

EAST AFR PROT

C O
3075REC'D
REG'D 28 MAR 10

9075

for
ackson 123

1910

7 March.

Last previous Paper.

for
/34946
1910 contd

masai tribe

Submits for approval action taken for the removal of the Massai from the Northern Reserve & concentration in Southern Reserve.

UNITED FOR PARLIAMENT
Cc 558a June 1911

Mr. Fielder

The circumstances in which the two Massai Reserves were established are fully set out in the paper with F.O. 37344 10th. You will see that there was a written agreement - that the Massai were to have a wall $\frac{1}{2}$ a mile broad connecting the two Reserves - & that the agreement was to be "enduring so long as the Massai are a race shall exist" & that European or other settlers shall not be allowed to take up settlement ^(and so do) for the purpose which I have marked by red pencil in the paper - a map showing the two Reserves & the connecting wall is annexed.

provided that certain works are carried
out for ensuring the water supply. We
should before newspaper ground if we could
say that the new territory was equal
both in value and in area to that
conceded.

The arrangement, if approved, will
no doubt be the subject of criticism
in the H. of C. & we shall probably be
told that it is a case of Native
vineyard & that we have only moved the
trees out in order to give other rich
prizing grounds to the white settlers.
It is therefore necessary to see what has
been said in the H. of C. on the subject &
I would call attention to H. of C. 23719/07 25469/07.

H. of C. 17181/07. I do not think that
what is proposed to do would at
variance with the statements which have
been made in the House, see in particular
H. of C. 17181/07.

I think that it would be safer
to annul the first clause from the
first letter, if the works referred to
in the 7th para of the 2nd are carried
out, this, in my case, is clearly an
essential condition of the transfer) the new

be more solemn than in a form.

In the agreement he thinks state: we,
being satisfied with the proposals for our
removal to definite tribal reserves and
for the undoubted good you can, have
agreed as follows: "We are
quite satisfied with the foregoing arrangement.
We hold ourselves, our successors, as well
as our people, to observe them & we well
know and think the settlement we agreed
at Shingle to enduring so long as the Mexican
as a race shall exist, that European or
other settlers shall not be allowed to take
up land in the Settlement".

Sir D. Stewart - forwarding to
be signed
agreement which was submitted to him
in the approval of the S. W. P. I. friends:-

"The Lakota have seen with keen observation
twice this has well been good
by way for the railway & not tempting to
the present settlers, though in the future it is
quite possible that when the Mexican have
grazed down the grass too short, however
eyes will again become on their lands, so I
express strongly to you Sirs, the absolute
necessity of keeping these Lakota lands
an attractive nature reserve for the Indians.
The S.W.P. (T.O.) finds deep and convincing
the agreement revised the history of the water

113

returning for a certain distance [the route
of the Railway] the cause not to stand
have adopted our views. Now we have
abrogated the agreement of '04 by a document
signed jointly & calling together to a
similar body of chiefs explaining the matter
carefully to them, & getting their signatures
to an agreement similarly abrogated by the
chieftains and leading head officials.

This at present affords a full & conclusive
reply to representations - or misrepresentations
- that no note has ever been forwarded
in the interests of the white sellers from
us - really concerned in by the Massai.

A prior it was probable that such
statements were made, and in fact they have
been made in some of the existing circumstances.
A fort official has written privately to a friend
in the country, suggesting that the
question asked in the H.Q.C. - "whether to defeat
or not the aboriginals" - "the fort is
going to break its word". He asserts that
while Lewana, the ^{Chieftain of the} Chieftain of the
Soroti is agreeable to the scheme, as it will
strengthen his authority, the Massai & the
other ~~chieftains~~ say they won't
work as yet: "the Massai say they won't
work for Lewana, but lots of the fort is
behind Lewana they know they're here to
go" - "The District Comptd told me that

are false, in which case the writer deserves
dismissal, or they are true, in which case
the Govt has rendered his position impossible
for he can ~~not~~ possibly expect to be free of
State on a matter of the first importance & of
sufficient gravity to jeopardize the existence of
an administration. We are bound to
assume that the Govt's account is correct,
but nevertheless it will be well to leave
anything to chance, and to make another
investigation. We have been affected with
some of the charges, & it is quite possible that
they have been made without any country.

