"PARTIAL CHARCTERIZATION OF THE ANTIGENS OF <u>CVSTICERCUS BOVIS</u>"

ERNEST ISAACK PETER KAMANGA-SOLLO, B.Y.M.

THE DEGREE OF MAY BE PLACED IN THE INUVERSITY LIBRARY

A thesis submitted in part fulfilment for the Degree of Master of Science in the University

of Nairobi.

UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI

Department of Public Health, Pharmacology and Toxicology. 1.1

DEDICATED TO MY PARENTS AND ALL MY FRIENDS

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(v)
ACKNOWL	EDGEMENTS	(ix)
LIST OF	TABLES	(xi)
LIST OF	FIGURES	(xiii)
LIST OF	APPENDICES	(xvi)
1. INT.	RODUCTION	1
2. REV	IEW OF LITERATURE	7
2.1 Imm	unity to cestode infections	7
2.2 Som	e considerations on the antigene	
1150	in immunodiagnosis	
of	are infections	10
0.2 1	padiamentia procedures	14
21.0,1100	induagnostic procedures	
app.	lied in cestode infections	14
3. MATI	ERIALS AND METHODS.	
Δ:	Antigens	26
В:	Antisera	34
C :	Analysis of the antigens	44
D:	Isolation of the antigen(s) used	
	in solid-phase radioimmunoassay	
	(RIA)	47
<b>F</b> :	Analysis and characterization of	
	the antigen(s) eluted	50
F:	Detection of antibody response to	
	Antigen 11 in cattle experimentally	
	infected with C. bovis	53

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

4.	RESULTS	56
	4.1. Analysis of <u>C. bovis</u> antigens for	
	the presence of host components	56
	4.2. Characterization of various	
	antisera and antigens	59
	4.3. Establishment of a reference	
	system for antigenic constituents	
	of <u>C. bovis</u> using crossed immuno-	
	electrophoresis	104
	4.4. Characterisation of Antigen 11	110
	4.5. The antibody response to	
	Antigen 11 in animals infected	
	with <u>C. bovis</u>	113
5.	DISCUSSION	116
6.	CONCLUSION	128
7.	REFERENCES	129
8.	APPENDICES	163

(iv)

PAGE

# SUMMARY

#### SUMMARY

Although the modes of transmission of <u>Cysticercus bovis</u> to cattle and <u>Taenia saginata</u> to man have been known for a number of years, bovine cysticercosis and human taeniasis still exist as a world-wide problem.

The magnitude of the economical and nutritional losses caused by bovine cysticercosis is unknown but can be estimated to be considerable, especially in highly endemic areas.

Many factors may account for the lack of effective control measures, one of them being the lack of a reliable antemortem diagnostic procedure in cattle.

Several attempts have been made to establish a specific and sensitive immunodiagnostic procedure which will serve as an adjunct to the present meat inspection procedures. Several achievements have been recorded in this regard, despite the disappointments encountered.

Work described in this thesis attempts to define the antigens of <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> and their relationship to some common parasites. Some of the antigens were shown to possess a high degree of specificity which is deemed necessary for immunodiagnostic procedures.

This work can be divided into four parts. The first part describes the immunelogical characterization of the antigens of <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u>. The antigens were compared by the double immunodiffusion method in gel,

(v)

using specific antisera produced in goats, rabbits and calves. Three antigenic components were found to be common to <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> but only one of these was unique to these parasites. The other two components showed cross reactivity with other parasites. As the unique antigen was detected at the end of this study, time did not allow further work on this promising finding.

The antigens of <u>C. bovis</u> origin were further examined by crossed immunoelectrophoresis. A reference pattern was established using pooled rabbit anti-<u>C. bovis</u> serum and a homogenate of "Inner membrane-scolex" of <u>C. bovis</u> (bladder worm from which the fluid had been removed) as the reference antigen. The precipitin lines were arbitrarily numbered starting with the most anodic component. Fifteen antigenantibody precipitating systems were defined by this method.

Antigen preparations derived from <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>C.tenuicollis</u> were also examined for the presence of host components, since it has been established that many cystic stages of parasites contain such components which may be responsible for non-specific reactions in several serodiagnostic tests for parasitic infections. The major contaminating host components in <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>C. tenuicollis</u> were shown to be IgG and albumin. All the antisera prepared against <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>C. tenuicollis</u> antigens were, therefore, absorbed with insoluble whole serum immunoadsorbents to remove reactivity with host components. Since other workers have described the presence of blood group antigens, such as  $P_1$ -active substances and ABO(H)-like substances, it was

(vi)

considered necessary to absorb the antisera with red cells of appropriate groups also.

In the second part of this study, the cross-reactions between C. bovis, T. saginata and more than 16 other parasites were examined by immunodiffusion in agar gel. A wide range of cross-reactivity was found, but some antigons of C. bovis gave cross-reactions with only a few parasites, notably C. cellulosae, C. tenuicollis and T. hydatigena, using antisera to C. bovis and T. saginata. Similar reactions were observed when goat anti-C. tenuicollis serum was used. The precipitin line which was common to C. bovis, T. saginata, T. hydatigena, C. tenuicollis and C. cellulosae was cut out of agar gel, sonicated and inoculated into a goat to make a specific antiserum to this antigen which showed a limited cross-reactivity. Using the crossed immunoelectrophoresis reference pattern established for C. bovis antigens and the specific antiserum in the intermediate gel, the crossreacting antigen was shown to be identical with Antigen 11 of the reference system.

The third part of this work involved the isolation and characterisation of Antigen <u>11</u>. The antigen was eluted from an affinity column prepared by coupling the IgG fraction from the Antigen <u>11</u>-specific antiserum. Antigen <u>11</u> was further characterised by isoelectric focusing and polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis into which sodium dodecyl sulphate was incorporated (SDS-PAGE). Antigen <u>11</u> was found to have a molecular weight between 63,000 and 78,000 daltons by SDS-PAGE analysis and was isoelectric at pH 4.47 to 5.50.

č .

(vii)

This antigen was used in the last part of this work.

In the fourth and last part of the study, an attempt was made to determine whether Antigen 11 could be of serodiagnostic value. Serum samples from known experimentally infected and non-infected cattle were tested for the presence of antibodies to Antigen 11 using the solid-phase radioimmunoassay. High levels of IgG and comparatively low levels of IgM antibodies were detected. The IgG antibody levels were detected 5 weeks post infection and they reached the peak at week 24. Thereafter, the antibody levels showed a gradual fall up to week 64 post infection when the last sample was tested. There was a clear-cut antibody level difference between the known infected and non-infected animals from non endemic areas, which shows that Antigen 11 could be of diagnostic value. The ability of Antigen 11 to detect naturally infected animals has not yet been investigated and more work is needed to define its reactions with sera from animals infected with other parasites.

The possible use of Antigen <u>11</u> of <u>C. bovis</u> which shows a narrow range of cross-reactivity may reduce substantially the occurrence of non-specific reactions in serodiagnostic tests. However, further studies are required on the other 14 antigens, especially the one which appears to be unique to C. bovis and T. saginata.

### (viii)

### ACKNOLWDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors Prof. K. Lindqvist and Dr. J.M. Gathuma for their guidance and constructive criticism during the course of this study and in the preparation of this thesis.

I would also like to thank members of technical staff of the Department of Public Health, Pharmacology and Toxicology for their assistance in the laboratory and during the period of sample collection.

The author also wishes to thank the members of staff of Kenya Meat Commission (Athi River), local slaughter houses and Kariobangi Sewage Treatment Plant, who helpod in providing the samples.

My special thanks go to Drs. V.M. Nantulya, R.A. Masake and Mrs. C.G. Nkonge of the International Laboratory for Research on Animal Diseases (I.L.R.A.D.), Nairobi for their assistance and advice on the final part of this work.

Many thanks go to Drs. A. Wilson, A. Flisser, P. Stevenson, and J. Onyango-Abuje for providing some of the materials used in this study.

I also wish to thank the members of the Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology who helped in identification of some of the parasites used in this study.

I am greatly indebted to the Norwegian Agency for International Development (NORAD) for the scholarship which enabled me to perform this study.

Finally, I wish to thank Ms. D. Churi for typing this

manuscript.

1.4

This study was funded by NORAD Research Grant for research on hydatidosis and cysticercosis.

Percentage of infected carcasses Table 1a: found at routine Meat Inspection in European slaughter houses 1a Prevelence of C. bovis in cattle Table 1b in various countries 15 Table 2: The antisera prepared 36 The controls for the Radioim-Table 3: 55 munoassay Precipitin reactions observed Table 4: with rabbit anti-C. bovis (antiserum No.140/158) 60 Precipitin reactions observed with Table 5: rabbit anti-C. bovis (antiserum 65 No. 79) Precipitin reactions observed Table 6: with calf anti-C. bovis (antiserum No.846) 69 Precipitin reactions observed Table 7: with rabbit anti-Inner fluid of

Table 8:Precipitin reactions observed with<br/>calf anti-Inner fluid of C. bovis<br/>antiserum No.835)

C. bovis (antiserum No.146)

(xi)

72

75

PAGE

### (xii)

LIST OF TABLES (CONT'D)

- Table 9: Precipitin reactions observed with calf anti- Outer fluid (antiserum No.118)
- Table 10: Precipitin reactions observed with calf anti-<u>T. saginata</u> (antiserum No.900)
- Table 11: Preciptin reactions observed with goat anti-<u>T. saginata</u> (antiserum No.824)
- Table 12: Precipitin reactions observed with rabbit anti-<u>T. saginata</u> (antiserum No.154)
- Table 13: Precipitin reactions observed with goat anti-<u>C. tenuicollis</u> (antiserum No. 888)
- Table 14: A summary of precipitin reactions observed with all the antisera
- Table 15: Antibody levels to Antigen 11 in experimental cysticercosis

	(xii)	
LIST OF TABI	LES (CONT'D)	PAGE
Table 9:	Precipitin reactions observed	
	with calf anti- Outer fluid	
	(antiserum No.118)	7.8
Table 10:	Precipitin reactions observed	
	with calf anti-T. saginata	
	(antiserum No.900)	81
Table 11:	Preciptin reactions observed	
	with goat anti-T. saginata	
	(antiserum No.824)	84
Table 12:	Precipitin reactions observed	
	with rabbit anti-T. saginata	
	(antiserum No.154)	83
Table 13:	Precipitin reactions observed with	
	goat anti-C. tenuicollis	
	(antiserum No. 888)	93
Table 14:	A summary of precipitin reactions	
	observed with all the antisera	98
Table 15:	Antibody levels to Antigen 11	

in experimental cysticercosis 114

· · · · · · · · /	(	x	1	i	1	)
-------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

LIST C	)F ]	FIC	JURE	S
--------	------	-----	------	---

Fig. 1:	Preparation of crude Taenia extract	26/27
Fig. 2:	Drawing of cross section of <u>C. bovis</u>	29
Fig.3:	Preparation of cyst fluid antigens	31/32
Fig.4:	Preparation of crude parasite saline	
	extracts	_32/33
Fig.5:	Immunoelectrophoresis results of	
	Inner fluid of <u>C. bovis</u> against rabbit	
	anti-bovine scrum(antiserum No.87)	57
Fig.6:	Immunoelectrophoresis results of Outer	
	fluid of <u>C. bovis</u> against rabbit anti-	
	bovine serum (antiserum No.87)	57_
Fig.7:	Immunoelectrophoresis results of the	
	Inner membrane-scolex of C. bovis against	
	rabbit anti-bovine serum(antiserum No.37)	58
Fig.8:	Immuncelectrophoresis results of	
	C. tenuicollis fluid (goat) against	
	rabbit anti-goat serum (No. 539)	58
Fig. 9:	Immunodiffusion results observed	
	with rabbit anti-C. bovis (No.140/158)	62
Fig. 10:	Immunodiffusion results observed	
	with rabbit anti-C. bovis (No. 79)	66

PAGE

	(xiv)	
	LIST OF FIGURES (CONT'D)	PAGE
Fig. 11:	Immunodiffusion results observed	
	with goat anti-T.saginata (No.824)	85
Fig.12:	Immunodiffusion results observed	
	with rabbit anti-T. saginata (No.154)	89
Fig.13:	Immunodiffusion results observed	
	with rabbit anti-T. saginata (No.154)	92
Fig. 14:	Immunodiffusion results observed	
	with goat anti-Antigen 11 (No. 879)	100
Fig.15a:	The reference pattern for the antigenic	
	constituents of <u>C.bovis</u> using crossed	
	immunoelectrophoresis	106
Fig.15b:	The reference pattern for the antigenic	
	constituents of <u>C. bovis</u> using crossed	
	immunoelectrophoresis (A photograph of	
	15a)	107
Fig.16a:	The crossed immunoelectrophoresis with	
	intermidiate gel pattern, showing the	
	position of Antigen 11	108
Fig.16b:	The crossed immunoelectrophoresis with	
	intermediate gel, pattern showing the	
	position of Antigen 11 (A photograph	
	of 16a)	109

	LIST OF FIGURES (CONT'D)	PAGE
Fig.17:	Immunodiffusion results observed	
	with goat anti-Antigen 11 (No. 879)	112
Fig. 18:	A graph showing the example of	
	calculation of antibody titres	115

	LIST OF APPENDICES	PAGE
Appendix 1:	Immunoadsorbents used ip this study	163
Appendix 2:	Scheme of absorption of antisera	165
Appendix 3:	Buffers and solutions used in the	
	preparation of insoluble immuno-	
	adsorbents	168
Appendix 4:	Reagents and solutions used in the	
	Folin-Ciocalteau reaction	170
Appendix 5:	SDS-PAGE reagents	171
Appendix 6:	Isoelectric focusing solution	
	and reagents	174
Appendix 7:	Dulbecco's PBS used in the	
	radioimmunoassay	176
Appendix 8:	List of buffers and solutions	
	used in immunoelectrophoresis	
	and immunodiffusion	177
Appendix 9:	Agar and Agarose used in immuno-	
	diffusion and immunoelectrophoresis	. 178
Appendix 10:	Protein staining and	

	178
destatemente autome	
destaining solutions	

(xvi)

(xvii)

### LIST OF APPENDICES (CONT'D)

PAGE

Appendix 11: The amount of protein as determined by the method of Lowry <u>et al.</u>, (1951) in different batches of parasite extracts

. . .

