

EAST AFR. PROT.

No 21573 3

July
1905

1 June

NON-PATENT Paper

129

(Subject.)

Diseases of Animals - Codicilace of Law

Report on Ordⁿ differ in some important respects from later statement by Contagious Diseases in British Possessions in the East Coast of Africa. Call attention to Report adopted by Int'l Conf^r of 1904 and offer suggestions for consideration

(Minutes.)

Mr. HartleyMr. Austin

Promote non-discriminatory in 1904 the Order, but ask him to carefully consider the Board of Trade's letter, and the of Yellow Book referred to making effect of Committee by the question of the first report of possibility incurred by the port or vessel with the contagious disease of infected cattle, which may be considered if they do importation of such animals. I would not go further than that as it cannot be good

BOARD OF AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY

4. WHITFIELD PLACE

LONDON

22nd April, 1905.

I am directed by the Board of Agriculture and Forestry to advert to your Memorandum No. 1016 of the 18th ult. with thanks and pleasure. And I am very anxious you, in reply that the Ordinance No. 2 of 1904, enacted by His Majesty's Commissioner for the East Africa Protectorate, which is returned herewith as requested, differs in some important respects from the 12th relating to Contagious Diseases of Animals in British Possessions in other parts of Africa. In this connection, the Board desire to call attention to the Regulations for dealing with diseases of stock which were adopted by the VERSAILLES Conference of Delegates from British, German, and Portuguese Colonies and Protectorates, held at Cape Town in May 1904, (see pages 77-85 of Report of Proceedings, Cape of Good Hope, G.87-1904), and to suggest that the administration of the East Africa Protectorate might perhaps wish to consider these Regulations if they have not already done so. The Board are also informed that a Draft Ordinance was drawn by the Cape Colony Department of Agriculture to obtain powers to put the said Regulations in force, and that possibly this Document is available.

The Board's Chief Veterinary Officer, who up to the 31st December last held the Post of Principal Veterinary Surgeon to the Transvaal Government, offers the following suggestions regarding the Ordinance in question ~~may be considered~~ for consideration in connection with the local conditions and circumstances of the Protectorate.

Section 6: the addition of the words "wool" and "hair" after the word "skins".

Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office,
S.W.

Section

9

Section 7. Considering it as possible to prohibit (1) methods of inoculation which serve to spread disease, such as the inoculation of virulent blood in Kinderpast and vaccination against Sheep-Pox. In view of the great difficulty in administration which was experienced in the Transvaal in consequence of the practice of dangerous methods by unskilled and ignorant persons who in time claim for their trade-rights a vested interest, it would appear advisable from the outset to guard against such practices being established.

Section 8: It is observed that the Administration binds itself to slaughter all animals affected with Kinderpast or Puerphemononik. The experience obtained in dealing with Contagious Disease in the Transvaal, where there is a large organised Veterinary Staff and a very considerable white population, has been that one seldom got at the initial outbreak and the first one reported represented a large group of connected outbreaks. Compulsory slaughter for Kinderpast would thus have involved the Government in an unknown expenditure with little hope of a successful issue, and in addition it would have resulted with natives fleeing with their infected herds to avoid slaughter to which they are strongly opposed. On the other hand the fact that the South African native welcomes inoculation methods suggested that the sounder policy was to offer a remedy, or preventive, which was available in the shape of anti-Kinderpast serum, with the object of inducing the native population to remain stationary with their animals and not to spread the disease by moving infected cattle. In these circumstances, it is suggested for consideration whether, so far at least as Kinderpast is concerned the provision for slaughter should not be permissive instead of compulsory.

The Board desire to add that the foregoing observations as to the attitude of the native population towards the necessity of measures taken for the eradication of contagious diseases of

13

The members of the Veterinary Board are selected on experience & knowledge of the local conditions of the Protectorate.

Yours obedient Servt,

Secretary

DRAFT.

Yellow Book No 293

Conroy & Stewart

MINUTE.

No. 377/ps

Mr. Andrew.

Mr. Cope.

Mr. Lucas.

Mr. Graham.

Sir M. Gresham.

Mr. Duke of Marlborough.

Mr. Lyttelton.

15109

For Your Honor's benefit
I enclose a copy of your draft
No 215 of 22/10/04 which
I will forward you with the
view of征求意见
and not be exercised
with respect to the
"Decree of Assembly".

Dated 1905 of the
last before Parliament
(Nov 1905) a transcript
of which accompanied your
draft.

Please return a
copy of it when you receive

it.