

## Maximal Rank for $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}$

Damian M. Maingi

The School of Mathematics  
University of Nairobi  
P.O. Box 30197 00100 Nairobi, Kenya  
dmaingi@uonbi.ac.ke

&

Laboratoire J A Dieudonné  
Université de Nice–Sophia Antipolis  
06108 Nice Cedex 02 France  
dmaingi@unice.fr

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  an algebraically closed field and  $R$  the homogeneous coordinate ring of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and  $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}$  the cotangent bundle of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . In this paper I prove that for a given set  $S$  of  $s$  general points in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  then the evaluation map  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(l)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(l)|_{P_i}$  is of maximal rank. Implying that  $a_0 = 0$  or  $b_0 = 0$  so that  $a_0 b_0 = 0$  as conjectured by Anna Lorenzini [4, 5] see below

$$\cdots \longrightarrow R(-d-2)^{b_1} \oplus R(-d-1)^{a_0} \longrightarrow R(-d-1)^{b_0} \oplus R(-d)^{\binom{d+n}{n}-s} \longrightarrow I_S \longrightarrow 0$$

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 13D02, 16E05

**Keywords:** Elementary Transformations, Cotangent Vector Bundle

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a general set of points  $\{P_1, \dots, P_s\} \in \mathbf{P}^n$ , with  $s \geq n+1$ , then the homogeneous ideal of the sub-scheme of the union of these points,  $I_S \subset R = \mathbf{k}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $\mathbf{k}$  an algebraically closed field and  $R$  the homogeneous coordinate ring of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ , has the following expected form:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_p \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow I_S \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$F_p = R(-d - p)^{a_{p-1}} \oplus R(-d - p - 1)^{b_p},$$

$d$  being the smallest integer satisfying  $s \leq h^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d))$ , with

$$a_p = \max\{0, h^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}^{p+1}(d + p + 1)) - \text{rk}(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}^{p+1})_s\},$$

$$b_p = \max\{0, \text{rk}(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}^{p+1})_s - h^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}^{p+1}(d + p + 1))\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\binom{d + n - 1}{n} < s \leq \binom{d + n}{n}.$$

The problem can be reduced to showing the following; for all  $0 \leq p \leq n - 1$  and non-negative integer  $l$  then existence of the above resolution is the same as saying the evaluation map below is of maximal rank i.e. it is surjective or injective or both; see [1].

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}^{p+1}(l)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}^{p+1}(l)|_{P_i}.$$

For this consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1) \longrightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

Here,  $W = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1))$ , the set of linear forms and  $\mathbf{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] = \text{Sym}(W)$

Tensoring the sequence above with  $T_S(d)$  gives

$$0 \longrightarrow T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d + 1) \longrightarrow W \otimes T_S(d) \longrightarrow T_S(d + 1) \longrightarrow 0$$

Now taking global sections we get;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d + 1)) & \longrightarrow & W \otimes I_d & \longrightarrow & I_{d+1} \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & H^1(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d + 1)) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

Thus  $H^1(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d + 1)) = I_{d+1}/W \cdot I_d$ , corresponds to the minimal generators of  $I_S$  of degree  $d + 1$ , and its dimension is  $b_0$  i.e.  $h^1(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d + 1)) = b_0$ .

Similarly,  $H^0(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1))$  is the space of linear relations among the generators of degree  $d$ , whose dimension is  $a_0$  i.e.  $h^0(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) = a_0$ .

Now consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow 0$$

Tensoring it by  $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)$  gives;

$$0 \longrightarrow T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)|_S \longrightarrow 0$$

and now taking global sections yields

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)|_S) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & H^1(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

We will prove that  $\mu$  is of maximal rank for a general set  $S$  of  $s$  points in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ .

As result, if  $\mu$  is injective then its kernel is null i.e.  $a_0 = h^0(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) = 0$  and the cokernel is not null that is  $b_0 = h^1(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1))$  as expected. On other hand, if  $\mu$  is surjective then we have the cokernel of  $\mu$  being null i.e.  $b_0 = h^1(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) = 0$  and the kernel of  $\mu$  is not null that is,  $a_0 = h^0(T_S \otimes \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1))$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We use the statements (the so called *Enonces*) as in [1] by Hirschowitz and Simpson which F Lauze used in [2] to proof maximal rank for  $T_{\mathbf{P}^n}$ .