### INTRCDUCTION

.

1.0

### 1. Introduction

The problem of bovine cysticercosis caused by <u>Cysticercus bovis</u>, the larval stage of <u>Taenia saginata</u> is of world-wide importance, both as a zoonosis and for economic reasons. The economic importance of cysticercosis is particularly felt in developing countries where attempts are being made to establish a cattle rearing industry so as to export fresh meat and meat products.

A very conservative estimate gives the number of infected animals on the African continent alone to be not less than 20 million head (Mann, 1978). The following countries have a higher than 10% incidence of bovine cysticercosis:- The Congo Republic, Guinea, Uganda, Kenya, Libya, Sierr&Leone, Somalia, Ivory Coast, Angola, Nigeria and Tanzania (Froyd, 1965; Dada and Belino, 1978).

The incidence of <u>C. bovis</u> is also increasing in several European countries (Pawloski, 1971). Table 1a shows the prevalence rates obtained in several European slaughterhouses and Table 1b shows the prevalence rates in several countries in the world. At present, more than 3% of cattle slaughtered in the German Democratic Republic harbour <u>C. bovis</u>, while more than 1% of the human population are infected with <u>T. saginata</u> (Hiepe and Buchwalder, 1978).

Studies carried out in the United States of America (U.S.A.) over a five year period (1963-67) by Schultz and his co-workers (1970) gave numbers of cases of <u>C. bovis</u> ranging between 12,000 and 16,000 per annum. The

Table 1a: Percentage of infected carcasses found at routine meat inspection in European slaughter-houses (Data from Pawloski, 1971).

Slaughter	Year of Survey					
Houso	1945	1951	1953	1955	1962	1964
Prague	0.34	NR	NR	1.6	NR	3.5
Genova	NR	3.4	8.0	NR	NR	NR
Pozman	NR	NR	NR	0.5	2.3	NR

NR = Not Recorded

.

### Table 1b: Prevalence of C. bovis in cattle in various

countries (Data from Pawloski and Schultz, 1972)

0.21
0.62
0.62
1.0
0.16
1.39
0.70
0.19
2.63
2.06
1.72
1
0.224
0.58 + 0.02
1
1.4
1.0

1b

endemic areas of South Western U.S.A. have a constant level of infection of about 1%. One single sporadic epidemic in the feed lots caused a loss of about \$250,000 (Schultz, 1974). While such epidemics had been thought to be a hazard only in the South Western U.S.A., a recent outbreak in Ontario (Canada) showed that similar incidents may occur in unsuspected locations (Shultz, 1974).

The renewed interest in bovine cysticercosis in the U.S.A. has led to federal legislation prohibiting the trimming of lightly infected carcasses, thus placing a greater economic burden on the beef producer. However, Schultz and his collegues (1970), indicated that there was no evidence of a decrease inspite of these new regulations.

The economic loss resulting from the cost of freezing and down-grading of lightly infected carcasses or total condemnation of heavily infected carcasses is unknown, but estimated at approximately US\$ 10 million per year in East Africa alone, and this is increasing (Urquhart, 1958; Mango, 1971; Mann, 1974; Taylor, 1975; Gathuma, 1979; Dilwig et al., 1978).

With regard to the control of this disease, the following methods are available:

- (a) Treatment of the human reservoir combined
  with education in proper sanitation.
- (b) Improvement of hygienic standards.
- (c) Centralized and properly supervised meat

inspection.

- (d) Antemortem diagnosis
- (e) Development of an efficient drug which will kill the larval stages in the muscle without lowering the quality of meat (Pawlowski et al., 1978).

As a zoonosis, however, bovine cysticercosis presents a challenging problem, since current methods of control are of limited efficacy, especially in poorly developed countries where the hygicaic standards are low (Sewell and Gallie, 1974). There is, for instance, control of the stage in the efficacy of drugs which can kill the larval stage in the musculature without reducing the quality of the meat (Kondela and Schanzel, 1978; Graig and Ronald, 1978; Walther and Koske, 1979). Elimination of <u>T. saginata</u> from man has likewise proved to be deficient, and it has been estimated that more than 40 million people in the world are infected with this parasite (Stoll, 1947).

The method based on meat inspection is inadequate, since it depends only on the examination of a small portion of musculature. Moreover, there is a practical limit as to the number of incisions which are permissible to avoid gross mutilation of the carcass which would lower its marketability (Thornton, 1968a). Such a technique can therefore fail to detect the lightly infected but epidemiologically significant infective carcass (Mann and Mann, 1947).

Theoretically, the problem of taeniasis and cysticercosis can be solved by improvement of hygienic standards, most important of which is the proper disposal of human faeces, but this cannot be considered fully adequate since mature segments of <u>T. saginata</u> are known to be able to move actively out of the anus without the patient's notice. Therefore, contamination of water and pastures by such a patient need not necessarily occur by indiscriminate defaecation (Mönnig, 1941). Mass chemotherapy of <u>Taenia</u> carriers is applicable, but unless it is combined with efficient disposal of excreta after treatment such a method may increase the incidence since it is known that mature segments expelled after therapy are infective (Urguhart, 1958).

In Europe, sewage effluent which is an important source of irrigation of pasture, is also an important factor in the epidemiology of bovine cysticercosis. Various methods of sewage treatment have been found to be inefficient in killing mature eggs of <u>T. saginata</u> (Silverman and Griffiths, 1955; Arkipova, 1977, Hammerberg <u>et al</u>., 1978) and the ova can survive up to one year in damp vegetation (Duthyl and Van Someren, 1948).

One of the major drawbacks to the control of cysticercosis is the absence of a reliable technique for antemortem diagnosis. It was, therefore, the objective of the work described in this thesis to develop such a technique.

It seemed likely that a diagnostic technique based on immunological principles may offer the simplest and most reliable method for antemortem diagnosis of cysticercosis. The development of a reliable immunodiagnostic technique, however, would require a thorough understanding and elucidation of the immune response of the infected animals to various antigens of C. bovis or T. saginata. Because of the complex nature of the antigenic structure of the parasite, animals may exhibit a strong immunological response to one or more antigens, but these may be common to, or cross-react with antigens of other parasites or micro-organisms. Although many serological tests will give positive results with such antigens, the specificity must be expected to be poor. If, on the other hand one or more specific antigens could be isolated from C. bovis or T. saginata to which infected animals respond regularly, a reliable method could be worked out, provided the technique chosen possesses the required sensitivity.

This investigation was therefore designed:

- (i) To characterise immunologically the antigens of <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> and to elucidate the antigenic relationship between various fractions of this parasite.
- (ii) To select the antigens which are specific
  for <u>C. bovis</u> by examining the cross reactions
  with various common parasites.

- (iii) To isolate and characterize the potentially specific antigen(s).
- (iv) To examine if such antigen(s) elicit(s) an immune response in experimentally infected animals.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

\*

### 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### 2.1 Immunity to cestode infections

It is generally observed that exposure of the host to different forms of <u>Taenia</u> antigens induces resistance to subsequent challenge (Flisser et al., 1979). Protection of cattle against <u>C. bovis</u> has been attempted in many ways using artificially hatched oncospheres (Wilkerhauser <u>et al.</u>, 1971; Wilkerhauser, 1972), irradiated embryos (Wilkerhauser <u>et al.</u>, 1974) viable or irradiated eggs (Lucker and Vegors, 1965; Gallie and Sewell, 1972; 1974), secretions and excretions of cysticerci in culture (Kesminkov, 1973a; Rickard and Adolph, 1976), or whole cysticerci, (Kesminkov, 1973b). In general an exposure to these various antigens reduced the number of cysticerci found after challenge.

7

In a study of the immune response in pigs to infection with eggs of <u>T. solium</u>, it was observed that animals which received a single dose of eggs had more cysticerci than those that received two doses, indicating that larger doses gave better immunity (Herbert and Oberg, 1974). Sheep were also partially protected against <u>C. ovis</u> and <u>C. tenuicollis</u> when immunized with either live, formalin-treated or frozen embryos of <u>T. ovis</u> and <u>T. hydatigena</u>, respectively (Gemmell, 1969; Rickard and Bell, 1971a, & b; Rickard and Coman, 1977). As regards <u>T. taeniaformis</u>, <u>T. pisiformis</u> and <u>Hymenolepis</u> nana experiments in laboratory animals have demonstrated that resistance could be induced artificially with crude homogenates of strobillate, larval tissue, live cysticerci or embryos (Miller, 1931; Miller and Kerr, 1932; Hunninen, 1935; Campbell, 1936; Larsh, 1944; Nemeth, 1971; Gemmell, 1973; Heath, 1973; Musoke and Williams, 1976).

Antibodies have been shown to be involved in protection against cestodo infections as demonstrated by serum transfor studies (Miller, 1934, 1935; Miller and Gardiner, 1932, 1934; Campbell, 1938a, b,c; Hearin, 1941; Larsh, 1942, 1951). In the study by Campbell (1938a), rats were protected following serum transfer from donors with up to 28 days old infection with C. fasciolaris while sheep acquired complete resistance to  $\underline{C}$ . tenuicollis within 14 days of immunization as demonstrated by the markedly reduced larval cyst counts (Blundell et al., 1968; Gemmell et al., 1968, 1969). Rickard and Adolph (1976) then immunized calves with antigens collected from in vitro cultures of T. saginata and showed that they were highly resistant to homologous challenge infection. Furthermore, calves which received colostrum from cows similarly vaccinated were also shown to be highly resistant to infection (Rickard et al., 1977). With regard to H. mana, simultaneous administration of immune serum and implantation of activated embryos of this cestode into the tissues, inhibited the development of activated oncospheres. The active serum contained immunoglobulin-G (7S) and immunoglobulin-A (7S-17S) (Di Conza, 1970).

In subsequent studies on the role of antibodies in cestode infections, Leid and Williams (1974) showed that in rats infected with larvae of <u>T. taeniformis</u>,  $IgG_{2a}$  was involved during the first 4 weeks of infection. Reaginic

skin-sensitizing antibodies were also detected in the sera of rats, mice and rabbits infected with <u>T. pisiformis</u> (Leid and Williams, 1974; Musoke and Williams, 1975). Generally the reaginic antibodies in the rat and rabbit appeared in the circulation within the first 2 to 3 weeks, reached a peak in 4 to 6 weeks and then declined. It has been shown by the same workers, that there is a coincidental development of  $IgG_{2a}$  and IgE antibodies to <u>T. taeniformis</u> infection in rats. This led to the speculation that IgE and  $IgG_{2u}$  act synorgistically (Musoke <u>ct al.</u>, 1978).

Cell mediated immune mechanisms may also be involved in cestode immunity. Transfer of spleen cells from immune dorors induced early death of oncospheres of various species (Friedberg <u>et al.</u>, 1969; Blundell, <u>et al.</u>, 1969). Thymectomy at birth depressed immunity in some "strains" of mice, and this immunity could be restored by implantation of thymus tissue (Okamoto, 1968; 1970). Anti-mouse thymocyte antibodies completely abolished acquired immunity to <u>H. nana</u> (Okamoto and Koizumi, 1972), while whole body irradiation depressed it. Immunity in such animals was restored effectively with bone marrow cell graft from isogeneic immune donors (Friedberg <u>et al.</u>, 1969).

Whether death of the challenging dose occurs during hatching in the intestine after the organism has penetrated into the mucosa or after the metacestode has been translocated to the site of predilection is unknown, but several investigators working with <u>C. pisiformis</u> and <u>C. bovis</u>

(Leonard and Leonard, 1941; Froyd and Round, 1960) believe that immunity to cestodes can be divided into two phases namely, an intestinal phase and a parenteral phase; and that both cell mediated immunity and humoral immunity are important. With regard to the intestinal phase, there is a rapid digestion of oncospheres in artificial intestinal fluid, which suggests that any event which may hinder the penetration may lead to the digestion of naked embryos before penetration can be accomplished (Genmell, 1962; 1964;). This is supported by the following evidence.

Firstly, the oncospheres of <u>H. nana</u> and <u>E. granulosus</u>, will not readily penetrate the intestinal mucosa of immune mice (Bailey, 1951) or sheep (Heath, 1971). Secondly, immune serum has been observed to form a precipitate around the "penetration glands" of <u>T. pisiformis</u>, which suggests that the failure to penetrate intestinal mucosa may have an immunological basis (Silverman, 1955).

