that we will do this

H.S.D.  
2/16

Mr Read  
to proceed  
H.S.D. 2/16

We have had better results than expected  
the Topographical section of the General Staff  
- Westminster House - St James Square.  
He will probably be able to furnish  
what is required.

H.S.R.  
28/6

~~Mr Read~~  
Mr Read

... an error that has been ~~noted~~ <sup>delayed</sup>  
... present were <sup>very</sup> ~~very~~ <sup>important</sup> matters  
I have now seen Major Close & Captain  
Dagles. It appears that in 1903 the  
H.S.D. M.I. supplied <sup>the</sup> sketch map  
of which a copy is attached: but  
as was used, <sup>at the</sup> present  
map being out <sup>of</sup> <sup>the</sup> <sup>present</sup>  
Retreat in 1904 (Sic. 22nd 6th Aug. No. 100  
of 12th Feb)

good in the present case for at least six  
months, even if they are having a 80  
hour scale with ~~more~~ ~~to~~ ~~be~~ ~~done~~ ~~at~~ ~~the~~ ~~last~~  
best, it seems it is a ~~very~~ ~~small~~ ~~part~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~  
Hand-book would cost a good deal  
money for any event could not be done  
for ~~some~~ ~~time~~

If, however, ~~we~~ ~~all~~ ~~the~~ ~~I.O.~~ ~~will~~  
indicate what names & place ~~we~~ ~~use~~  
would like indicated in the W.O. ~~date~~  
map, they will consider whether it is  
worth to do this for us.  
I don't think that the latter  
proposal is very attractive, if I would  
provide a ~~proposal~~ ~~suggested~~ in my  
minutes of ~~the~~ ~~19th~~ ~~above~~

1918  
19/8

Mr. Hale

Does the statement in the last part of the O.O. letter of the 21<sup>st</sup> June mean that the copyright of the map was used in the last edition of the E. of G. Handbook is vested in Stamford? If so, would it not be advisable to have the question re-opened? The map in question was an extract from a larger map which was sent over here from the Protectorate and is referred to in Sir Charles Smith's despatch No 101 of 13<sup>th</sup> Feb 1904 and Stamford was employed by the O.O. to make the extract and print the map and that was no alteration of scale. Later, however, when a reprint



C.O. 533

9

BE KEPT WITHOUT PERMISSION OF THE  
ALLY WITHOUT PERMISSION OF THE  
PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE LONDON

Mr. Hiale

Does the statement in the last part of the O.D. letter of the 2<sup>nd</sup> June mean that the copyright of the map was used in the last edition of the E. of Handbook is vested in Stamford? If so, would it not be advisable to have the question re-opened? The map in question was an extract from a larger map which was sent over here from the Protectorate and is referred to in Sir Charles D'Almeida's despatch No 101 of 15<sup>th</sup> Feb 1904 and Stamford was employed by the O.D. to make the extract and print the map and that was no alteration of scale. Later, however, when a reprint

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE					
1	2	3	4	5	6
Reference -					
C.O. 533					
9					
COPYRIGHT NOT BE REMOVED PHOTOGRAPHICALLY WITHOUT PERMISSION OF THE PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE, LONDON					

was necessary; Stanford  
called attention to a few  
alterations which might be  
made on as to how to make  
up to date ~~it~~ and it was  
agreed that they should be  
carried out. The alterations  
were however, very slight.

It occurs to me that, unless  
the map from which the  
extract was made was  
one ~~published~~ the copyright  
of which belonged to Stanford,  
~~the~~ he can hardly claim  
the copyright of the map  
used in our handbook.

M. Jones

11/12/05

Of course if we can get a  
better map from the Protectorate  
it would not matter but I do  
not think it likely that we shall.

was necessary, Stanford called attention to a few alterations which might be made as we were trying the map up & sold ~~it~~ and it was agreed that they should be carried out. The alterations were however, very slight.

It seems to me that, unless the map from which the extract was made was one ~~published~~ the copyright of which belonged to Stanford, ~~then~~ he can hardly claim the copyright of the map used in our handbook.

W. Jones

of course if we can get a better map from the Protestants it would not matter, but I do not think it likely that we shall

was necessary, Stanford called attention to a few alterations which might be made on our map. It was agreed that they should be carried out. The alterations were however, very slight.