Let  $X$  a smooth projective variety and  $X'$  non-singular divisor of  $X$ . Let  $F$  be a locally free sheaf on  $X$  and

$$0 \longrightarrow F'' \longrightarrow F|_{X'} \longrightarrow F' \longrightarrow 0$$

be a exact sequence of locally free sheaves on  $X'$ . The kernel  $E$  of  $F \longrightarrow F'$  is a locally free sheaf on  $X$  and we have another exact sequence of locally free sheaves on  $X'$

$$0 \longrightarrow F'(-X') \longrightarrow E|_{X'} \longrightarrow F'' \longrightarrow 0$$

and as well exact sequences of coherent sheaves on  $X$

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F' \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow F(-X) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F'' \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have the following hypotheses:

- $\mathbf{R}(F, F', y; a, b, c)$
- $\mathbf{RD}(F, F', y; a, b, c)$
- $\mathbf{RD}(E, F'', y'; a', b', c')$

2.1. **Notation.** Set  $X = \mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $X' = \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ ,  $F = \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}$ ,  $F' = \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}$ ,  $E = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}^{\oplus n}(-2)$ ,  $F'' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(-1)$ .

The exact sequences of the elementary transformations after twisting by  $d + 1$  are:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d) & \equiv & \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d) & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n|_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}}(d+1) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

From which we have the hypotheses:

- $\mathbf{H}'_{\Omega,n}(d+1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mathbf{H}(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1), \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1), \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  and
- $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-1; \rho, \sigma, \tau) = \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d); \rho, \sigma, \tau)$  and
- $\mathbf{H}''_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-1; \rho, \sigma, \tau) = \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d); \rho, \sigma, \tau)$ .

For the plane divisorial, with  $H \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n$  a hyperplane isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  we shall utilize the sequence;

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-2)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(d-1)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow 0..$$

**Hypothesis 2.1.**  $\mathbf{H}'_{\Omega,n}(d+1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$

The hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}'_{\Omega,n}(d+1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  asserts that for non-negative integers  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\varepsilon$  satisfying the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \text{ and } 1 \leq \varepsilon \leq n - 2, \\
 &n\alpha + n - 1\beta + \varepsilon\gamma = h^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)), \text{ and}
 \end{aligned}$$

$(n - 1)\beta + \varepsilon\gamma \leq h^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d + 1))$  having for  $\gamma = 1$  a quotient  $\Gamma'$  then the map

$$\eta : H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d + 1)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d + 1)|_{A_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d + 1)|_{B_j} \oplus \Gamma'_C$$

is bijective with  $h^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d + 1)) = d \binom{d+n}{d+1}$  and for  $\alpha$  general points  $A_1 \dots A_{\alpha} \in \mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $\beta + 1$  general points  $B_1 \dots B_{\beta}, C \in \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ .

**Hypothesis 2.2.**  $\mathbf{H}_{\Omega,n}(d + 1)$

The hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_{\Omega,n}(d + 1)$  asserts that  $\mathbf{H}'_{\Omega,n}(d + 1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is true for all  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  satisfying the conditions above.

**Hypothesis 2.3.**  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O},n}(d - 1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$

The hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O},n}(d - 1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$  asserts that for non-negative integers  $\rho, \sigma, \tau$  and  $\theta$  satisfying the conditions:

$$0 \leq \tau \leq 1 \text{ and } 2 \leq \theta \leq n - 1,$$

$$n\rho + \sigma + \theta\tau = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d - 1)^{\oplus n}), \text{ and}$$

$\sigma + \theta\tau \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d))$  having for  $\tau = 1$  a quotient  $\Gamma$  then the map

$$\phi : H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d - 1)^{\oplus n}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\rho} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d - 1)^{\oplus n}|_{R_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\sigma} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d)|_{S_j} \oplus \Gamma(S)|_T$$

is bijective with  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d - 1)^{\oplus n}) = n \binom{d+n-1}{d-1}$  and for  $\rho$  general points  $R_1 \dots R_{\rho} \in \mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $\sigma + 1$  general points  $S_1 \dots S_{\sigma}, T \in \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ .

**Hypothesis 2.4.**  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O},n}(d - 1)$

The hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O},n}(d - 1)$  asserts that  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O},n}(d - 1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$  is true for any  $\rho, \sigma$ , and  $\tau$  satisfying the conditions above.

**Hypothesis 2.5.**  $\mathbf{H}''_{\mathcal{O},n}(d - 1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$

A variant version of the hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O},n}(d - 1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$  with  $\Gamma$  independent of  $\Gamma'$  takes the form  $\mathbf{H}''_{\mathcal{O},n}(d - 1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$  and it makes the same assertion as the hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O},n}(d - 1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$  the only difference being quotient dependency.

### 3. THE METHODS OF HORACE

Méthode d'Horace simple[3] lemme 1

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose we have a bijective morphism of vector spaces  $\gamma : H^0(X', F') \longrightarrow L$  and that we have  $H^1(X, E) = 0$ . Let  $\mu : H^0(X, F) \longrightarrow L$  be a morphism of vector spaces. Then for  $H^0(X, F) \longrightarrow M \oplus L$  to be of maximal rank it suffices that  $H^0(X, E) \longrightarrow M$  is of maximal rank.

**Differential méthode d’Horace**([1] lemme 1)

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose we are given a surjective morphism of vector spaces,  $\lambda : H^0(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1)) \longrightarrow L$  and suppose there exists a point  $Z' \in \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  such that  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1)) \hookrightarrow L \oplus \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1)|_{Z'}$  and suppose  $H^1(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n}) = 0$ . Then there exists a quotient  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)|_{Z'}^{\oplus n} \twoheadrightarrow D(\lambda)$  with kernel contained in  $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d)|_{Z'}$  of dimension  $\dim(D(\lambda)) = \text{rk}(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) - \dim(\ker \lambda)$  having the following property. Let  $\mu : H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) \longrightarrow M$  be a morphism of vector spaces then there exists  $Z \in \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  such that if  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n}) \twoheadrightarrow M \oplus D(\lambda)$  is of maximal rank then  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) \twoheadrightarrow M \oplus L \oplus \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)|_Z$  is also of maximal rank.*

The sequences for the quotient are as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \dim n - 1 & \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d)|_Z & \longrightarrow & D'_Z & \dim n - 3 \ (n - 2) \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \dim n & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)|_Z^{\oplus n} & \twoheadrightarrow & D|_Z \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}|_Z \oplus D'_Z & \dim n - 2 \ (n - 1) \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \dim 1 & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d)|_Z & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(d)|_Z & \dim 1 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & 0 & & 0 & 
 \end{array}$$

**3.1. The Vectorial Methods.**

**Lemma 3.3.** *Vectorial Method 1*

Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, d$  and  $\varepsilon$  be non-negative integers satisfying the conditions of Hypothesis 2.1 and  $\rho, \sigma, \tau$  and  $\theta$  non-negative integers satisfying the conditions of Hypothesis 2.3 then the Hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$  implies  $\mathbf{H}'_{\Omega,n}(d+1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence;

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1) \longrightarrow 0$$

and let  $B$  and  $C$  be general subsets of  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ . We specialize  $A$  to  $R \cup S \cup T$  with  $R$  a general set of  $\rho$  points in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and  $S$  and  $T$  sets of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  general points in  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ . To run points to  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ , consider the map,  $\gamma : H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1)) \longrightarrow H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1)|_B) \oplus \Gamma'_C$ , if

the number of points we have satisfy  $h^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1))$  then  $\gamma$  is bijective, if not then we specialize as many more points as we need to  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  in order for  $\gamma$  to become bijective.