Evidence from experimental and natural infection with adult tapeworms has shown that a specific antibody response does occur and that immunologically mediated effector mechanisms probably contribute to the outcome of host-parasite interaction in the gut (Williams, 1979). Although contact between the intestinal mucosal surface and the attachment organs of adult cestodes may be very close (Smyth and smyth, 1968), it is not necessary to propose that this is a prerequisite for the stimulation of antibodies. There is simple evidence of antibody formation against orally or intraintestinally administered non-invasive antigens

(There and Parrot, 1974; Walker and Isselbacher, 1974; Warsaw <u>et al.</u>, 1974), including those derived from cestodes (Ayuya and Williams, 1979). Circulating antibodies to <u>H. diminuta</u> have been detected in experimentally infected rats as demonstrated by complement fixation test, indirect fluorescent antibody test and passive cutaneous anaphylaxis (Coleman <u>et al.</u>, 1968; Harris and Turton, 1973). Skin sensitizing antibodies have also been described in dogs naturally infected with <u>E. granulosus</u> (Williams and Perez-Esandi, 1971), while hemagglutinating antibodies were present in sera examined by Machnika (1974) from human patients infected with T. saginata.

The role of circulating antibodies in the regulation of the development of tapeworms or their elimination is not clear because of insufficient evidence of passive transfer protection by serum from immune donors. Whether or not local antibody production is an important determinant remains to be proved. Some support for this possibility comes from the evidence of the binding of immunoglobulins and the third component of complement (C3) to the tergument of <u>H. diminuta</u> in mice (Befus, 1974) and the demonstration of membrane lesions that may be the result of antibody mediated damage (Befus and Threadgold, 1975). The significance of these observations is still difficult to assess because immunoglobulin and C3 are also demonstrated on the surface of <u>H. diminuta</u> in rats, in which the worms survive for prolonged periods in the lumen of the intestine

(Befus, 1974). Furthermore, immunoglobulin is bound to the surface of <u>H. microstoma</u> in mice (Befus, 1974), even though the worms persist up to 2 years in the bile duct lumon. Hopkins <u>st al</u>., (1977) however, have suggested that an immunological effector mechanism manifested by detrobilation and rejection of adult worms may account for their observations on <u>H. diminuta</u> in mice. In their study, tapeworms were rejected from 9 to 14 days after primary exposure and in secondary infection fewer worms were recovered while those that developed were markedly stunted.

## 2:2. <u>Some considerations of antigens used in the</u> immunodiagnosis of parasitic infections

In the immunodiagnosis of parasitic diseases it is important to consider the characteristics of the antigen employed, since these determined the specificity, sensitivity and the feasibility of the test. One of the major problems involved in the quality of some parasite antigens is the presence of host components in the antigens. This complicates the analysis, the standardization and the interpretation of the immunodiagnostic tests (Chordi and Kagan, 1965; Oriol et al., 1971).

Mordern physico-chemical fractionation techniques, however, have improved the quality of many antigens used in the serodiagnostic tests. Various investigators have used different procedures for isolation and purification of antigens. These have included the following methods: Chloroform gel fractionation (Fife and Kent, 1960), isoelectric precipitation (Melcher, 1943; Kagan and Bargai,

1956; Reiber <u>et al.</u>, 1961; Tarrant <u>et al.</u>, 1965), ethanol fractionation under controlled pH and ionic strength (Sleeman, 1960, 1961), Alcohol extraction (Fairly and Williams, 1923; Minning and McFadzen, 1956), ion exchange, gel filtration chromatography (Kent, 1963; D'Antonio <u>et al.</u>, 1966; Ohira, 1967; Morris <u>et al.</u>, 1968; Khan and Meerovitch 1970; Gross-Klaus and Walther, 1970; Gathuma and Waiyaki, 1980), pyridine fractionation (Labsoffsky <u>et al.</u>, 1959) and ammonium sulphate precipitition (Urquhart <u>et al.</u>, 1954).

Another consideration which is important is the developmental stage of the parasite which is used as the antigen source for immunodiagnosis. It is both tempting and practical to use the stages which are easily obtainable in large quantities. These stages, however, may not provide the best antigen preparation since the antigenic composition of one parasite stage may differ significantly from that of another stage (Williams and Soulsby, 1970; Fife, 1971). Murkin (1969), for instance, observed that there were antigenic differences between various parts of the same strobila of T. saginate at different stages of development, in that only the distal mature segments contained similar antigens. Ballad (1971) obsorved that different, purified antigen fractions from both adult and larval stages of T. saginata were more suited for detecting antibodies produced against different stages of <u>C.bovis</u>, Thia led Enyenihi (1974) to suggest that purified antigens for detecting both human and animal cysticercosis should contain a mixture of these stage-specific antigens,
# 2.3. Immunodiagnostic procedures which have been applied to cestode infections

Many methods have been applied to establish a sensitive and specific immunodiagnostic method for cestode infections. The following are the methods which have been applied:- Immnodiffusion (Trawiniski, 1936, 1937; Rydezewski et al., 1975), complement fixation test (Frick and Susse, 1970a; Lamina and Hoin, 1970), bontonito floculation test (Norman et al., 1959), Latex agglutination test (Norman et al., 1959; Leikina et al., 1966; Frick and Susse, 1970b), indirect haemagglutination test (Alferova, 1969; Walther and Grossklaus, 1972; Gallie and Sewell, 1974), intrademal test (Bugyaki, 1961; Froyd, 1963; Machnicka-Roguska, 1965), indirect fluorescent antibody test (Daoc, et al., 1972; Machnicka, 1973; 1974; Rydzewiski et al., 1975; Gathuma et al., 1972), immunoelectrophoresis and counter immunoelectrophoresis (Betran and Gomez-Priego, 1973; Soulsby and Sanquini, 1974; Greet et al., 1979), and the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (Arambulo et al., 1978; Van Knapen et al., 1979a,b). In the sections that follow the merits and demerits of these methods will be reviewed.

2.3.1. <u>Immunodiffusion</u>: Gel diffusion is one of the fastest and easiest method to perforn, but it is very unreliable (Trawinski, 1936, 1937; Biagi and Tay, 1958; Dewhirst et al., 1960; Maddison et al., 1961). The

technique can detect only heavily infested animals (Proctor et al., 1966; Kagan, 1974). Enyenihi (1970) failed to detect precipitating antibodies in the sera of mice infected with C. longicollis until 30 days post infection, while Nemeth (1971) recorded positive results in rabbits infected with C. pisiformis 10 days after infection. This inability to detect early antibody response to infection is an important weakness of this technique. According to Enyenihi (1974), this may be due to a low concentration of precipitating antibodies at this early stage of infection. Morris et al. (1968), however, stated that the lack of sensitivity of immunodiffusion tests in porcine cysticercosis was caused by the complexity of the crude antigen preparation employed in the past. When specific fractions were used, positive reactions were recorded in every proven case of cysticercosis. These findings indicate that the characterization of various types of antigens in C. bovis and T. saginata is essential.

#### 2.3.2. The complement fixation test (C.F.T.):

The complement fixation test has been shown to be more sensitive than indirect haemagglutination test, but less specific (Frick and Susse, 1970a). The greatest shortcoming of this test is that it can only detect antibodies to bovine cysticercosis within a particular period of infection in calves; false negative results are recorded in animals older than six months. Thus, this test is unrel'able for the diagnosis of bovine cysticercosis

2.

(Lamina and Hein, 1970). Enyenihi (1974), suggests that this may be due to change of type of immunoglobulin produced by the host at different stages of infection. He further suggests that work similar to that of Nemeth (1971, 1972, 1973) on rabbits infected with <u>C. pisiformis</u> is necessary to elucidate the dynamics of the production of complement-fixing antibodies in the bovine host.

#### 2.3.3. The bentonite floculation test (B.F.T.):

Norman <u>et al.(1959)</u> used B.F.T. in their studies of human hydatidosis. B.F.T. was shown to be more sensitive than C.F.T. but less sensitive than I.H.A. in the diagnnosis of human disease (Kagan, 1968, 1978). Gonzalez-Castro and Chordi (1960), using a modified B.F.T. where the sensitized particles were emulsified in absolute alcohol, found that B.F.T. was more sensitive than C.F.T. However, the specificity of the test was poor.

Antienza-Fernances et al., (1969) recorded a higher sensitivity in B.F.T. with cysticerci extract antigen than with cyst fluid antigen when used in the diagnosis of cysticercosis in sheep and goats. They too, however, recorded a high degree of false positive reactions. These limits thus undermine the usefulness of B.F.T. as a practical immunodiagnostic test.

#### 2.3.4. The latex agglutination test(L.A.T.):

Fischman (1960) reported good sensitivity using L.A.T. in the diagnosis of hydatidosis. But Svividenko-Stepankovskaya (1973)found that L.A.T. had a lower degree of sensitivity

and specificity when compared with the indirect haemagglutination test.

L.A.T. is a popular immunodiagnostic test for cysticercosis due to its speed, sensitivity and specificity, but there are still several things which have to be standardized before results obtained in different laboratories can be effectively compared (Frick and Susse 1970b). Filippov (1971), for instance, showed that the use of purified scolox antigen eliminated cross reaction with fasciolaris, while Alforova et al., (1972) recorded that the size of the latex particles seemed to affect the results in the case of diagnosis in cattle. Castagnari and Pozzuoli (1969) also showed that the size of latex particles affected the specificity of the test in the diagnosis of human hydatidosis. Another shortcoming of this test in diagnosis in humans is that it gives false positive results in CHEN of liver cirrhosis and nonparasitic cysts (Enyenihi, 1974).

#### 2.3.5. The indirect haemagglutination test (I.H.A.):

The Indirect Haemagglutination Test is one of the most common methods used in the immunodiagnosis of parasitic diseases. Kagan (1968), reviewing the serological tests used for the diagnosis of hydatidosis, indicated that IHA was mong the three best tests which utilize inert particles. Since then microhaemagglutination has been employed extensively in the diagnosis of taeniasis and animal cysticercosis.

Schultz and Ismagilova (1969) found the method to be the most sensitive of seven immunological tests employed in the diagnosis of cysticercosis in sheep. Other workers (Proctor and Elsdon-Dew, 1966; Gomez-Garcia et al., 1969: Frick and Susse 1970b; Grossklaus and Walther 1972; Nemeth, 1972, 1973; and Gathuma and Waiyaki, 1980), also obtained excellent results using IHA for diagnosis of cysticercosis in pigs, rabbits and cattle. Gathuma and Waiyaki, (1980) detected antibodies from the second week up to four months post infection. Their findings were similar to those reported by Alferova (1969), Engenihi (1970), and Grossklaus and Walther (1972). Gathuma and Waiyaki, (1980) used T. saginata antigens separated by sephadex G200 gel filtration. They showed that fraction Fl had a higher degree of sensitivity and specificity than the crude antigen. This partial purification also reduced cross reactivity with sera from animals infected with hydatid cysts. These findings are similar to those of Morris et al., (1968) who showed a high degree of sensitivity when they used partially purified antigen in the diagnosis of porcine cysticercosis.

#### 2.3.6. The intradermal test (I.D.):

The intradermal test was introduced by Casoni (1911) for the diagnosis of hydatidosis in man. Since then, this test has been widely used for the diagnosis of a variety of parasitic diseases (Fife, 1971). It is among the most sensitive immunodiagnostic procedures and the rapidity with which results are obtained is an additional advantage.

102

The ID test for diagnosis of cysticercosis measures the immediate-type hypersensitivity reaction, and the results are therefore, Obtained within 1-2 hours after the injection of the antigen (Dewhirst et al., 1967).

Despite its wide usage, however, the intradermal test has limited specificity, especially in cases of concomitant helminthic infection (Dewhirst et al., 1967; Kagan, 1968; Fife, 1971). The intradermal tests for cysticorcosis performed in the caudal fold of cattle by Bugyaki (1961) thus gave a high rate of positive reactions in infected animals but false positive reactions were frequent. Froyd (1963) used 57 different crude antigens, prepared from C. bovis, T. saginata, F. hepatica, E. granulosus and C. fasciolaris in the intradermal tests for diagnosis of C. bovis infection. None of the antigens used proved to be specific. Schoop and Lamina (1970) recorded positive intradermal reactions 21 days post infection in 10 calves experimentally infected with eggs of T. saginata, but the reactions were not specific. The animals continued to be positive 94 days after infection and were slightly reactive 11 months post infection although lung cysticerci were still present. Herbert and Oberg (1974) used the intradermal test for diagnosis of cysticercosis in pigs, employing crude antigens and they too encountered both false positive and false negative results.

When T. saginata antigens fraction C, isolated

from whole worm (T. saginata) was used for the diagnosis of human infection, however, a high rate of specific reactions was recorded in 36 persons with T. saginata infection. Only 4 out of 34 patients cured of taeniasis gave positive reactions (Machnicka-Roguska and Zwierz, 1970). Zapart et al., (1974) then tried the ID test with an acid soluble protein fraction of T. saginata, E. granulosus, and C.cellulosae in the diagonis of cerebral cysticercosis in man. They found that intradermal reactions were more marked with homologous than with heterologous antigens in proven cases of cerebral cysticercosis. The intradermal test thus proved to be a valuable tool in the diagnosis of corebral cysticercosis, but it should be noted that the antigen used was a complex mixture of polysaccharides and protein, each of which could show different antigenic reactivity (Kent, 1963). The use of purified antigens which are free of host components and blood group-like substances in the diagnosis of cysticercosis in man and animals may therefore, prove to be very specific and sensitive in ID tests (Enyenihi, 1974). 2.3.7. The indirect fluorescent antibody test (I.F.A.):

The indirect fluorescent antibody test has been used with some success in the immunodiagnosis of a variety of parasitic diseases, for example, Chaga's disease (Sadun <u>et al.</u>, 1963), amoebiasis (Boonpucknavig and Nairn, 1967), trichinosis (Sadun <u>et al.</u>, 1962) and malaria (Wilson et al., 1970).