I think a draft of the letter suitable
for eventual publication of necessary. I
will set out the ^{facts about} ~~present~~ ~~business~~ of the agency at
as far as above. We have lost the D. V.
and not found any to about it without
the clearest proof that this was in accordance
with the rules of the service stipulated by
the Northern section. I might add that the
paper laying down it is the best and
agreed and produced the earliest as suggested
above.

I will add that statement has been
made by persons - ~~in the service~~ - giving a
true outline as above. I might say on of
course in ~~confidential~~ ^{with} consideration of the Govt's
duty, that therefore the D. V. is ~~wrong~~

I agree with Fiddes's minute
put the case most clearly and
fully, and it is evident that
nothing must be done without a
searching enquiry; and the more
as there is no conceivable urgency.
The land won't run away, and
if Sir P. Greville can prove his case,
some delay in acting on his advice
would be unadvisable. Measures against
water could never be repaired -

E 18 IV.

131
Colonial Office.

Downing Street, S.W.

Mr Read

Have you any advice to make on them?

15/3

W. Read.

W. Vernon.

all we know about this project has come to us in connection with the estimates for 1910-11. Please see worked passages on p.4 of attached print A or on p.15 of attached print B.

In the despatch approving of the Ests. (No. 129 of March 11; on ~~Read~~ print not yet available) we said:-

"The proposal to incur expenditure on irrigation works in the Southern Mahr Reserve raises, as you will see from the remarks made in the memorandum

prepared in this department, very
large questions of policy, independently
of the question of expenditure.

I have to request that you will furnish
me with a detailed account of your
proposals and of the reasons for them,
with particular reference to the con-
siderations indicated in the memo
transmitted. In the meantime, of course,
no expenditure should be incurred
on this service, and no steps of any
kind should be taken which would
lead either the Moro, or other residents
in the Protectorate to suppose that the
Government are committed to the
scheme.

HAB

March 15.

16th March, 1910.

132

My dear Geoffrey,

Many thanks for sending me Professor Gilbert Murray's letter and that from his correspondent, both of which I return.

The question of the Masai is, of course, one of the utmost importance, and the considerations to which Professor Murray's correspondent draws attention have not been overlooked by us at the Colonial Office. We first heard of the project for removing the Northern Masai to the Southern Reserve in connection with the estimates for 1910-11, and in sending those estimates to the Treasury, for their approval, we made the following comments upon it:-

"The object of the scheme is to locate all the Masai in the Southern Reserve, part of which is at present useless owing to lack of water, instead of partly in the Southern and partly in the Northern Reserve, and so, in addition to facilitating administrative control, liberate a large tract of country suitable for European settlement. The proposal is attractive, but the Secretary of State can hardly approve of it without considerable

1230

in the Protectorate to suppose that the Government are committed to the scheme.

I think this will suffice to show you that we are fully alive to the importance of keeping any promise which may have been made to the Masai.

Yours ever,

7th April, 1910.

My dear Geoffrey,

You wrote to me some little time ago enclosing a letter which Professor Gilbert Murray had received from a friend in East Africa about a proposal to move the Masai. We have now received a despatch on the subject from Sir Percy Girouard, and it would be inconvenient if you could let me see again the letter from Professor Murray's correspondent, which I returned to you. Of course we shall not bring him into it in any way, but we wish to see whether the different points raised in his letter are covered by the Governor's despatch.

Yours ever,

British East Africa

Feb. 2-1910

Dear Mr. Murray

I wonder if you can help me in a certain matter. There is grave danger that the Government of this country will do a great wrong. I am, rightly, forbidden to write to the papers, ~~and~~ ^{but} forbidden to write to you. And I hope you will be able to facts useful.