It seems to me that, unless the map from which the extract was made was one ~~published~~ the copyright of which belonged to Stanford, ~~he~~ he can hardly claim the copyright of the map used in our handbook.

Mr Jones

Of course if we can get a better map from the Protectorate it would not matter, but I do not think it likely that we shall.



I have talked to Mr. Read  
who agrees that (as a possibility)  
to that extent viz as a better  
map, we should raise the question again.  
See draft here with

HED  
#13/12

Mr Read

51) Demind East Africa Project

10m  
19/4

at once.

b. s. R.

19/4

Further communication on this subject should be addressed to—

The Controller,  
H.M. Stationery Office,  
London, S.W.

and the following number quoted:—

3875.

21493  
Recd 22 JUN 05

87

21st June, 1905.

Sir,

With reference to the Official Handbook issued by the Emigrants' Information Office entitled "General Information as to the East Africa Protectorate" a copy of which is enclosed, I have the honour to request that you will have the goodness to inform me whether there is any difficulty, in connection with future editions of the Handbook which may be required, in supplying to this Department materials for an Official Map, which might be reproduced either by the Ordnance Survey or by cartographers as might be found most convenient, to be substituted in place of the copyright map which the Department has hitherto found itself obliged to obtain from Mr. Sturford.

I have the honour to be,

SIR,

Your obedient servant,

*R. Bailey*

Controller.

The Under Secretary of State,  
Foreign Office.



DRAFT.

The Controller,  
H.M. Stationery  
Office

Sir  
With reference to your  
letter of 23/5/1918

MINUTE.

- Mr. Dale 2/18
- Mr. ~~Stewart~~ *Stewart*
- Mr. Andrews
- Mr. Cox
- Mr. Lucas
- Mr. Graham
- Mr. M. O'Malley
- The Duke of Marlborough
- Mr. Lytton

21st June, I am  
directed by Mr. Secy  
det. to inform you  
that it will be  
be necessary to send  
out the Handbook

issued by the I.T.O.  
entitled 'General  
Info' as to the  
i. Africa Protectorate  
to the Protectorate for  
the purpose of the

a fresh edition  
~~of the~~

2. The local  
authorities will then  
be asked whether  
materials can be  
sent to be an

official map in  
place of the one which

was approved in  
1914

S.O. East Africa  
21/1/1914  
105

DRAFT.

E. Africa Prot.  
No. 568  
OAG

MINUTE.

- Mr. Dale 13/12
- Mr. ~~Read~~ 14
- Mr. Antrobus.
- Mr. Cox.
- Mr. Lucas.
- Mr. Graham.
- Sir M. O'Malley.
- The Duke of Marlborough.
- Mr. Egicellon.
- For circulation

2 copies of E.P.O. pamphlet  
(circulate)

15 Dec  
Sir  
With ref. to my  
predecessor's deep  
507 of the 23rd ult.  
I have the honor to  
transmit by a trust  
copies of the pamphlet  
issued by the Emigrants  
Information Office,  
intituled "General  
info as to the  
African Protectorate"  
2. The copies of the  
E.P.O. would be much  
obeyed if you would

concern the pamphlets

to be

brought up  
at once  
as soon as possible  
reproduction

It would be convenient  
if some information could  
be added in regard to  
national Congress  
any books for which  
they can be heard

3. The ~~one~~ <sup>one</sup>  
of the ~~books~~ <sup>books</sup>  
maple was table which

is better than the one  
now published with  
pamphlet, the Committee  
could be asked if copies  
could be forwarded with  
your report in the strong  
be substituted for the  
present one.

consider the pamphlets

to be as

bracketed  
with regard  
to the part  
as soon as possible  
as to the  
arrangement

It would be convenient  
if some information could  
be added in regard to  
native languages &  
any books for which  
they can be used

3. If there ~~is~~ <sup>is</sup> any  
of the ~~particular~~ <sup>particular</sup>  
map(s) available which  
is better than the one  
now published, why  
pamphlet, the Committee  
would be glad if copies  
could be forwarded with  
your report to the Library  
to be substituted for the  
present one.