

North to that he wason of heat of the Tentino affects the order of henored but in can been the MA 17/0/10 diproj

AFRICA PHOTECTORATE

NAIROBI.

BRITISH EAST AFRICA

July 11th, 1917.

Sir.

1942

I have the honour to refer to my despatch No.772 of the 5th of December, 1916, requesting that you would be pleased to order the removal of George William Gordon Coombes to the United Kingdom under the Colonial Prisoners Removal Act, 1884. Since the issue of the order of removal enclosed in your despatch No. 127 of the 5th February the prisoner has petitioned me to exercise clemency in respect of his second sentence of two years rigorous imprisonment which was imposed on the 25th day of October, 1916. On inquiry lato the facts it appears that the prisoner was sentenced to two years migorous imprisonment on the 1st of September, 1916, by Mr. Pickering, sitting as an Acting Judge of the High Tourt for an offence purishable under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code. Some seven weeks

THE LIGHT HAVINGABLE

WALTER WING, P.C., M.P.

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNTHU STREET,

LONDON, S. W.

after the date of that conviction i.e. on the 25th October, 1918, the prisoner was tried for a similar offence and sentenced to a similar term of two years rigorous imprisonment by Mr. Justice Ehrhardt. The Judge, however, was apparently unaware of or forgot the provisions of Section 315 the griminal Procedure ace, 191 No. 6 of al914 ), and ordered that the sent. should run from the date of the conviction thus, in fact giving the prisoner an additional sentence of about seven weeks for the second Mience. The terms of the above Section w te subsequently brought to the notice of the J. age and he then altered his judgment to may it agree with the law, that is to say, he ordered the sentence of two years rigorous imprisonment to run consecutively with that of the 1st set tember, 1916. case of another convict, Honry William Lovesay, in which the same Judge made a similar effor and a similar subsequent amendment the Court of Appeal decided that the Judge had no power to vary a judgment once given. A judgment was interpreted by the court as including the order dealing with the date from which the sentence was to run. The sentence in this case was accordingly reduced by the Court to expire on the date on which it would have expired if the Judge's original order had held good. Having in view the judgment of the Court of Appeal in the case of Love ay and the fact that the Judge in determining the sentence

passed in October, 1918, on Coombes took the

- 2. In considering the errors of procedure made by Mr. Justice Ehrhardt it must be remembered that he was at the time in a very bad state of health and hardly able to perform his duties on the Bench.
- Cowing of the difficulty of arranging for escores and pressures Coombes has not yet been removed under your order of the 3rd.

  February, 1917, but an agreement has now been reached with the Military Authorities in respect of his ansport and it is hoped that he will shortly leave. In these circumstances I have deemed it desirable to act on your order of the 3rd of February rather than to wait for the issue of a fresh order and to send with the convict particulars of the remission of his sentence; vide the Colonial Prisoners Removal Act, 1884, Section 8 (1).

I have the honour to be,

Your humble, obedient servant,

No. No. 12 NEWS.

\$000

judgment.

Los wassing toak Se DRAFT.
The U.S. A Home Office ~22 (megar 791) lettref & une lette 7 Kg Mr. Portante, 20/8/17 atol 26 1 Jan last, no 324, 20 an je to ho to rom for Mr. Grindle, Mr. Lambert. the wife of See La Gloave Mr. Read. Sir G. Fiddes. a copy I a desp. for the Sir A. Steel Mailland. Out of the bat reporting milion 11 guly the intended removal to this country of convict Scoole William Tordon Coombes (De ad nothing the ERT)