The story, as briefly as I can put it, is this. The Masai, a pastoral tribe who on our advent, occupied the immense tract of the highlands of East Africa, and inhabit a still larger area which in flocks and herds though far in numbers themselves. The railway runs through their ~~was~~ ^{country} for over a hundred miles. White settlers came soon for ~~they was found~~ near the railway. A fair and definite area of the Masai country was given over to White Settlement. A treaty was made with the Masai. Its two provisions were, a promise not to disturb them in the possession of two areas, one north and one south of the railway, the country between being kept ~~for~~ ^{European} ~~African~~ Settlement, and a promise to give the Masai access to the ancient Site for certain periodic ceremonies - this Site being in the alienated area. The treaty was signed, in 1891 by the late Sir Donald Stewart, then Governor, by other officials, by ~~Leana~~ the paramount chief, and by other chiefs of the Masai.

About two years ago Sir Harry Johnston wrote an article in, I think, the "Nineteenth Century" advocating moving the Northern Masai down beside the Southern, in the interests of the European Settlers.

The Northern Masai preserve contains some of the best land in the colony. Lord Delamere and others began a local agitation and the proposal was investigated by the Government. One important question was whether there is room and water in the South for the immense flocks and herds of the Northern Masai. I am certain there is not but the present Governor seems to differ. The last Governor Sir James Sadler said publicly a

year ago that the matter was being investigated but that he would not ask the Masai to move against their will.

This year was the time for the ceremonies of the Northern Masai on the traditional Site. They had actually begun when the District Commissioner in charge of the Northern Reserve was told to stop them and to go to see the Governor, Sir Percy Fitzuard, in Nairobi. I saw him when he came back. I learn from him what it is practically settled to move the Northern Masai. The Governor says that Lenana, ~~the paramount chief~~, was asked them to do. Lenana, the paramount chief, lives in the Southern Reserve. He is paid a salary and is a Government agent. He has never had real authority over the Masai in the Northern Reserve. He would like to have. This stopping of the ceremony is at his wish. Without Government support he could never have done it. The real source of authority in the tribe is the elders who are the depositaries of ~~custom~~ the custom which is law, and Lenana in the old days would never have dreamed of trying to stop the ceremonies on the traditional Site. (Lenana is an example of the dangerous practice of making native affairs into Governmental ones and still pretending that they represent the people.) The item will probably still go on, that is still unsettled, but unless something unexpected happens, the Masai will have to move. The other natives who live in the Northern Reserve, add the people themselves, do not want to go. The Governor said the only person with a right to an opinion is Lenana. The District Commissioner told me that the Governor asked him if the Northern Masai would fight rather than move. The answer was, No. That proves the Governor knows they don't want to go. The Masai say they won't move for Lenana but that if the Government tell them they know they will have to go.

The importance of the question is not the possible harm to the Masai. I may be wrong (though I am sure I am not) in thinking that there is not enough grass and water for these people in the South. The a

tion is that the Government is going to break its word. A year ago Winston Churchill came and made speeches to Natives that the reserves were theirs for ever. Now we are going to break a covenant the only written treaty ever made in this country with a native tribe. If the Masai knew enough they would appeal to Courts and law. Because they don't know enough for that and know too much to fight we are going to wrong them.

It isn't easy now to persuade natives that we are here for their benefit and that the British Government wishes to help them. If this ~~process~~^{scheme} to move the Basal succeeds it will be proof to every intelligent native that the profit of the European immigrant is the Government's main object. So to break our word is more than criminal. It is madness. I am trying to stick to bald facts so I must pull up.

What can be done? Most of the rank and file of the officials in the Province feel as I do, though few of course are inclined to "make the ~~suppose~~^{way} a few of us resign and go home and agitate and be called ~~bad~~^{useless} and get the support of Mr Redmond and Mr. Keir Hardie and many ~~other~~^{old} and unimportant persons. It is just possible that the result be good. But even supposing the best result there is no advantage letting the Government get so far as to find itself found out in most ~~and~~ dishonesty.

Can nothing be done now? The one thing Colonial Governments know well-informed questions in the Commons. The answers, carefully ~~worded~~^{phrased} for home consumption, are not always candid. But I don't believe one would dare give untruthful answers to such questions as "do signatories of the Basal treaty agree to the move?", or "do the people themselves wish to go?". Also, it wouldn't be a bad idea to ask for report by Messrs. Fawcett and Hollis on the grazing capacity of the proposed new pasture.