The IFA is a sensitive serodiagnostic procedure. It can be performed using serum or whole blood (Anderson et al., 1961). The use of finger prick blood samples dried on filter paper facilitates collection of specimens in the field and greatly enhances the suitability of the IFA procedure for large scale epidemiological studies.

The IFA procedure has received considerable attention and application in the serodiagnosis of parasitic diseases. However, its most useful application appears to be in the serodiagnosis of malaria, American trypanosomiasis, leishmaniasis and toxoplasmosis (Fife, 1971).

There are few reports on the use of IFA for the diagnosis of cysticercosis. Grossklaus and Walther (1971) observed non specific reactions in their immunofluorescence studies and found it difficult to distinguish between reactions of known positive and negative sera. Soulsby and Sanquini (1974) observed similar reactions. In a study of human patients, Daoc <u>et al</u>. (1972), employing frozen sections of <u>T. solium</u> as antigens, reported a good sensitivity but poor specificity of the IFA test. Patients with cysticercosis reacted with hydatid antigens and the diagnosis had to be made on clinical grounds. In the case of cattle, a differential diagnosis between <u>C.bovis</u> and hydatid cysts on clinical ground would be impossible because cattle do not show clinical signs in either of these parasitic infections.

Calamel and Soule (1972) employed 17 antigens prepared from different stages of <u>C. bovis</u>, <u>T. saginata</u> <u>C. pisiformis</u> and <u>C. tenuicollis</u>. Antigens prepared from a concentrated solution of <u>T. saginata</u> embryos provided a sensitive test which gave the most consistent results. However, cross-reactions occurred between different preparations of <u>T. saginata</u> antigens and those of <u>C</u>. <u>pisiformis</u> and <u>C. tenuicollis</u>. Cross reactions also

occurred with the scolices of <u>E. granulosus</u> and it was impossible in these experiments to differentiate between bovine cysticercosis and hydaticosis.

Rydzewski <u>et al</u>. (1975) compared IFA, IHA and agar gel diffusion using 28 sera from persons with proven or suspected cysticercosis, 7 from persons with hydatid disease, and 8 from healthy individuals.

The results showed that IFA test using paraffin sections of <u>T. solium</u> was as sensitive as IHA in detection of human cysticercosis, while double diffusion in agar gel lacked sensitivity.

### 2.3.8. The indirect fluorescent antibody test using

#### activated oncospheres of T. saginata as antigens

Machnicka (1973 and 1974) employing activated oncospheres as antigens in the diagnosis of cysticercosis of calves and man, observed specific immunofluoresence of cells of the hexacanth embryos of <u>T. saginata</u>. She considered that the fluorescence shown by the membrane of oncospheres was not specific. Gathuma <u>et al</u>., (1978), using artificially hatched and activated oncospheres fixed in gelatin as antigen, obtained a high sensitivity and specificity in <u>C. bovis</u> infected animals, but there was cross-reactivity with serum from animals with hydatid cysts.

#### 2.3.9. The immunoelectrophoresis (IEP) and

#### Counter-immunoelectrophoresis (C.I.E.):

Immunoelectrophoresis as a test for human hydatid disease was developed by Capron <u>et al.</u>, (1967). They based their criterion for positivity on the detection of a precipitin line called "arc 5" after immunoelectrophoresis of concentrated sheep hydatid cyst fluid as antigen and the patient's serum sample in the trough.

There are a few reports on the use of IEP in the diagnosis of cysticercosis. Morris <u>et al.</u>, (1968) compared IEP and double diffusion test in agar gel with IHA for the diagnosis of cysticercosis in animals and reported poor specificity in IEP test. More recently, Greet <u>et al.</u> (1979) analysed the antigenic components of <u>T. saginata</u> proglottides in IEP. They defined 22 antigenic components, but most of these were of host origin and were not specific for the cestodes. The same workers also observed that calves experimentally infected with <u>T. saginata</u> eggs showed 3 precipitin lines, depending on the evolutionary stage of the infection. The precipitating antibodies could be detected 3 weeks post infection, but the major drawback of IEP, according to these authors, was that of false negative reactions in animal, with less than 50 cysts.

Betran and Gomez-Priego (1973) reported the use of counter immunoelectrophoresis (C.IE.) in both human and experimental cysticercosis employing cyst fluid, secretion and excretion antigens, complete and incomplete somatic

antigens. They reported a good correlation between the clinical condition of the cyst in the host and the number of precipitating bands observed.

CIE for the serodiagnosis of cysticercosis in cattle has been evaluated by Soulsby and Sanquini (1974). They used fresh and stored sera from experimentally and naturally infected animals and the antigens from the adult and the larval stages of T. saginata and larval stages of T. crassiceps prepared by the method described by Kent (1963). Encouraging results were obtained with the CIE technique using obtained from naturally infected cattle showing sera light(1-4 cysts) moderate (5-20 cysts) heavy (over 20 cysts) infections as assessed by carcass inspection. The CIE test permitted a classification of the degree of infection based on the number and intensity of precipitin lines observed. However, false positive reactions were observed with sera from adult normal cattle. These false positive reactions posed problems similar to those encountered with other serodiagnostic tests.

#### 2.4.0. The enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA):

Arambulo <u>et al</u>., (1978) described a miro-Elisa technique for the serodiagnosis of human cysticercosis. They obtained results which correlated remarkably well with IHA results. The ELISA results were easily reproducible, but the major drawback was the false positive results due to hydatidosis.

Van-Knapen et al., (1979a, b) used ELISA to demon-

strate antibodies and circulating antigens in bovine cysticercosis. The method was shown to be rather insensitive during early and late infection in both naturally and experimentally infected animals but the sensitivity of ELISA was comparable to that of IHA. The major disadvantage of ELISA was that positive reactions were found in animals infected with <u>Fasciola hepatica</u> This, however, could be due to the crude nature of the T. saginata antigen used (Craig and Rickard, 1980).

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

4 e

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. ANTIGENS

3.

A.1. Taenia saginata

A.1.1. Collection of T. saginata

Children in various Maasai "Manyatta" in Kajiado District were treated with Yomesan (2,5-dichloro-4-nitrosalicylanilide) followed by magnesium sulphate as cathartic. Whole tapeworms and segments were recovered from the stools of these children.

The tapeworm segments were washed clean of intestinal contents using clean water; the proglottides were placed in 2 litre plastic containers containing normal saline in which 0.1% sodium azide (BDH-Chemical Poole, England) was added. They were then stored in an ice-box until delivery to the laboratory.

The other source of tapeworm segments was Kariobangi sewage treatment plant in Nairobi. Tapeworms were collected just before they entered the treatment plant, and they were treated as described above.

#### A.1.2. Preparation of crude Taenia antigens

The tapeworm segments were rinsed several times in normal saline upon arrival in the laboratory. Preparation of crude <u>Taenia</u> saline extract was performed following the protocol shown in Fig.1. Briefly, the following procedure was followed: Clean tapeworm segments were homogenized with a MSE (Measuring and Scientific Equipments, London) tissue blender placed in an ice water bath at 0°C. The homogenate



26/27

was further homogenized with Potter-Elvehjem tissue grinder (Kontes Glass Company, New Jersey, U.S.A.) placed in an icewater bath. The homogenate obtained was sonicated at 300 watts for 5 minutes in an ice-water bath using Brausonic 1510 sonicator (Braun Messungen AG, Germany).

The portion of the homogenate obtained after sonication was used for the production of antisera in the calf, goat and rabbit.

The other portion of the sonicated homogenate was centrifuged at 3000 Xg. The supernate was separated, and the sediment was further homogenized starting at step 4 of the protocol. The second supernate was added to the first. The mixture of these supernates constituted the crude saline extract. This was divided into small aliquots which were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until required.

#### A.2. Cysticercus bovis

#### A.2.1 Harvest of antigens from C. bovis cyst

<u>C. bovis</u> infested meat was bought from various slaughter houses around Nairobi. The meat was placed in plastic bags placed in an ice-box, and delivered to the laboratory where the cysts were carefully removed from the meat on the same day.

#### A.2.2. The "Outer membrane" and the "Outer fluid"

#### of C. bovis

Figure 2 shows a drawing of <u>C. bovis</u> cyst and the location of various antigens harvested for use in this study.

The cysts were placed in petridishes. The outer connective tissue capsule, which is derived from host components (Thornton, 1968b), was carefully dissected from the inner bladder and the scolex. The fluid between these two membranes was harvested and was termed the Outer fluid (F1); this was placed in universal bottles and stored at -20°C. The outer connective tissue was termed the Outer membrane (O.M). This was washed extensively with normal saline, dried with blotting paper and placed in universal bottles.

# A.2.3. The "Inner Membrane-Scolex" and the "Inner fluid"

#### of C. bovis

The intact bladder and the scolex was blotted dry and placed in the petridish. The bladder was then punctured using dissecting needles, the fluid obtained from the bladder was termed the Inner fluid of the cyst (F2). This was placed in vials and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

The bladder and the scolex were washed several times in saline and dried on filter paper. The bladder and scolex were termed "the Inner Membrane-Scolex",



Figure 2: Drawing of Cross section of C. bovis

- Key 1: Outer membrane
  - 2: Outer fluid  $(F_1)$
  - 3: C. bovis scolex
  - 4: Inner membrane
  - 5: Inner fluid (F<sub>2</sub>)

Note: - (3) and (4) constitutes "the Inner membrane-

scolex"

- (3), (4) and (5) constitutes "The bladder worm"

## A.2.4. Preparation of the crude saline extracts of Outer membrane, and the crude saline extract of Inner membrane - scolex

The crude Outer-membrane extract was prepared as described for <u>T. saginata</u> extract but with slight modification. Briefly the method was as follows: The frozen outer membrane was placed in the frozen mortar and ground using a frozen pestle. The material was further homogenized using Potter-Elvehjem tissue grinder placed in an ice water bath. The homogenate was then sonicated at 300 watts for 5 minutes in an ice water bath using the Brausonic 1510 sonicator. This sonicated homogenate was used for the production of an antiserum in a calf. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 3000Xg, the supernate divided in aliquots and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

The crude Inner membrane-scolex extract was prepared as described for the crude Outer membrane extract.

#### A.3. Other parasites

#### A.3.1. The parasites collected:

The following parasites were harvested from different slaughter houses around Nairobi and in Kajiado District.

i) C. tenuicollis from goats and sheep.

ii) Fasciola gigantica from goats, sheep and cattle.

- iii) Moniezia species from goats, sheep and cattle.
- iv) Stilesia hepatica from goats and sheep.
- (v) Paramphistonum species from goats, sheep and cattle.

vii) Trichuris species from cattle

viii) Oesophagostomum species from cattle

ix) Haemonchus contortus from cattle

x) C. fasciolaris from mice

xi) Ascaris suum from pigs

The parasites were washed several times in water, then placed into bottles containing normal saline and 0.2% sodium azide. They were delivered to the laboratory in an ice-box, where extraction of different parasite antigens was performed on the same day.

#### A.3.2. Parasite/antigens obtained from other laboratories.

<u>T. hydatigena</u> from dogs and <u>Coenurus cerebralis</u> from goats were obtained from the Turkana District, through the courtesy of Dr. A. Wilson of the Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, University of Nairobi.

<u>Trypanosoma brucei</u> - 221 and <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> purified antigens were obtained through the courtesy of Dr. V.M. Nantulya of ILRAD and Dr. Z. Ahmed of Department of Human Pathology, University of Nairobi, respectively.

<u>C. ccllulosae</u> antigen was obtained from Mexico through the courtesy of Dr. A. Flisser of University of Mexico.

#### A.3:3: Harvest of parasite cyst fluid antigens

The following cyst fluids were collected: <u>C. tenui-</u> <u>collis</u>, <u>C. cerebralis</u> and hydatid cyst fluid from goats, sheep and cattle. The cyst fluid antigens were prepared following the protocol shown in Fig.3.



Briefly, the cysts were blotted dry on clean filter paper and the bladder was then punctured. The fluid was harvested into beakers. In the case of hydatid cysts, the individual cysts were harvested into separate containers, the fluid was then centrifuged at 3000Xg for 15 minutes and examined for hydatid sand. Fertile and unfertile cysts were then pooled separately. Only fertile cysts were used in this study, since it has been shown that non-fertile cysts are deficient in some antigens (Kagan and Agosin, 1968).

In the case of <u>C. tenuicollis</u> and <u>C. cerebralis</u> the cyst fluid collected from several number of cysts was pooled into one beaker. The number of cysts pooled and the species from which the cysts came were recorded.

The fluid was concentrated by ultrafiltration through "DIAFLO PM10" ultrafilter (Amicon, Masschusetts, U.S.A.) which retains molecules with a molecular weight above 10,000 daltons. The concentrated fluid was then tested for cross reactivity with <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> by immunodiffusion. The filtrate was concentrated by lyophilization and re-constituted in saline. This was also used for testing the cross reactions.

# A.3:4: Preparation of crude saline extracts from other parasites

The extraction was performed following the protocol shown in Fig.4. Briefly, the following procedure was



followed: After washing several times in saline, the parasites were homogenized in an ice water bath, using the MSE tissue blender. The homogenate was further homogenized using frozen mortar and pestle, and finally with the Potter-Elvehjen tissue grinder placed in an ice water bath. The homogenate obtained was sonicated at 300 watts for 5 minutes using the Brausonic 1510 sonicator and then lyophilized.

