



511 DESPATCH

EAST AFR. PROT.

N^o. 21797

21797

Rec'd
R. 18 JULY 06

File No.
Copy
1928

1906

May 21.

and previous Paper.

(Subject.)

Land Grants to Indians

Submit copy letter from Sec. Col. Amos. Prop. resolution amending regarding the question of granting land to Indians within the area opened for white settlement. Propose to follow principle acted on by his predecessor. Two minutes by Sec. Col. Amos & Mr. Johnson. (copy contd. w/ lot 1020c.)
(Minutes.)

M^r Read

The proposal to reserve the land between Kisa & Fort Thomas as the more or less - hereditary part of the Protectorate was advocated by the Land Commission (p 14 of their Report) and supported by the late Comr^{ssn} (See 2^o of doc. of 14 Augt 1905)

33231

Some support was given to the suggestion in the memorandum but the P. of P. did not pronounced on the question, granting was said shall stand in the doc. to the Comr^{ssn}.

It is not possible, as the Comr^{ssn}, I think, sees, to issue any order or proclamation

PRINTED FOR PARLIAMENT
H. C. 158 - AUGUST 1907

Subsequent Paper

fc:
25976

any rights enjoyed by the subjects
of H.M. subjects. I cannot find
that such forms are demanded from
anybody holding land in any part of the
Empire (see the Party Paper H.C. 303)
except in the Territories - a number of
the laws of the old Republic, & in the
land - a small part of Hong Kong.
The Chinese are always trying
to interfere with the land or
possessions, & so far they have always been
unsuccessful. They hold the first land
they find, & it is impossible to keep the
white men away, which
the Chinese just publish for their
attitude.

At the same time, it seems desirable
to consider that the small part of
the country which is now a rep-
resentative of Europe, & other wise
is held for European settlers, should
be finally determined to them,
and this was apparently being done;
and the action of the Chinese in
upsetting before they are sent makes
it much more difficult to give effect
to their wishes. For if you do this
as most now desire that we shall
probably soon receive a counter

petition from the Indians, who
may be directly supported by the
India Office.

I would suggest that we should
request the Committee to inform
the petitioners that it would
not be in accordance with the
policy of H.M.'s Govt. to exclude
any class of His subjects
from holding land in any part
of a British Settlement, but
that the Committee will no doubt
concur in causing a reason the
disposition in doing will affect
actions for land, bearing in
mind the way certain lands
are suitable for European
colonization.

London, a conf. dep. office of
Ch. Secy. pursuing the policy
proposed in par 4 of his dep.
(He need not notice the change
against the administration of
being headed by the Indians
brought by the Indian law who
is clearly a nobody)

Mr. Astor
replies. Name, & Co. (say) of

the bullet is suitable for their adoption
but only one with (say) for European. It
has not been mentioned. We shall
keep the letter from the Suspension
for a while.

17 R

25/6

Mr. J. M. K.

not 0
27/6.

24/2/29. 6

L 30 6

21797

REC'D
REG 18 JUN 0

Commissioner's Office,

513

Nairobi.

May 21st 1906.

RAINY AFRICA COLONIAL ASSOCIATION.

Confidential (28)
(Incl. 5.)PRINTED FOR PARLIAMENT
H.L.158 AUGUST 1907

My Lord,

At the request of the Secretary of the Colonists'

Association, I have the honour to submit a copy of a letter

I have received from the Association containing a resolution

passed at a Public Meeting of the settlers' held at the

Town Hall, Nairobi, on the 9th instant, and an amendment

which was subsequently passed thereto at the same meeting.

2. This meeting, which was the largest hitherto held in

Nairobi, was the outcome of a meeting held by the Indian

Community in Mombasa which for its object the urging

on Government that equal rights be accorded to Indians

and Europeans in this Protectorate.

3. The gist of the matter is whether Indians should be

allowed

H. M. Prince Secretary of State

for the Colonies.

Downing Street,

London, S. W.

allowed to take up land within the area opened for White Settlement, which may be said to lie between Kiu Station and Fort Ternan. I am unable to find any proclamation from Sir C. Elliot on the subject, but in his minute to the Land Officer No. 297 he held that it was better not to grant any large holdings to Indians between Machakos Road and Fort Ternan and in Mr. Jackson's Circular of the 28th August 1902 the country lying between Kiu and Fort Ternan was expressly exempted to Indians desirous of settling as cultivators. Copies of these papers are attached. With regard to this area the Land Committee wrote in their report (page 14) as follows:-

" The area lying between Kiu and Fort Ternan has already been proclaimed as one suitable for European settlement and without wishing to bind themselves too closely to that area or to restrict its limits the Committee think that a European reserve should be maintained more or less on the lines laid down, and that within that area Asiatics should not be allowed to hold land (with the exception of such plots that have already been granted), and that outside the towns within the limits of that area they should only be granted leases of market-garden land.