Another plan is to get somebody to go the Colonial Office. I believe in the honesty of the people there. But they are badly informed - they must be to allow what they do. I often wish for one hour with the man in charge of the South African Protectorates. Not that I know them or as much as many others.

I would rather the thing did not get into the Radical or Soc. I don't want to see my grievance keeping company with the numerous still unredressed grievances, both real and bogus, that have been listed in these papers for many years. Besides, in the event of the stance of this letter becoming public the District Commissioner referred to would get into trouble. He has no idea I am writing.

You can depend on the accuracy of my account. Act with regard to it entirely on your own discretion. Use my name if you like, if it makes the difference of success. I don't see how it can. And it would probably mean my dismissal.

I know this will trouble you. I fear it may trouble you more than I would like. ~~For which I apologize~~

A very curious situation has arisen owing to the separation of the Masai into 2 reserves. Stewart promised them a road half a mile wide between the two reserves, but long before my arrival here this promise had been broken and the land given away for settlement. This year a circumcision ceremony of the Masai had to take place - as you know, in this country, every 4 years - at Kinangkop. The ceremony is perhaps the most important function that the tribe ever has and is surrounded by rights peculiarly their own. It is the duty of the chiefs who wish to carry it out



BRITISH EAST AFRICA

(7)

GOVERNMENT HOUSE.

BRITISH EAST AFRICA

S.3.10.

together again:

The whole matter has really been a demand from the chiefs themselves who are influenced in no way by anyone. The combination of the 2 sections will not only make the question of dealing with the Masai a great deal simpler but will also remove a great many difficulties which are beginning to occur in the North as between the Northern section and the white population. All the white settlers on the Uasin Nyiro have agreed to give up their farms, and the Masai will thus have a continuous reserve extending from Kui to the borders of the Sotik country. The matter has come about rather quickly, and is almost entirely due to the action of Lenana himself. When he found that the circumcision ceremonies were not going on to his satisfaction, he ordered the whole of his Northern people who were carrying them out to come down to his own kraal with their cattle. This they did without any demur, and 10,000 cattle which had come as far South as Kinangkop have crossed the railway into the Southern Masai reserve. If I had not supported Lenana's authority the consequences might have been very awkward. The solution on the whole is a very satisfactory one indeed, and is I think approved by all sections of the population.

Yours sincerely,

Ernest Gomberg

4th August 1910
B.3.10.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
NAIROBI.

BRITISH EAST AFRICA.

March 7th 1910.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

No. 125.

My Lord,

PRIVATE MILITARY
Col 5384 Jan 1910

26 22 10,

3075

I have the honour to report with reference to
~~paragraph 22~~ of my despatch No. 698 of December 8th 1908
on the proposed movement of the Masai from the present
~~territory~~ Reserve.

4. Action in regard to this project, which has now for
some time been under consideration, has been suspended
by circumstances lying outside administrative control.
5. The occurrence of the ~~circumcis~~ or circumcision
ceremonies, a tribal rite of the highest importance taking
place every four years, has forced my hand in this matter
as it has incidentally shown the great disadvantages
arising from the division of the tribe, which was made
in 1904, and has particularly accentuated the difficulties
~~experienced~~

THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR

THE COLONIES, E.G.

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

WHITEHORN STREET, LONDON, S.C.

132

led by Lemana, the Paramount Chief, in dealing with the people of his tribe.