The dry extract was reconstituted in saline to make the final concentration to be 40% (w/v). This was divided into aliquots and stored at  $4^{\circ}C$  and preserved with 0.1% sodium azide. The analysis of these antigenic extracts was performed by immunodiffusion.

The membranes and the scolices of <u>C. tenuicollis</u> and <u>C. cerebralis</u> obtained after the cyst fluid was harvested, were treated as described above.

#### A.4: The preservation and storage of the antigens

All antigens were stored at  $-20^{\circ}C$  or at  $4^{\circ}C$ , and 0.1% sodium azide was used as a preservative in all antigens used for purposes other than immunization of animals. Antigens once thawed were not frozen again but stored at  $4^{\circ}C$ .

#### A.5 Protein determination of antigenic extracts

Protein determination was performed on all antigens extracted. The protein was estimated by the Folin-Ciocalteau reaction (William and Chase, 1968), a modification of the method described by Lowry et al., (1951).

#### ANTISERA

24

B

#### B:1 Animals used

The antisera used in this study were prepared in calves, rabbits and goats.

#### B.1.1. Calves

Five Friesian bull calves, ranging in age between six to seven months old were bought from the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Farm, Kanyariri.

The calves were ear-tagged for the purpose of identification. They were then dewormed at two weeks intervals using thiolbenzole (Merck Sharp Dohme, Germany) and sprayed with Bacdip (Bayer, Germany). After the first deworming the calves were bled. This constituted the preimmunization bleeding.

The calves were housed in isolation and fed on hay and concentrates (early weaner calf pellets, calf meal and calf pencils, Unga Ltd., Nairobi). They were also provided with salt lick (Maclick; Welcome Laboratories, Nairobi) and water.

#### B:1:2 Goats

Three maasai goats were purchased from Ongata-Rongai in Kajiado District. They were dewormed, sprayed and bled as described for the calves.

The goats were housed in isolation and fed on hay and concentrates (Ewe and Lamb nuts; Unga Ltd., Nairobi). They were provided with water and salt lick (Macklick-

Wellcome Laboratories, Nairobi) ad-libitum.

#### B:1.3 Rabbits

Four Newzealand Large White rabbits were bought from Veterinary Research Laboratories, Kabete. The rabbits were treated for coccidiossis using Amprol (Soulsby Co., U.S.A.). They were put in isolation and fed on concentrates (Rabbit pellets, Unga Ltd., Nairobi). They were also provided with water <u>ad-libitum</u>. They were bled before the start of the immunization schedule.

#### B:2 Antisera prepared

The list of the antisera prepared are shown in Table 2.

# UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI

Table 2.	The Antisera Prepared	
Identification (Animal species and No.)	Preparation used for Immunization	Antisera Prepared
Calf No.10	Homogenate of outer membrane of <u>C.bovis</u>	Anti outer membrane <u>C.bovis</u>
Calf No.118	Outer fluid of C. bovis	Anti outer fluid C. bovis
Calf No.835	Inner fluid of C. bovis	Anti inner fluid C. bovis
Calf No. 846	Homogenate of inner membrane-scolex of C. bovis	Anti inner membrane scolex <u>C. bovis</u>
. Calf No.900	Homogenate of <u>T</u> . saginata	Anti <u>T. saginata</u>
Goat No.824	Homogenate of <u>T</u> . saginata	Anti <u>T. saginata</u>
Goat No.888	Homogenate of <u>C</u> . <u>tenuicollis</u> membrane scolex and fluid (goat)	Anti <u>C. tenuicollis</u>
Goat No. 879	Sonicate of precipi- tin line of <u>C.bovis</u>	Anti "precipitin Line"
Rabbit No.140	Homogenate of inner membrane scolex of <u>C. bovis</u>	Anti inner membrane scolex <u>C. bovis</u>
Rabbit No.154	Homogenate of <u>T</u> . <u>saginata</u>	Anti <u>T. saginata</u>
Rabbit No.158	Homogenate of inner membrane scolex C. bovis	Anti inner membrane scolex C. bovis .
*Rabbit No. 79	Homogenate of C. bovis scolices	Anti C. bovis scolices.

÷

Table 2 (Cont'd)	The Antisera Prepared	
Identification (Animal species and No.)	Preparation used for Immunization	Antisera Prepared
Rabbit No. 146	Inner fluid of <u>C</u> . bovis	Anti inner fluid
1 Rabbit No.87	Whole bovine serum	Rabbit anti bovine serum
2 Rabbit No.39	Whole goat serum	Rabbit anti goat serum
3 Goat No.878	Bovine $IgG_1$ and $IgG_2$	Anti bovine IgG

\* Rabbit anti <u>C. bovis</u> scolices was obtained through the courtesy of Prof. K. Lindqvist.

1,2 and3. These antisera were prepared with the help of Mr. H.F. Kaburia and Mr. J.B. Ndunda (The technicians in the Department of Public Health, Pharmacology and Toxicology).

In the text all the antisera are referred to by the identification number given to the animal in which the antisera were prepared. e.g. antiserum number 10 refers to calf anti-outer membrane of C. bovis.

#### B:3 Production of antisera

Pre-immunization bleeding was performed on all animals. The priming dose of each antigen was emulsified in Freund's complete adjuvant (DIFCO Laboratories Detroit, U.S.A.) mixed in the ratio of 3:1.

The antigens were injected into the lymphnodes and into the muscles according to the method described by Newbould (1965). The subsequent booster doses were emulsified in Freund's incomplete adjuvant (DIFCO Laboratories, Detroit, U.S.A.), and were injected intramuscularly.

The immunization and test bleeding was done at two weeks intervals until the antisera gave distinct precipitin lines when tested with homologous antigens in double immunodiffusion in the gel. (The total immunization and bleeding was for the period of 18 months). The preparation of monospecific antiserum to a component of <u>C. bovis</u> inner membrane scolex is described in Section B:4.

The pools of different antisera were prepared by pooling together several serial bleedings from each immunized animal. In the case of anti-<u>C. bovis</u> antiserum used in immunodiffusion, however,pools of Antisera Nos. 140 and 158 were combined. Similarly the antiserum used for crossed immunoelectrophoresis consisted of pools of Antisera Nos.79, 140 and 158.

### B.4. Preparation of monospecific antiserum to a component of C. bovis inner membrane scolex

Immunization of experimental animals with immunoprecipitates obtained either by immunoelectrophoresis or crossed immunoelectrophoresis in order to produce a monospecific antiserum has been performed by several workers (Goudie <u>et al</u>., 1966; Harboe <u>et al</u>., 1976). This method has the advantage of production of monospecific antibody to the antigen required without preliminary chemical or physical purifications of the

antigen from a complex antigenic mixture such as parasite antigens (Goudie et al., 1966).

In this study, a monospecific goat antiserum against a component of <u>C. bovis</u> (Antigen 11) was prepared by immunizing a goat with a single precipitin line obtained by immunodiffusion between goat anti <u>T. saginata</u> (antiserum No.824) and, inner membrane-scolex of <u>C. bovis</u> (See B:4:1). This line also showed complete identity with other lines obtained when inner fluid and outer fluid of <u>C.bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> were used as antigens in immunodiffusion using goat anti-<u>T. saginata</u> as the antiserum. <u>T. saginata</u> antigens were not used in the production of antiserum to Antigen <u>11</u> because the identical line which was produced in the immunodiffusion using the homologous antisera was weak.

#### B:4:1. Preparation of precipitin line for immunization

#### of goat No.879

Twenty five microscope slides were prepared for immunodiffusion (described in Section C:1). The diffusion was allowed to proceed for 18 hours, after which a single precipitin line was clearly visible. The slides were then pressed and washed as described in C:5, but they were not stained. The precipitin line was cut out of the gel using a clean razor blade as close to the line as possible. The agar strips containing this line were then washed at 4<sup>o</sup>C with a large volume (200 times volume excess) phosphate buffered saline (P.B.S.), pH 7.4, with several changes of buffer for five days.

For each immunization about a 100 strips were used. The PBS was sucked off and strips sonicated in a cooling bath with ice by Brausonic 1510 (Braun Messungen AG, Germany) with an effect of 100W in 1 sec. pulses until the agar had visibly been dispersed. Freund's complete adjuvant (DIFCO Laboratories, Detroit, U.S.A.) was then added in ratio 1:3 (sonicated agar strips: adjuvant). The mixture was sonicated as before until the material became a cream. This was used for immunization. The subsequent booster injections were prepared as described except that Freund's incomplete adjuvant (DIFCO Laboratories, Detroit, U.S.A.) was used.

### B:5 Absorption of antisera with the insoluble immunoadsorbents

Insoluble immunoadsorbents were used in this study to remove the anti-host components which might have been produced in the immunized animals. There were two types of insoluble immunoadsorbents used in this study:

 (i) Those prepared by coupling a specific protein to cyanogen bromide activated sepharose 4B (CNBrsepharose);

(ii) The glutaraldehyde preserved erythrocytes.

#### B:5:1 Preparation of CNBr-sepharose immunoadsorbents

CNBr-sepharose was obtained from Pharmacia Fine Chemical Co. (Uppsala, Sweden). The immunoadsorbent was prepared according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly,

the method was as follows: A required amount of CNBrsepharose was weighed out. The sepharose was then washed with 1mM HCl in the ratio of 200 mls per gram of dry sepharose. This was followed by rinsing with excess distilled water. The protein to be coupled was dissolved in coupling buffer (0.1M sodium bicarbonate buffer pH 8.3, containing 0.5 M sodium chloride; BDH, Poole, England). The protein solution was mixed with the suspension of the gel in end over end mixer (Voss of Maldon, Essex, England) for 2 hours at room temperature (27°C) and then for 18 hours at 4°C. The uncoupled protein was then washed off with the coupling buffer, and the remaining active groups were blocked by 1M ethanolamine at pH 9 for 2 hours at room temperature. The blocking reagent was washed off using coupling buffer followed by 0.1M acetate buffer pH 4 containing 0.5M sodium chloride and finally rinsed with coupling buffer and saline.

The uncoupled protein was determined following the method of Lowry <u>et al</u>. (1951) and the percentage of coupled protein was then determined. The protein sepharose conjugate was stored at  $4^{\circ}$ C as a 50% suspension in saline to which 0.1% sodium azide was added.

#### B:5:2 Immunoadsorbents

The immunoadsorbents used in this study are shown in Appendix 1, which also shows the percentage of protein coupled to the sepharose.

### B:5.3 <u>Preparation of red blood cells used in the</u> absorption of antisera

The blood was collected from animals slaughtered in various slaughterhouses around Nairobi. The blood was booled together according to the species, viz. cattle, goats and sheep. The red cells were then treated with glutaraldehyde by the method briefly described below:

#### B:5:4 Preservation of erythrocytes using glutaraldehyde

Sixty millilitres of packed red cells which had been washed five times in physiological saline were mixed with 1.2 litres of phosphate buffer 0.15 m, pH 7.2 in a 2 litre conical flask. 120 mls of 2.5% solution of commercial glutaraldehyde (25% glutaraldehyde in water - Koch Light Laboratories Ltd., Colnbrook Buckshire, England) were added slowly under agitation using a clinical rotator (Arthur H. Thomas, Philadelphia, Pa, U.S.A.), for two hours at room temperature (25<sup>o</sup>C). Then 2 times molar excess solid glycine (Koch Light Laboratories, Colnbrook, Buckshire, England) was added directly into the flask and the dgitation continued overnight. After overnight agitation, the red cells were allowed to settle at room temperature. The supernatant was sucked off, and the red cells washed five times with saline.

The preserved cells were then resuspended in saline and sodium azide added to give a final concentration of 1.0%. The cells were stored at  $4^{\circ}C$ .

#### B:5:4 Procedure for immunoabsorption of antisera

#### using insoluble immunoadsorbents

Appendix 2 shows the schematic absorption of the antisera. Briefly, the absorption was done as follows: The antiserum was mixed with the immunoadsorbent and the mixture was mixed in an end over end rotator at room temperature  $(25^{\circ}C)$  for 2 hours, then in cold  $(4^{\circ}C)$  for 18 hours.

The immunoadsorbent was then separated from the antiserum by passing the serum through sintered glass (G3-Pyrex, England). The immunoadsorbent was regenerated by washing with 0.2M glycine/HCl buffer, pH 2.8, followed by the coupling buffer and saline. The immunoadsorbents were re-used several times.

#### B:5 The harvesting and the storage of the antisera

The preparation of the antisera was done as described by Campbell <u>et al.</u>,(1970). Briefly, the method involved the following procedure:-

The freshly drawn blood was allowed to stand for 3 hours in the incubator at  $37^{\circ}C$ . The clot was then carefully removed from the wall of the siliconized tubes using an applicator stick and placed in the refrigerator at  $4^{\circ}C$  for 18 hours to permit the clot to contract.

The serum was decanted into clean test tubes and centrifuged at 3000 Xg for twenty minutes to sediment the red blood cells. Clean sera were then decanted into clean plastic bottles.

For preservation, 0.01% sodium azide (BDH, Poole, England) was added to the sera which were then stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

After each bleeding the antisera harvested were tested by immunodiffusion using homologous and heterologous antigens described in Part A.