Taking global sections for the exact sequence above and evaluating we construct;

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & & 0 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1)) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\gamma} & H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1)_{\{B \cup S\}}) \oplus \Gamma'_C \oplus \Gamma_T \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)_{|R \cup S \cup T=A}) \oplus H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1)_{|B}) \oplus \Gamma'_C \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)_{|R}^{\oplus n}) \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d)_{|S}) \oplus \Gamma_T \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

From the above diagram of exact sequences, by Inductive hypothesis on  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  and Lemma 3.2 the map  $\gamma$  is bijective and hence if  $\alpha$  is bijective then  $\beta$  is bijective as well and this gives  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}}(d-1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$  implies  $\mathbf{H}'_{\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}}(d+1; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$   $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Vectorial Method 2*

Let  $\rho, \sigma, \tau$  and  $\theta$  non-negative integers satisfying the conditions of Hypothesis 2.3 and  $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}$  and  $\bar{\varepsilon}$  be non-negative integers satisfying conditions similar to those of Hypothesis 2.1 with the Hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}'_{\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}}(d; \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma})$  being the same as Hypothesis 2.1 but twisted by 1, then the Hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}'_{\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}}(d; \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma})$  implies  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}}(d-1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence;

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d) \longrightarrow 0$$

and let  $S$  and  $T$  general sets of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  points in  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ , specialize  $R$  to  $A \cup B$ , where  $A$  is a general set of  $\bar{\alpha}$  points in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and  $B$  is a general set of  $\bar{\beta}$  points in  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  with  $C = T$ .

Now consider the evaluation map,  $\gamma : H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d)_{|S \cup T})$ , if the number of points we have are enough to satisfy  $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d))$  then  $\bar{\gamma}$  bijective, if not then we specialize as many more points,  $\bar{\beta}$ , in this case, to  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  in order for  $\bar{\gamma}$  to become bijective.

Taking global sections for the exact sequence above and evaluating at corresponding points we construct a diagram of exact sequences as follows;

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & & 0 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d)) & \xrightarrow{\quad \bar{\gamma} \quad} & H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d)|_{\{S \cup T \cup B\}}) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)|_R^{\oplus n}) \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d)|_S) \oplus \Gamma_T \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)|_A) \oplus H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d+1)|_B) \oplus \bar{\Gamma}_C \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

The map  $\bar{\gamma}$  is bijective giving the Hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}'_{\Omega,n}(d; \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma})$  implies  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$ . When the number of points we have in  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  are few relative to  $d$  we use the plane divisorial method in preference to this method.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Plane Divisorial*

Let  $\rho, \sigma, \tau$  and  $\theta$  non-negative integers satisfying the conditions of Hypothesis 2.3 and set  $\rho' = \rho - h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d-1))$ . If  $\rho' \geq 0$  and  $\sigma + \tau \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d-1))$  then the Hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-2; \rho', \sigma, \tau)$  implies  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a general set of  $\rho$  points in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $S$  and  $T$  be general sets of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  points in  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  such that they are few relative to  $d$  (i.e. when Vectorial Method 2 fails). We choose a hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  disjoint from  $S$  and  $T$  with  $H \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  and specialize  $\rho'$  points from  $\mathbf{P}^n$  to  $H$  (i.e.  $R'$  is the set we have after specializing from  $R$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ) so that  $H^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(d-1)^{\oplus n}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_H(d-1)|_{R'}^{\oplus n})$  is bijective that is set  $\rho - \rho' = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d-1))$  and so taking global sections for the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-2)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(d-1)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow 0$$



we construct a diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & & 0 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H^0(H, \mathcal{O}_H(d-1)^{\oplus n}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\alpha} & H^0(\mathcal{O}_H(d-1)|_{R'})^{\oplus n} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n}) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)|_R)^{\oplus n} \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d)|_S) \oplus \Gamma|_T \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-2)^{\oplus n}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-2)|_{R \setminus R'})^{\oplus n} \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d-1)|_S) \oplus \Gamma|_T \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Since  $\alpha$  is bijective then  $\gamma$  bijective implies  $\beta$  is also bijective and this gives the Hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-2; \rho', \sigma, \tau)$  implies  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-1; \rho, \sigma, \tau)$ . □