These ceremonies require the active participation of Lemana himself and involve the movements of large herds of cattle between the two portions of the Reserve, with some difficulty owing to the necessity for passing over white lands it was arranged for 10,000 head to travel with the Moran, or warriors of the tribe, from Indipin to Kinongop where the ceremonies have usually taken place in the past. The principal Chiefs of the Northern section - Masikondi and Legallamu - did not accompany their people to the ceremony which was in charge of some of the less important sub-chiefs of the tribe. Through some misunderstanding of the native custom in the matter the ceremonies were begun prematurely and without the necessary medicines, &c. - always supplied by the Paramount Chief. The latter therefore sent his symbol of office long existent in the tribe as a summons to the sub-chiefs to meet at his kraal at Ngongo Bagan - at the same time appealing to me through the District Commissioner and pointing out that unless his authority was recognized and his instructions obeyed he feared a disruption before

cattle over white farms was concerned. It was fortunately unnecessary for the Government to intervene actively in support of this attitude on Lenana's part, but, if the Chiefs had shown any desire to disobey his instructions we should have had to do so otherwise Lenana's prestige amongst his people would have been irretrievably lost and our difficulties in dealing with the tribe increased a thousand-fold.

5. I was however reluctant to ignore the wishes of the two Senior Chiefs of the Northern Reserve - it was as I said only the younger men who accompanied the cattle in the first instance - and requested Lenana to send for Masikondi and Legalishu in order that I might hear their views. They came accompanied by the District Commissioner Mr. Collyer, who has been in charge of the Laikipia Reserve almost since it was constituted, and a conference took place at Lenana's Kraal on the Miserian River, at which were present myself, Lord Delamore, an old friend of the tribe, Mr. McCullough, District Commissioner of the Southern Reserve,

6. The result was a strikingly unanimous decision in

favour of concentration in the Southern Reserve. The proposal was indeed received not so much with acquiescence as with enthusiasm, a result highly satisfactory to me, as I had anticipated some reluctance on the part of the Northern Chiefs. No such feeling was however exhibited and I can confidently assure Your Lordship that the contemplated move has met with the unanimous approval of the leading men of the tribe.

7. I need scarcely say that the various considerations involved had been most carefully weighed previous to this. The area which the Masai will now occupy has been examined and found to be suitable provided that certain works are carried out for conserving the water supply. It is in connection with these that the sum of £2,000 has been inserted in the estimates for 1910-11 and I trust that the Treasury objections to this most urgent and necessary expenditure may be met and overcome.

8. The territory now assigned to the tribe is equal in size to that which they will relinquish on Laikipia. It marches with the present Southern Reserve and it does not lie in the heart of the White Settlement Area as Laikipia does. The position of the latter would have almost certainly

certainly involved us in difficulties sooner or later whereas the area, which the Massai will now occupy, is so situated as to avoid much chance of friction with settlers. The few who at present occupy farms in the region to be handed over are quite prepared to accept compensation and I anticipate no difficulty on this score.

9. I shall address Your Lordship further on this subject later, but I trust that the procedure so far adopted will meet with approval. Though it is in accordance with principles which have been regarded with favour for some time, I admit that owing to the circumstances described above events have marched recently with greater rapidity than had been anticipated. I have been actuated in supporting the Paramount Chief solely by the interests of the tribe and I explained to them that had there been any reluctance or opposition on their part Government could not have approved of Lenana's proposals. The decision has come rather more quickly than anticipated but this is a fortunate circumstance for it is not often that such decisions are reached entirely by the aid of the native authorities.

127

authorities themselves. It will solve many difficult questions and notably that of making the White Settlement more co-extensive. I may say at once that although the question of throwing open the present Northern Maori Reserve to White Settlement has been before the Government for some years I was in no way actuated in the present negotiations or decision by any consideration of this kind.

I have the honour to be,

Your Lordship's humble,

obedient servant,

(In the absence of the Governor).

049/9075. S.?

26/4/38

PRINTED FOR PARLIAMENT
Cd 5584 June 1938

Sent 3.45pm

W.R.

19/4/10

DRAFT Telegram

Girard

Amiens

19 April

Nairobi

number

MINUTE

Mr. Parkinson 18/4

Mr. Muller 19/4

Mr. Fiddes 19/4

Mr. Just.

Mr. Cox.

Sir C. Lucas.

Sir P. Hopwood.

Cdr. Seely.

Lord Crewe.

With ref to your desch of 7 March

Mr. Muller 19/4 Welkin w/ positive instructions
123 suspend action tell

further instructions est.