#### B:7 Antisera obtained from other laboratories

Samples of sera coded RS1 and RS6 were obtained from animals reared free of <u>C. bovis</u>, while sera coded RS8 and RS10 were from animals orally infected with <u>T. saginata</u> eggs. All these samples were obtained from Edinburgh, Scotland, through the courtesy of Dr. P. Stevenson. Serum samples labelled M1 were collected at weekly intervals from a calf which had been reared at Muguga (Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, KARI). The calf had been orally infected with 50,000 eggs of <u>T. saginata</u> and a total of 8,630 <u>C. bovis</u> cysts were recovered from the animal at slaughter. These samples were obtained through the courtesy of Dr. J. Onyango-Abuje.

#### C: Analysis of the antigens

#### C:1 Immunodiffusion

The microtechnique of Ouchterlony double diffusion as described by Crowle (1973) was used with slight modifications. Briefly, the method was as follows: 1% (w/v) of purified Oxoid agar (Oxoid Ltd., England) in phosphate buffered saline pH 7.4 was used in the preparation of the media for double diffusion; 0.1% sodium azide was added as a preservative. Three millilitres of molten agar was poured on-

- 44

the microscope glass slides (25mm x 75mm) to give approximately a depth of 2mm. With a gel-puncher (Gelman Instrument Co., Ann Arbor Michigan, U.S.A.) wells of 4.0mm diameter and 5 mm apart were cut in hexagonal pattern with a central well. The agar in the well was removed by suction. The central well was filled with 15 ul of antiserum and each of the peripheral wells contained 15 ul of different antigens. This pattern was sometimes changed by placing the antigen in the centre well and the specific antisera in the peripheral wells.

The slides were then placed in a humid chamber and diffusion allowed to proceed for a maximum time of 48 hours at room temperature (25°C). The precipitin bands were read before and after staining as described in section C:3 for the crossed immunoelectrophoresis. Sometimes the plates were stained with Ponceau 'S'.

#### C:2 Immunoelectrophoresis

The presence of host components in <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>C. tenuicollis</u> antigen preparations was determined by the classical immunoelectrophoresis microtechnique of Grabar and Williams (1953) following the method described by Scheidegger (1955), with the following modifications: 15 mls of 1% (w/v) of Litex agarose (type HSA-Litex Glostrup, Denmark) in sodium barbital-calcium lactate buffer PH 8.6 and ionic strength 0.02 was used.
10 ul of the antigen was filled into the wells, and electrophoresis carried out at 10 v/cm for 60 minutes. The relevant antiserum was placed in the trough and diffusion allowed to take place for 48 hours. The precipitated bands were read after pressing, drying and staining as described for the crossed immunoelectrophoresis.

#### C:3 Crossed immunoelectrophoresis

The two dimensional immunoelectrophoresis was used in the characterisation of the various C. bovis antigens. The technique followed was that described by Weeke (1973) with slight modifications as follows: 1% (w/v) of Litex agarose in sodium barbital-calcium lactate buffer into which 0.05% Triton X100 (see appendix) was added was used in the first and second dimension electrophoresis. The gel was 1.5mm thick in the first dimension electrophoresis. The antigen well contained 15 ul of antigen. The first dimension electrophoresis was carried out for 60 minutes at 10 v/cm. The second dimension electrophoresis was carried on 50 x 50 mm glass plates using the same agarose type but mixed with 0.5 mls of antiserum to the final volume of 3 mls. The electrophoresis was carried out at 2 v/cm for 20 hours. The gel was pressed as described by Weeke (1973) and then washed in 3% (w/v) trisodium citrate (Koch Light Laboratories Colonbrook, Buckshire, England), pH 8.5 for 24 hours in order to remove the non-precipitated proteins. After washing, the plates were rinsed in distilled water, pressed, dried and stained with Coomassie brilliant blue for 40

minutes and destained until the background was clear.

#### C:4 Crossed immunoelectrophoresis with intermediate

#### gel

This method was used to demonstrate the monospecificity of the antisera prepared (described in Section B3:1:4). The technique followed was that described by Axelsen (1973) with the following modifications:- 0.2 mls of specific antiserum or normal serum in control plates was mixed with the same agarose type used in crossed immunoelectrophoresis to make the final volume of 1 ml. This was poured to make the intermediate gel. 0.5 mls of the reference antiserum was mixed with the same type of agarose to a final volume of 2 mls. This was poured cnto the plate as described by Axelsen (1973).

The second dimension electrophoresis was performed as described for the crossed immunoelectrophoresis. Pressing, washing, drying and staining was done in the same way as described for crossed immunoelectrophoresis.

# D: Isolation of the antigen used in solid-phase radioimmunoassay

The immunodiffusion results (Section 4) show that antigen 11 of <u>C. bovis</u> is common to <u>T. saginata</u>, <u>T. hydatigena</u> <u>C. cellulosae</u> and <u>C. tenuicollis</u>. Thus any of these parasites might be used as a source of the antigen.

Antigen <u>11</u> was prepared from <u>C. tenuicollis</u> cyst fluid obtained from goats, since it was easy to obtain this fluid in large quantities.

# D:1:1 Isolation of Antigen 11 from C. tenuicollis cyst fluid

The fluid was collected as described in Section A:3:3. One litre of the fluid was dialysed against 10 liters of 0.15M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4 for 18 hours. The dialysed fluid was centrifuged at 2,000 xg and the supernatant was concentrated 50 times by ultrafiltration through DIAFLO PM10 ultrafilter. An aliquot of the concentrated fluid was examined for the presence of Antigen 11 by immunodiffusion using the monospecific antiserum No.879.

The fluid was then circulated through the anti-whole goat serum immunoadsorbent column (Appendix 2) in order to remove the host components present in the cyst fluid. Aliquots of absorbed fluid were then tested for the presence of Antigen 11 as described above.

#### D:2 Further purification of Antigen 11

After the removal of host components the fluid was purified further by affinity chromatography using IgG fraction from the monospecific antiserum (antiserum 879).

#### D:2:1 Isolation of the IgG fraction from specific

#### antiserum against Antigen 11 (antiserum No.879)

An IgG fraction was prepared following the method described by Fey <u>et al</u>. (1976) with slight modifications as follows: An equal volume of 100% saturated ammonium sulphate was added slowly while stirring to an equal volume of antiserum. The mixture was left standing at room

temperature (25°C) for 15 minutes to equilibrate and centrifuged at 3,000 Xg for 30 minutes. The precipitate was washed twice with 35% saturated ammonium sulphate and dissolved in normal saline. The gammaglobulin fraction was dialysed against distilled water containing 0.02% sodium azide for 18 hours at 4°C and against 0.005M phosphate buffer pH 8 for 72 hours at 4°C. The dialysed gammaglobulin fraction was then passed through a diethylaminoethyl cellulose column (BioRad Laboratories, Richmond, California, U.S.A.) pre-equilibrated with 0.005M phosphate buffer pH 8. A stepwise elution was performed and the fraction obtained with 0.01M phosphate buffer pH 8 was collected. This fraction was concentrated by ultrafiltration using DIAFLO PM30 ultrafilter with a cut off point of 30,000 daltons.

The protein concentration of this fraction was determined following the method described by Lowry <u>et al.</u> (1951). The fraction was shown to contain only IgG by immunoelectrophoresis using rabbit anti-whole goat serum (antiserum No. 539).

#### D:2:2: Prepration of the affinity chromatography column

The concentrated IgG fraction obtained from the monospecific antiserum No.879 contained 12.86 mg protein per millilitre. This was coupled to CNBr-Sepharose to prepared an immunoadsorbent column as described in Section B:5:1.

The immunosorbent was washed with excess (10x volumes) 0.2M glycine/HC1 buffer pH 2.5 into which 10% 1,4-dioxane

(diethylene dioxide, Fisher Scientific Company, Fair Lawn, New Jersey) was added. The immunosorbent was then equilibrated with 0.1M borrate buffer pH 8 containing 0.5M sodium chloride and 0.02% sodium azide.

One hundred and twenty millilitre amounts of <u>C</u>. <u>tenuicollis</u> cyst fluid prepared as described in Section D:1:1 was mixed with the inmunosorbent for 18 hours at  $4^{\circ}$ C using an end over end mixer. The uncoupled proteins were washed out with excess borate buffer and then with saline. The bound antigen was eluted with 0.2M glycine/HCl buffer pH 2.5 containing 10% dioxane into tubes containing 1M phosphate buffer pH 8 to neutralize the acid. The eluate was then extensively (10x volumes) dialysed against PBS pH 7.4 at  $4^{\circ}$ C. The eluted antigen was concentrated 50 times by ultrafiltration through DIAFLO PM10 ultrafilter and the protein contents was determined by the method of Lowry <u>et</u> al. (1951).

The eluted antigen was examined by immunodiffusion using specific antiserum against Antigen <u>11</u> (antiserum No. 879) as well as antisera against <u>C. tenuicollis</u>, <u>T. saginata</u> and C. bovis (Antisera Nos. 888, 824, 154 and 140).

The absence of host components in the eluted antigen was determined by immunodiffusion using anti-whole goat serum (Antiserum No.539).

# E. Analysis and characterization of the antigen eluted from the affinity column

Analysis and characterization of the eluted antigen

was done by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in the presence of the detergent sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS-PAGE), and by isoelectric focusing.

SDS-PAGE can be used in the determination of molecular weights of proteins while iscelectric focusing is a useful preparative and analytical method for isolation of proteins based on their different iscelectric points (Weber and Osborn 1969; Dunker and Rucker 1969; Sargent and George 1975).

#### E.1. Preparation of SDS-PAGE

The method described by Weber and Osborn (1969) was used. Briefly, the polyacrylamide gel in which sodium dodecyl sulphate was added was prepared in the proportion recommended by Weber and Osborn (1969-Appendix 5).

The gel was allowed to polymerize for 15 minutes at room temperature and pre-equilibrated at 5mA per tube for 30 minutes. The samples and standards were prepared according to the method of Weber and Osborn (1969). These were applied to separate gels and electrophoresis performed at 8mA per tube for 4 hours. After electrophoresis the gels were removed from the tubes by squirting water from a syringe between the gel and glass wall. The gels were placed in test tubes containing a Coomassie brilliant blue staining solution at room temperature. The gels were destained after 18 hours in a destaining solution containing 7% acetic acid and 20% methanol (v/v) in distilled water.

# E:2 Further analysis of eluted antigen by isoelectric focusing

The eluted antigen showed five bands in SDS-PAGE analysis. It was therefore decided to further analyse the antigen by isoelectric focusing.

The isoelectric focusing was performed in the 110 mls (LKB 8100-1) column according to the manufacturer's recommendations (LKB Bromma, Sweden). Ampholine with a pH range between 3.5 to 10 was used to make the pH gradient (Appendix 6).

The sample of concentrated eluted antigen (7.2 mg protein per ml) was equilibrated by dialysis against 1% glycine (w/v,Merck Darmstadt, Germany) for 18 hours at  $4^{\circ}$ C. An equal volume of the antigen was then placed in the light and dense gradient solutions and the electrofocusing was performed at 1600v and 14mA for 18 hours at  $4^{\circ}$ C.

The different protein fractions were eluted and dialysed against excess (10 x volume) PBS pH 7.4 for 24 hours. These fractions were then concentrated to the original volume by ultrafiltration using DIAFLO PM10 ultrafilter and tested by immunodiffusion using Antigen <u>11</u> specific antiserum(No.879) and polyspecific antisera (Nos. 140, 154, 824 and 888). The inner membrane-scolex of <u>C. bovis</u> was used as the reference antigen.

The fractions which showed reactivity were those in the pH range between 4.14 and 6.22. These fractions were again analysed in SDS-PAGE, and two common bands were found to be present in all the fractions. It was, therefore,

decided to perform a narrow range isoelectric focusing on these fractions.

Ampholine with pH range between 4 and 6 was used to make the pH gradient and the **rest of** the procedure was as described for the wide range isoelectric focusing.

#### F. Detection of antibody response to Antigen 11

#### in cattle experimentally infected with C. bovis

A two step solid-phase radioimmunoassay was used in the evaluation of the usefulness of the antigen obtained from the isoelectric focusing column in the detection of antibodies in sera collected from experimentally infected animals.

## F.1 Determination of optimum dilution of antigen for the radioimmunoassay

The protein content of the antigen (isolated, purified and concentrated in section D and E) as determined by the method of Lowry <u>et al</u>. (1951) was 1.6 mg protein per ml.

A chequer board titration according to the method described by Kabat and Meyer (1967) was used to find the most suitable dilution of antigen for coating the plates. The preinfection and known positive sera (section B) were used in the titration. The dilution of antigen that gave the lowest titratable counts was 1:160 (10 ug protein/ml) but for subsequent assays the dilution chosen was 1:100 (16 ug protein/ml).

#### F:2 The assay

The solid-phase radioimmunoassay was performed as described by Tsu and Herzenberg (1980). Briefly, the method was as follows: Aliquots of 50 ul of diluted antigen (1:100) were added to each well of microtitre plate (Flow Laboratories, Rockville, U.S.A.) except those which contained the controls for the assay system. The plate was kept at room temperature for 1 hour and was then washed three times with radioimmunoassay buffer (Appendix 7). The plate was then filled with 200 ul of radioimmunoassay buffer and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature to saturate the freebinding sites in the wells. Thereafter, the buffer was drained off, 50 ul of test and control serum (diluted in tenfold dilutions from  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-6}$  in radioimmunoassay buffer) was added to each well and the incubation process repeated. The wells were then drained and washed as described above before the addition of 50 ul 125 I-labelled goat anti-bovine IgM or IgG,, containing 20,000 cpm (a gift from Dr. R.A. Masake of ILRAD). The plates were incubated for another hour at room temperature washed 3 times with radioimmunoassay buffer and dried by "throwing" the plate face down onto several layers of absorbent paper. The wells were cut apart with a hot wire, placed into the tubes and the bound radioactivity measured in a Packard 5360 Auto gamma Scintillation Spectrometer (Amanan Refrigeration Inc. Iowa, U.S.A.).