2 on

on short terms."

Although no definite ruling has been given in the matter it has been the policy of both my predecessors not to grant land to Indians, except within Municipal limits, within the area mentioned, and the Colonists' Association are quite aware that I have shown no intention of departing from this policy.

4. The matter is one upon which I am quite aware it would be very difficult to legislate, but with Your Lordship's approval I propose to follow, as I have hitherto done, the principle acted on by Sir C. Eliot and Sir D. Stewart, that land outside Municipal limits, roughly lying between Kiu and Fort Ternan be only given to white settlers.

5. After the resolution was passed at the meeting above referred to an amendment was proposed by a certain Mr. Low in language as reported in the "Times of East Africa", which caused me to communicate a strong protest to the President of the Association, a copy of which together with copy of his reply I enclose.

6. This Mr. Low is a man who has no interest whatever in the country. He was employed for a time as Editor of

the "Times of East Africa", Mr. Watkins's paper, from which post he was dismissed, I understand, for incivility and drunkenness, and I have heard, but I cannot say with what truth that he lost similar employment in South Africa for the same reasons.

7. As Your Lordship is aware, there is in East Africa a strong and increasing dislike to Indians on the part of the majority of the White Settlers, who look upon them as intruders, quite oblivious of the fact that till three years ago East Africa was a purely Native Protectorate with settlements of Indians, and that it was the Indians who materially assisted the Administration in the early days of the development of the Protectorate by working on the Rail-way, building houses and taking contracts at a time when there was practically no one else to do so. It is, therefore, somewhat irrational now to blame the Indians for having, under such circumstances, made a position for themselves in East Africa, or to blame the Government for having encouraged them. But the feeling undoubtedly exists and no effort on our part will remove it.

8. As to the inuendoes made by Mr. Low I know of no justification for them whatever, beyond the general feeling

to which I have alluded. The sentiments expressed by him
are not shared in by the better class of settlers, and the
President has lately called upon me and expressed his regret
that such an amendment was ever put to the meeting and
passed. I may add that Lord Delacore has written to the
papers intimating his intention to resign his membership
of the Association unless the statements made by Mr. Low
are amplified or repudiated by the Association.

(4)

I have the honour to be,

With the Highest respect,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient,

Humble servant,

John Whittle.

5

INCLOSURE

cord 27 May 26
28 May 26

Enclosure No 4.

C. O.

21797

Recd

Recd 18 JUN 06

May 14/26

1906.

513

Sir,

I have the honour to request that you would be pleased to forward to H.M. Secretary of State for the Colonies the following resolution passed at a Public Meeting held in the Town Hall, Nairobi, on the 9th instant:-

"That this meeting of white settlers in the East Africa Protectorate expresses in the most emphatic way its opposition to any alteration being made in the policy of the former Commissioners of the Protectorate, Sir Charles Eliot, and the late Sir Donald Stewart, of retaining the highlands of East Africa, defined in the Proclamation of Sir Charles Eliot as lying between Kis Station and Fort Ternan Station on the Uganda Railway, for European settlement only.

" And this meeting pledges itself to offer the most determined opposition to the attempts of British Indians to be allowed to take up land in such highlands, and insists upon the Imperial Government reserving for European settlement the only part of the Protectorate suited to Europeans and to which they have the exclusive right by reason of the deficit in Protectorate expenditure defrayed from contributions by the British Taxpayer, and by reason of a great number of white settlers having come into the country on the faith of its being reserved for Europeans.

" And further that this meeting of white settlers emphatically condemns the policy of the Government of this Protectorate, which it considers has been directly responsible for the attitude which the Indians have taken up."

The Commissioner

East Africa Protectorate,

Nairobi.

I may state that while the imperative necessity of reserving these highlands for Europeans was agreed to by all, it was felt by a large majority that the attitude of the Indians in endeavouring to force the Administration to break faith with white settlers who due to the policy of some of the present officials in directly favouring Indian subjects,

Truman Fox, Secretary

W. Maclellan Wilson,
Acting Secretary.

Sunday 25th

INCLOSURE No. 2-

10 Despatch No. 28 *Copy* of 4 May 20 1906.

519

MINUTE.

Land Officer,

I am inclined to think it will be better to keep Indians and Europeans apart as far as possible and thus avoid friction. With this object it would be better not to grant any large holdings to Indians between say Machakos Road and Fort Ternan. But I see no objection to Indians having small plots in towns nor to station officials having a little ground near their stations if the Railway will allow it.

SAC - C.E.

(297)

(2) May 1903

9

Enclos. 3rd No.

INCELOSURE

Copy
Index. Lett. No. 28 of 1916.

CIRCULAR.

539

INDIA'S SETTLEMENT.

— — — — —

With a view to developing the country, H.M. Principal Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs has directed me to assist with moderate grants to those Indians of respectable character who are at present resident in the Protectorate and are desirous of settling as cultivators.