Follows by mail

Crewe

Return before

to W. Butler
as soon as Tel.
has gone

D.V/9075/1910.

East Africa Protectorate.

PROTECTORATE OF
COLONIAL OFFICE
CABINET MINISTER

22 April 1910.

DRAFT.EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATECONFIDENTIAL

Downing Street,

22 April, 1910.

Governor

Sir Percy Girouard, K.C.M.G., C.S.O., P.E.

MINUTE

Mr. [redacted] Sir,

Mr. Butler, April 21.

I have the honour to acknowledge the

Mr. Fiddes. 24

receipt of your despatch No. 123 of the 7th of

Mr. Tuck.

March relating to the proposed movement of the

Mr. Cox.

Sir C. Lucas.

X Sir F. Hopwood. 26. 4. 10. Masai from the present Northern Reserve.

Col. Seely. 21. 4. 10.

Lord Crewe. 22. 4. 10.

2. The question is, as you are aware,

one of the gravest importance, and great circumspection is required in dealing with it.

It is not, on the other hand, a matter of

lessing importance that the decision on your proposal should be arrived at immediately. There

are certain points on which I feel it necessary to ask for further information before

arrangement, and we bind ourselves and our successors, as well as our people, to observe them. We would, however, ask that the settlement now arrived at shall be enduring so long as the Masai as a race shall exist, and that European or other settlers shall not be allowed to take up land in the Settlements".

4. Sir D. Stewart, in forwarding the agreement, which he signed conditionally on the approval of the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, observed - "The Laikipia lands are well known to the Masai and will suit them well: they are a good long way from the railway and not tempting to the present settler, though in the future it is quite possible that when the Masai have grazed down the grass and got it sweet, envious eyes will again be cast on their lands, and so I cannot express too strongly to your Lordship the absolute necessity of making these Laikipia lands an absolute native reserve for the

13
Masai".

DRAFT.

Protectorate as it has been in other British possessions. The definite acceptance of the policy of native reserves implied of course an absolute guarantee that the natives will, so long as they desire it, remain in undisturbed and exclusive possession of the areas set aside for their use".

*and we now demand
that*

6. Nothing can be more solemn and binding in form than the agreement. I feel that I could not possibly agree to ^{cancel} upset it without the clearest proof that this is in accordance with the wishes of the Masai, and especially of the Northern section. The only proper method of procedure ~~may be uncertain this would, in my opinion, be~~ to abrogate the agreement of 1904 by means of a document of equal formality procured by calling together a similar body of Chiefs, explaining the matter to them carefully and ~~(if they are then willing to give their)~~, getting their signatures to an agreement similarly attested by the Interpreter and by the leading

~~and said~~ that some two years ago Sir H. Johnston publicly advocated moving the Northern Masai, and that a local agitation was begun with this object. In this connection I note that you state in the last paragraph of your despatch that the question of throwing open the present Northern Masai Reserve to White settlement has been before the Government for some ^{You may also be aware} years, ~~and~~ that in 1907 and 1908 questions were asked in the House of Commons which indicated uneasiness in the minds of Members of Parliament in this regard. A further statement has been made that there is not enough grass and water in the Southern district for the flocks and herds of the Northern section.

8. These statements are of course in complete contradiction with the statements made in your despatch, and I am therefore unable to regard them as having any foundation.

Nevertheless it is necessary, if only in your

interest

interest as the Governor of the Protectorate,
that I should be in a position to answer
them fully. I should therefore be glad to
be informed whether you have received any
~~for~~
communication from the Secretary of Native
Affairs or from any other officer concerned
which would suggest that the Masai, or the
Northern section of them, are, or are not, in
favour of the removal. If so I have to
request that you will furnish me with copies
of such communications.

I have also to enquire whether any
report has been made on the grazing capacity
of the district proposed for the new reserve,
and if so, that I may be furnished with a
copy of it.

Believe me,

(Sgd) G. E. C.

9. I well of course understand that
no further steps should be taken in
the matter until I have been able
to consider your reply to this despatch
and to communicate with you further
on the subject. I have no