The controls for the assay are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: The controls for the radioimmunoassay

Control	Contents in the wells
Buffer controls (Background counts "B")	50 ul radioimmunoassay bulfer + 50 ul of
	125 <sub>I-labelled goat anti-bovine IgM or IgG<sub>1</sub></sub>
Serum controls	50 ul serum sample + 50 ul of <sup>125</sup> I-labelled antiserum
Antigen controls	50 ul antigen + 50 ul <sup>125</sup> I-labelled antiserum
Test samples	50 ul antigen + 50 ul test serum + 50 ul <sup>125</sup> I-labelled antiserum

#### F:3 Calculation of titres of antibodies in serum samples

All the tests were carried out in duplicate. The mean counts per minute were calculated and plotted against the dilutions of the serum samples.

The difference between the points of intercept of the background line by the titration curves of the controls and test serum gave the titre of the antibody for that sample (Fig.18).

RESULTS

.

#### 4: RESULTS

#### 4:1 Analysis of C. bovis antigens for the presence

#### of host components

Immunoelectrophoretic analyses (Figure 5 and 6) show that the <u>C. bovis</u> inner fluid and outer fluid fractions contain host components which migrate in the albumin, beta and gamma regions. Figure 7 shows that the host components present in the inner membrane-scolex of <u>C. bovis</u> mainly migrate in the albumin and gamma regions.

The presence of host components in <u>C. tenuicollis</u> fluid from both sheep and goats was also confirmed. Figure 8 shows that the host component in <u>C. tenuicollis</u> fluid (goat) have an electrophoretic mobility similar to those of <u>C. bovis</u> fractions, inner fluid and outer fluid; viz, the host components migrate in albumin beta, and gamma regions.

Using specific antiserum, the slow-migrating host component was shown to be gamma globulins belonging to immunoglobulin class IgG, while the fast-migrating host components belonged to albumin class since it had the same migration mobility as the reference bovine serum albumin.



Figure 5: Well A: Bovine serum Well B: Inner fluid (<u>C. bovis</u>) Trough T: Rabbit anti-bovine serum (Number 87).



Figure 6	: Well	A :	Bovine serum
	Well	B :	Outer fluid (C. bovis)
	Trough	Τ:	Rabbit anti-bovine serum (Number 87)



Figure 7: Well A : Bovine serum Well B : Inner membrane scolex (<u>C. bovis</u>) Trough T : Rabbit anti bovine serum (Number 87)



1.1

Figure	8:	Well A	:	Goat serum
		Well B	:	C. tenuicollis (goat)
		Trough T	:	Rabbit anti goat serum

#### 4:2 Characterisation of various antisera and antigens

The reactions between the antisora prepared in this study and the various antigens were examined using the Ouchterlony double diffusion technique. All antisera were absorbed prior to use as shown in Appendix 2.

The following relationships were studied (i) The relationship between antigenic extracts of <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u>. (ii) The relationship between <u>C.bovis</u>, T. saginata and various parasites described.

These reactions are summarised for each antiserum in Tables 4 to 14. The precipitin lines were given arbitrary numbers, starting with the one nearest to the well containing the antiserum. For each set of tables the number given for a precipitin line represents the same antigenic component.

Antiserum against the precipitin line formed between anti-<u>T. saginata</u> (Antiserum No.824) and <u>C.bovis</u> inner membrane-scolex (see Section B:4:1) was characterized by two dimensional immunoelectrophoresis. The antigenic component detected by this antiserum was defined as component number <u>11</u>, using a reference pattern described in Section 4:3:1. This antiserum reacted only with one antigenic component (Antigen <u>11</u>) in extracts from <u>T. saginata</u>. <u>C. bovis, T. hydatigena, C. tenuicollis</u> and <u>C. cellulosze</u> and did not react with extracts from the rest of the parasites tested (Table Nos. 4 to 14).

4:2:1 Immunodiffusion results obtained with rabbit anti-C. boyis Inner membrane-scolex (Antiserum 140/158)

The precipitin reactions observed with this antiserum are summarized in Tables 4a and 4b.

The antiserum (Table 4a) detected five components components in the homologous antigen (inner membranescolex). Of these only three (1,4 and 11) were found to be present in the inner and outer fluid of <u>C. bovis</u>. Two of these components (1 and 11) showed complete identity with antigenic components of <u>T. saginata</u> whole worm extract. The third component (4) present in the inner membrane-scolex extract showed identity with the inner and outer fluid of C. bovis only:

<u>T. saginata</u> extracts also showed one component (No.2) which was shared with the inner membrane-scolex extracts only and was not present in the inner and outer fluid of <u>C. bovis</u>. There was another component which was present only in the inner membrane-scolex.

Figure 9 shows the antigenic relationship between C. bovis and T. saginata antigenic extracts.

Table	4a:	Precipitin reactions o	observed	with A	ntisera
		Nos, 140/158 and 879.			

Antigens

	Number 140/158	Number 879	
Inner membrane-scolex (C. bovis)	1, 2, 4, 5, <u>11</u>	11	

Antiserum

Table 4a (Cont'd)

ANTIGENS		ANTISERUM			
		Number 140/158	Number 879		
Inner	fluid ( <u>C.bovis</u> )	1, 4, <u>11</u>	1).		
Outer	fluid ( <u>C.bovis</u> )	1, 4, <u>11</u>	<u>11</u>		
Outer	membrane( <u>C.bovis</u> )	-	-		
<u>T. sa</u>	ginata	1, 2, <u>11</u>	<u>11</u>		

= No precipitin lines observed.



Figure 9:	Well A:	Pooled rabbit anti-C. bovis (antiserum
		140/158)
	Well 1:	Inner membrane-scolex (C. bovis)
	Well 2:	Inner fluid (C. bovis)
	Well 3:	Outer fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )
	Well 4:	Inner membrane-scolex ( <u>C. bovis</u> )
	Well 5:	Outer membrane ( <u>C. bovis</u> )
	Well 6:	T. saginata

Table 4b (cont'd) Precipitin reactions with other

parasite extracts

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM			
	No. 879	No.140/158		
<u>F. gigantica</u> (cattle)	-	-		
Paramphistomum spp. (cattle)	-	2		
Paramphistomum spp. (goat)	-	2		
<u>S. mansoni</u>	-	-		
<u>H. contortus</u> (cattle)	-	-		
Trichuris spp. (cattle)	-			
Oesophagostomum spp. (cattle)	-	2		
<u>T. brucei</u>	-	-		
<u>A. suum</u> (pig)	-	-		
C. cellulosae	11	2, 11		

- = No precipitin lines observed.

\*

4.2.2. Immunodiffusion results obtained with rabbit anti-C.bovis scolices (Antiserum No.79)

Table 5a shows the precipitin reactions obtained with Antiserum No.79. This antiserum detected five antigenic components in the inner membrane-scolex as illustrated in Figure 10. Three of these components (1,2, and 11) showed identity with the inner and outer fluid of C. bovis

Four of the five components of <u>C. bovis</u> were also present in T. saginata extracts including Antigen 11.

Table 5a Precipitin reactions observed with rabbit anti-

C. bovis scolices (Antiserum 79) as compared to Antiserum No.879.

This table shows precipitin reactions with <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata extracts</u>.

ANTIGENS	AN	TISERUM
	Number 79	Number 879
Inner membrane-scolex (C. bovis)	1,2,4,5, <u>11</u>	<u>11</u>
Inner fluid (C. bovis)	1,2, <u>11</u> .	11
Outer fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	1,2, <u>11</u>	11
Outer membrane ( <u>C.bovis</u> )		-
T. saginata	1,2,4,11	11

- = No precipitin lines observed.



Figure 10:	Well A:	Pooled rabbit anti- <u>C.bovis</u> scolices
		(Antiserum No.79).
	Well 1:	Inner membrane scolex (C. bovis)
	Well 2:	Inner fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )
	Well 3:	Outer fluid (C. bovis)
	Well 4:	Inner membrane scolex (C. bovis)
	Well 5:	Outer membrane( <u>C. bovis</u> )
	Well 6:	T. saginata

Table 5b shows reactions between Antiserum Number 79 and extracts of various parasites.

Antiserum No.79 was able to detect Antigen <u>11</u> in <u>T.hydatigena</u>, <u>C. tenuicollis</u> and <u>C. cellulosae</u>. This antiserum also identified another antigen (Number 2) which was present in <u>C. bovis</u> and the following parasites: <u>C. tenuicollis</u>, <u>C. cerebralis</u>, hydatid cyst fluid, <u>S. hepatica</u>, <u>F. gigantica, Paramphistomum</u> spp, <u>Oesophagostomum</u> spp and C. cellulosae.

This antiserum also detected Antigen No.1 in C. cellulosae.

# Table 5b: Precipitin reactions between Antisera Nos. 879

and 79 with other parasite extracts

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM		
	No.879	No. 79	
T. hydatigena	11	11	
C. tenuicollis fluid (goats)	11	2, <u>11</u>	
C. tenuicollis fluid (sheep)	11	2, <u>11</u>	
C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex (goat)	11	2,_11	
C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex (sheep)	11	2, <u>11</u>	
C. cerebralis fluid (goat)		2	
C. cerebralis (membrane & scolices)		2	
Hydatid cyst fluid (cattle)		2	
Moniczia spp (cattle)		2	

= No precipitin lines observed.

Table 5b (cont'd)

Precitin reactions observed between Antisera Nos. 879 and 79 with other parasite extracts

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM	
	No.879	No.79
Moniezia spp (goat/sheep)		2
S. hepatica (goat)		2
C. fasciolaris (mice)	_	-
F. gigantica (cattle)		2
Paramphistomum spp. (cattle)	_	2
Paramphistomum spp. (goat)		
S. mansoni		-
H. contortus (cattle)	-	-
Trichuris spp. (cattle)	-	
Oesophagostomum spp. (cattle)	-	-
T. brucei	-	-
A. suum (pig)	-	-
C. cellulosae	11	1,2,1

= No precipitin lines observed.

Table 6b: Precipitin reactions observed betw	ween Antisera	
----------------------------------------------	---------------	--

No. 879 and 846 with other parasite extracts.

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM	
	No. 879	No.346
T. hydatigena	11	11
C. tenuicollis fluid (goat)	11	11
C. tenuicollis fluid (sheep)	11	11
C. tenuicollis (membrane-scolex) (goat)	11	11
C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex (sheep)	11	11
C. cerebralis fluid (goat)	-	-
C. cerebralis (goat membrane & scolices)	-	-
Hydatid cyst fluid (cattle)	-	-
Hydatid cyst fluid (sheep)	-	-
Moniezia spp (cattle)	-	-
Moniezia spp (goat/sheep)	-	-
S. hepatica (goat)		
C. fasciolaris (mice)	-	-
F. gigantica (cattle)	-	

- = No precipitin lines observed.

# Table 6b (cont'd)Precipitin reactions observed betweenAntisera Nos. 879 and 846 with otherparasite extracts

ANTIGENS	ANTI	SERUM
	No.879	No.846
Paraphistomum spp (cattle)	_	-
Paramphistomum spp (goat)	-	
S. mansoni	-	
<u>H. contortus</u> (cattle)		
Trichuris spp. (cattle)		
Oesophagostomum spp. (cattle)	-	
T. brucei		-
A. suum (pig)		-
C. cellulosae	11	11

## 4.2.4. Immunodiffusion results obtained with rabbit anti-Inner. fluid C. bovis (Antiserum No. 146).

Antiserum No. 146 shows four antigenic components with the homologous antigen, the inner fluid of C. bovis.

Two of these components are shared with inner membrane scolex, Outer fluid and <u>T. saginata</u>. One of these components is Antigen 11 and shows complete identity.

There is another component (No.3) which is shared between Inner membrane-scolex and Inner fluid, while the fourth component (No.4) is present only in the Inner fluid (Table 7a). Table 7a:Precipitin reactions observed with rabbit antiInner fluid C. bovis (Antiserum 146) as comparedto Antiserum No.879.

This table shows precipitin reactions with <u>C. bovis</u> and T. saginata extracts.

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM		
	No. 146	No.879	
Inner fluid ( <u>C.bovis</u> )	1,3,4, <u>11</u>	11	
Inner membrane-scolex ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	1,3,11	11	
Outer fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	1, <u>11</u>	11	
Outer membrane (C. bovis)	-	-	
T. saginata	1, <u>11</u>	11	

- No precipitin line observed.

This antiserum was also able to detect Antigen Number 3 which was shared between <u>C. bovis</u> and the following parasite extracts: <u>T. hydatigena</u>, <u>C. tenuicollis</u>, <u>C. cerebralis</u>, <u>Oesophagostomum</u> spp. and <u>C. cellulosae</u>. Antigen <u>11</u> was detected only in <u>C. cellulosae</u>, <u>T. hydatigena</u> and <u>C</u>. tenuicollis (Table 7b).

Table 7b:Precipitin reactions observed between AntiseraNos.879 and 146 with other parasite extracts.