- (1) The grants will take form of seeds and agricultural implements, the loan of bullocks for ploughing, and the lease of land for 5 years at a very moderate rent.
- (2) The rent charged will vary slightly according to the locality. It will, however, be sufficiently low not to stand in the way of settlers success.
- (3) The country lying between KIU and FORT TERNAN does not come within the scope of this Notice, but it is particularly desired to open up the districts between the Coast and Kiu, the Fort Ternan and the Lake.
- (4) Intending settlers should make application to the nearest Sub-Commissioner or Collector. They should be provided with certificates and references as to character, and they should state what experience they have previously had in agriculture, and the nature and the extent of the assistance required.
- (5) Preference will be given to a number of Indians who are prepared to work in partnership rather than to a single man.
- (6) Bone Fide settlers who have given proof by their industry that they are able to support those dependent on them, will be assisted in obtaining passages for

their

their wives and families from India.

(7) Care should be taken by Sub-Commissioners and Collectors in forwarding applications for grants of land not to recommend those of applicants whose residence in the Protectorate would be undesirable as the settlement of criminal colonies would be a distinct menace to the Natives, and retard rather than advance the development of the country.

SD/- P. J. JACKSON,
ACTING COMMISSIONER.

Mombasa,

August 28th 1902.

Commissioner's Office

Nairobi,

May 12th 1905.

Sir,

The attention of His Majesty's Commissioner has been drawn to certain passages of the account given in the issue of the "Times of East Africa" of the 12th instant of the recent meeting of the Colonists' Association at Nairobi.

2. A certain Mr. Low is reported to have said, in connection with an amendment he made "emphatically condemning the policy of the Government of this Protectorate" - which amendment was subsequently carried by a majority - that, "He considered that the Indians held a most extraordinary position in this country (Applause). They were over-rated, they had special privileges such as not being amenable to Municipal Regulations, in fact they did very much as they liked, and that it seemed to be in favour with the Government. He thought the support given the Indians was not done from motives of benevolence but "back-sheesh" was at the bottom of it. (A statement which elicited loud applause from a certain section of the meeting)"
3. I am to enquire what is meant by this charge evidently directed against the officials of the Protectorate. Vague and unsupported as it is it appears to have met with support from a section of your Association.
4. I am further to enquire whether you consider that the tone displayed at this meeting justifies H.M. Commissioner in looking for the future for any support from your Association in the task he has before him of developing the country in the interests of the white settlers and the commodity in general.

I am, &c., &c., /2

Sd/- A.C. POLLIS

President

Colonists' Association

Nairobi.

22 May 21
Mairobi,

May 17th 1908.

523

Sir,

I have to acknowledge your letter of the 12th instant, the receipt of which has been delayed owing to same having been received at the office of the Colonists' Association.

In the first place I wish to point out that the meeting was not of the Colonists' Association but a public meeting held under its auspices.

I am aware that probably the majority of those present were members of the Association, but, nevertheless many who voted for the amendment, to which in my opinion you rightly take exception, were not members.

With regard to paragraph 3 of your letter, it is impossible for me to state what is meant by the charge you refer to. That is, surely, a question which should be addressed to the proposer of the amendment and his supporters.

Regarding paragraph 4 I do not consider and have stated so publicly, that the resolution as adopted can command itself to any fair-minded man, but, as I have pointed out, the meeting was a public one - a mass meeting in fact - and until the Colonists' Association identifies itself with the resolution I do not think it should be saddled with it.

A general meeting of the Association is to be held early in July when this matter, among other business, will be dealt with.

In conclusion I may state, I think, that the Colonists' Association, although voicing the grievances and requirements of the settlers in this Protectorate,

is willing and can be of great service to the
Government.

I remain As.,

Sgt - FRANCIS MARKET

14

1797

Crown

E.A.P.



24/7/1907

PRINTED FOR PARLIAMENT
H.L. 158, AUGUST 1907

525

DRAFT.

(Copied.)

(17 July 1906)

E.A.P. #

Crown

MINUTE.

Mr. Lloyd 2

Mr. Fleet 3

Mr. Astor 3

Mr. Cox.

Mr. Lucas.

Mr. Graham.

Sir M. O'Meara 13 p.s.

Mr. Churchill.

The Earl of Elgin.

See 23976 below

Sir,
1. Would you be
able to receipt a copy of
the report of the
Committee of the
Colonial Association
on the subject of
land grants to Indians
in the E.A.P.

2. Would you be
willing to request that you
will cause the
Colonial Association to be
informed that it
would not be in
accordance with the
spirit of the

169-1

525 21797

above mentioned, I

526

have to inform you
~~that~~ I approve of
your asking to the
principle stated on
your premises, right
of land outside
municipal limits,
rightly lying between
Kiss and Fort Totten,
should be granted
only to Lapon Indians.

June 4th

1851.

2