### 3.2. Hypercritical méthode d’Horace.

**Lemma 3.6.** Consider  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-1; s_1, s_2, 0)$  with  $d \geq 1, s_1$ , and  $s_2$  being non-negative integers that satisfy:  $ns_1 + s_2 = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n})$  and  $s_2 \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d))$ . Now suppose that the  $H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)) \rightarrow H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)|_{S_1})$  is injective and  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n}|_{S_1})$  is surjective with a general  $S_1 \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n$  then the Hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-1; s_1, s_2, 0)$  is true.

This Lemma is for when we have no quotient.

*Proof.* See [6] Lemma 1.11. □

**Lemma 3.7.** Consider  $\mathbf{H}'_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-1; s_1, s_2, 1)$  where  $d \geq 1, s_1, s_2$  and  $2 \leq \theta \leq n-1$  are non-negative integers such that,  $ns_1 + s_2 + \theta = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n})$  and  $s_2 + \theta \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}(d))$ . Under the same Hypotheses as Lemma 2.1 i.e.  $H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)) \rightarrow H^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d)|_{S_1})$  is injective and  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d-1)^{\oplus n}|_{S_1})$  is surjective then the Hypothesis  $\mathbf{H}''_{\mathcal{O},n}(d-1; s_1, s_2, 1)$  is true.

*Proof.* See [6] Lemma 1.12. □

### 3.3. The Main Theorem.

**Theorem 3.8.** Suppose  $\mathbf{H}_{\Omega,n}(d+1)$  is true. Then for any non-negative integer  $m$ , there exists a set,  $M = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m\}$  of  $m$  points in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  such that the evaluation map,  $\mu$ , is of maximal rank.

$$\mu : H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)|_{P_i}$$

*Proof.* (a) If  $h^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  then  $r$  is the critical number of points needed for bijectivity i.e. the map  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n|P_i}$  is bijective. Set  $\pi = [\frac{1}{n}h^0(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1))]$

we now have the following cases:

(i) if  $m = r$  then our map is bijective since we have the same number of points as the critical number i.e. the map  $\alpha$  is bijective and  $\gamma$  an identity map and so  $\mu$  is bijective see below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d+1)) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n|P_i} \\ & \searrow \alpha & \uparrow \gamma \\ & & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n|P_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=n+1}^r \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n|P_i} \end{array}$$

(ii) if  $m > r$  i.e. we have more points than the critical number and our map is injective i.e. since  $\alpha$  is bijective and  $\gamma$  surjective then our map  $\mu$  has to inject see below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}) & \xrightarrow[\alpha]{\cong} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n|P_i} \\ & \searrow \mu & \uparrow \gamma \\ & & \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^4|P_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=r+1}^m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^4|P_i} \end{array}$$

(iii) if  $m < r$  then we have the less points than the critical number thus our map surjects i.e. since  $\alpha$  is bijective and  $\gamma$  surjective then our map  $\mu$  is surjective.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A Hirschowitz and C Simpson: *La résolution minimale de l'idéal d'un arrangement général d'un grand nombre de points dans  $\mathbf{P}^n$* . Invent. Math. **126**, 467–503 (1996).
- [2] F Lauze: *Rang maximal pour  $T_{\mathbf{P}^n}$* . Manuscripta Math. **92**, 525–543 (1997).
- [3] F Lauze: *Sur la résolution des arrangements génériques de points dans les espaces projectifs* Thèse, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, Septembre 1994.
- [4] A Lorenzini: *The Minimal Resolution Conjecture*. J Algebra **156**, 5–35 (1993).
- [5] A Lorenzini: *On the Betti numbers of points in projective space*. Ph.D. thesis. Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1987. J Algebra **156**, 5–35 (1993).
- [6] D M Maingi: *On the Application of the Method of Horace to get the number of generators for an ideal of  $s$  general points in  $\mathbf{P}^4$*  International Journal of Algebra, Vol. 4, **10**, 477 – 500 (2010), to appear.

**Received: September, 2010**