	ANTIGENS	ANTISE	ANTISERUM	
		No.879	No.146	
T. hydatigena		11	3, 11	

# Table 7b (cont'd) Precipitin reaction observed between Antisera Nos.879 and 146 with other

Parasite extracts

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM	
	No.879	No.146
C. tenuicollis fluid (goat)	11	3, 11
C. tenuicollis fluid (sheep)	11	3, 11
<u>C. tenuicollis</u> membrane-scolex (goat)	11	3, 11
C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex (sheep)	11	3, <u>11</u>
C. cerebralis fluid (goat)	511	3
<u>C. cerebrallis (membrane-scolices)</u>	-	3
Hydatid cyst fluid (cattle)	-	-
Hydatid cyst fluid (goat/sheep)	-	-
Moniezia spp. (cattle	-	-
Moniezia spp. (goat/sheep)	ine .	-
S. hepatica (goat)	-	-
C. fasciolaris (mice)	_	

- = No precipitin lines observed.

Table 7b (cont'd): Precipitin reactions observed between Antisera Nos.879 and 146 with other parasite extracts

ANTIGENS	ANTIS	ERUM
	No.879	No.146
<u>F. gigantica</u> (cattle)	-	-
Paramphistomum spp. (cattle)	-	-
Paramphistomum spp. (goat)	-	
S. mansoni	84	in .
H. contortus (cattle)	-	010
Trichuris spp. (cattle)		-
Oesophagostomum spp. (cattle)	_	3
T. brucei	_	-
A. suum (pig)	_	-
C. cellulosae	11	11

= No precipitin lines observed.

4:2.5: Immunodiffusion results obtained with calf anti-Inner fluid of C. bovis (Antiserum No.835)

This antiserum detected two antigenic components in the homologous antigen(s). One of these components was Antigen <u>11</u> which was common to Outer fluid, Inner membranescolex and T. saginata extracts.

The other component (Number 2) was common to Inner membrane-scolex and Inner fluid (Table 8a).

```
Table 8a: Precipitin reactions observed with calf anti-
Inner fluid (Antiserum No.835) as compared
To Antiserum No.879.
```

This table shows the precipitin reactions with  $\underline{C. \text{ bovis}}$ and  $\underline{T. \text{ saginata extracts.}}$ 

ANTIGENS	ANTISE	RUM
	No.835	No.879
Inner fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	2, 11	11
Inner membrane scolex ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	2, <u>11</u>	11
Outer fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	11	11
Outer membrane ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	-	
<u>T. saginata</u>	11	11

- = No precipitin lines observed.

On further examination, antiserum No.835 was found to detect Antigen Number 2 in the following parasite extracts: C. cerebralis, Oesophagostomum spp. and Moniezia spp.

The antiserum was also able to detect Antigen 11 in C. cellulosae, C. tenuicollis and T. hydatigena.

# Table 8b:Precipitin reactions observed between AntiseraNos. 835 and 879 with other parasite extracts

ANTIGENS	ANTIS	ANTISERUM	
	No.835	No.879	
T. hydatigena	11	11	
C. tenuicollis fluid (goat)	11	11	
C. tenuicollis fluid (sheep)	11	11	
C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex (goat)	11	11	
C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex (sheep)	11	11	
C. cerebralis fluid (goat)	2		
C. cerebralis membrane and Scolices	2		
Hydatid cyst fluid (cattle)		_	
Hydatid cyst fluid (goat/sheep)	-	-	
Moniezia spp. (cattle)	2	-	
Moniezia spp. (goat/sheep)	2		
S. hepatica (goat)	-	619	
C. fasciolaris (mice)		-	

No precipitin lines observed.

Table 8b (cont'd)

Precipitin reactions observed between Antisera Nos. 835 and 879 with other parasite extracts

ANTIGEN ANTISERUM		ISERUM
	No.835	No.879
F. gigantica (cattle	-	
Paramphistomum spp. (cattle)		
Paramphistomum spp. (goat)	ano.	-
S. mansoni	-	-
H. contortus (cattle)	10	-
Trichuris spp. (cattle)	-	-
Oesophagostomum spp. (cattle)	-	-
A. suum (pig)		-
C. cellulosae	· <u>11</u>	11

- = No precipitin lines observed.

# 4:2:6 Immunodiffusion results obtained with calf anti-Outer fluid <u>C. bovis</u> (Antiserum Number 118)

Antiserum number 118 shows three antigenic components in the homologous antigen, the Outer fluid (<u>C. bovis</u>). Two of these components (Number 4 and <u>11</u>) are shared with <u>T. saginata extracts</u>, Inner membrane-scolex and Inner fluid (<u>C. bovis</u>). Component Number 1 was also common to other fractions of C. bovis (Table 9a). Table 9a:Precipitin reactions observed with calf<br/>anti-Outer fluid C. bovis (Antiserum No.118)as compared to Antiserum No.879

This table shows precipitin reactions with  $\underline{C}$ , bovis and T. saginata.

ANTIGEN	ANTISERUM	
	No.118 No.8	379
Outer fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	1, 4, <u>11</u> <u>1</u>	<u></u>
Outer membrane ( <u>C. bovis</u> )		
Inner fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	1, 4, <u>11</u>	11
Inner membrane scolex (C. bovis)	2, 4, <u>11</u>	11
T. saginata	4, <u>11</u>	11

No precipitin lines observed.

Antiserum No.118 was also able to detect component No.4 in the following parasite extracts: <u>C. cerebralis</u>, hydatid cyst fluid, <u>Oesophagostomum</u> spp., <u>C. tenuicollis</u> and T.hydatigena (Table 9b)

This antiserum was also able to detect component number 11 in T. hydatigena, C. tenuicollis and C. cellulosae.

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM	
	No.118	No.879
T. hvdatigena	<u>11,</u> 4	11
C. tenuicollis fluid (goat)	4, <u>11</u>	11
C. tenuicollis fluid (sheep)	4, 11	11
C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex (goat)	4, <u>11</u>	11
C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex (sheep)	4, <u>11</u>	11
C. cerebralis fluid (goat)	4	_
C. cerebralis membrane scolices	4	-
<u>Hydatid</u> cyst fluid (cattle)	4	-
Hydatid cyst fluid (Sheep/goat)	4	
Moniezia spp. (cattle)	_	-
Moniezia spp. (goat/sheep)		475
S.hepatica (goat)		-
C. fageiolaris	-	

Nos. 118 and 879 with other parasite extracts

- = No precipitin lines observed.

Table 9b: Precipitin reactions observed between Antisera

Table 9b (cont'd)

Precipitin reactions observed between Antisera Nos.118 and 879 with other parasite extracts

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM	
	No.118	No.879
F. gigantica (cattle)		-
Paramphistomum spp. (cattle)		
Paramphistomum spp. (goat)	-	-
S. mansoni		-
H. contortus (cattle)	-	gan.
Trichuris spp. (cattle)	-	
Oesophagostomum spp. (cattle)	4	-
T. brucei	·•• -	-
A. suum (pig)		-
C. cellulosae	11	11

- = No precipitin lines observed.

## 4:2:7 Immunodiffusion results obtained with calf anti-T. saginata (Antiserum number 900)

Antiserum 900 detected two antigenic components in the homologous antigen <u>T. saginata</u> whole worm extract. One of this component was Antigen <u>11</u> and this was common to Inner membrane-scolex, Inner and Outer fluid <u>C. bovis</u>.

The other component(No.2) was common to Inner membrane-scolex and T. saginata (Table 10a) Table 10a: Precipitin reactions observed with calf anti-T. saginata (Antiserum No.900) as compared to Antiserum No.879

This table shows precipitin reaction with <u>C. bovis</u> and T. saginata extracts.

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM	
	No.900	No.879
T. saginata	2, <u>11</u>	11
Inner membrane scolex ( <u>C. bovi</u> s)	2, <u>11</u>	11
Inner fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	<u>11</u>	11
Outer fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	11	11
Outer membrane ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	_0	_

= No precipitin lines observed.

Antiserum Number 900 was also able to detect antigen Number 2, in the following parasite extracts: <u>C. tenuicollis</u> <u>T. hydatigena</u>, <u>C. cerebralis</u>, Hydatid cyst fluid, <u>moniezia</u> spp., <u>S. hepatica</u>, <u>Desophagostomum</u> spp. and <u>C. cellulosae</u>.

This antiserum was also able to detect component Number <u>11</u> in <u>T. hydatigena</u>, <u>C. tenuicollis</u> and <u>C. cellulosae</u> (Table 10b). 
 Table 10b.
 Precipitin reactions observed between Antisera

ANTIGENS	ANT	ANTISERUM	
	No.900	No.879	
<u>T. hydatigena</u>	2, 11	11	
C. tenuicollis fluid (goat)	2, 11	11	
C. tenuicollis fluid (sheep)	2, 11	11	
C. cerebralis fluid (goat)	2	ber	
<u>C. tenuicollis</u> membrane-scolex (goat)	2, 11	<u>11</u>	
C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex (sheep)	2, <u>11</u>	11	
<u>C. cerebralis</u> membrane scolices	2	-	
Hydatid cyst fluid (cattle)	2	-	
Hydatid cyst fluid (sheep/goat)	2	-	
Moniezia spp. (cattle)	2	-	
Moniezia spp. (goat/sheep)	2	-	
S. hepatica spp. (goat)	2	-	
<u>C. fasciolaris</u> (mice)	-	-	

Nos. 900'and 879 with other parasite extracts

No precipitin line observed.
Table 10b (continued)

Precipitin reactions observed between Antisera Nos.900 and 879 with other extracts.

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM		
	No. 900 No.879		
F. gigantica (cattle)			
Paramphistomum spp. (cattle)			
Paramphistomum spp. (goat)			
S. mansoni	944 60		
H. contortus			
Trichuris spp. (cattle)			
<u>Oesophagostomum</u> spp. (cattle)	2 -		
T. brucei			
A. suum (pig)	ting time		
<u>C. cellulosae</u>	2, <u>11</u> <u>11</u>		

= No precipitin lines observed.

## 4:2:8 Immunodiffusion results obtained with goat anti-T. saginata (Antiserum Number 824)

This antiserum shows three antigenic components in the homologous antigens. One of these components is Antigen <u>11</u> and it shows complete identity with antigenic components in the lnner fluid, Outer fluid and Inner membrane-scolex <u>C. bovis</u> (Table 11a).

Another component (Number 2) shows partial identity with Inner membrane-scolex, Inner and Outer fluid (Figure 11).

Table 11a:Precipitin reactions observed with goat anti-<br/>T.saginata(Antiserum No.824)as compared to<br/>Antiserum No.879.

This table shows precipitin reactions with <u>C. bovis</u> and T. saginata extracts.

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM		
	No.824	No.879	
<u>T. saginata</u>	1,2, <u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	
Inner membrane scolex ( <u>C.bovis</u> )	2, <u>11</u>	11	
Inner fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	2, <u>11</u>	11	
Outerfluid (C. bovis)	2, <u>11</u>	11	
Outer membrane ( <u>C. bovis</u> )		-	



Figure 1	1	8
----------	---	---

Well A: Pooled goat anti-<u>T. saginata</u> (Antiserum No. 824).
Well 1: <u>T. saginata</u>.
Well 2: Inner fluid. (<u>C. bovis</u>)
Well 3: Inner membrane scolex. (<u>C. bovis</u>)
Well 4: <u>T. saginata</u>.
Well 5: Outer fluid. (<u>C. bovis</u>)
Well 6: Outer membrane (<u>C. bovis</u>)

This antiserum could also detect component number 1, which was common to the following parasite extracts:-<u>C. cerebralis, Moniezia spp., S. hepatica, H. contortus,</u> Trichuris spp., Oesophagostomum spp. and C. cellulosae.

Antigen 11 could also be detected by this antiserum in <u>T. hydatigena</u>, <u>C. tenuicollis</u> and <u>C. cellulosae</u>. There was another component (Number 2) which could be detected in <u>C. celluosae</u> (Table 11b;).

Table 11 b: Precipitin reactions observed between Antisera

ANTIGEN	ANTISERUM		
	No.824	No.879	
T.hydatigena	11	11	
C. tenuicollis fluid (goat)	11	11	
C. tenuicollis fluid (sheep)	11	11	
C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex (goat)	11	11	
C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex (sheep)	11	11	
C. cerebralis fluid (goat)	1		
C. cerebralis membrane scolices	1	-	
Hydatid cyst fluid (cattle)		-	
Hydatid cyst fluid (sheep/goat)	-	14	
Moniezia spp. (cattle)	1		

Nos. 824 and 879 with other parasite extracts

No precipi in lines observed.

# Table 11b (cont'd) Precipitin reactions observed with

Antisera Nos.824 and 879 with other

|--|

ANTIGEN	ANTI	ANTISERUM	
	No.824	No.879	
Moniezia spp. (goat/sheep)	1	-	
S. hepatica	-	-	
C. fasciolaris (mice)	-	-	
F. gigantica (cattle)	-	-	
Paramphistomum spp. (cattle	-	-	
Paramphistomum spp. (goat)	-	-	
S. Mansoni	-	-	
H. contortus (cattle	1	-	
Trichuris spp. (cattle)	1	-	
Cescphagostomum spp. (cattle)	1	-	
T. brucei	-	-	
A. suum (pig)	-	-	
C. cellulosae	1,2,1	<u>11</u>	

= No precipitin lines observed.

4:2:9 Immunodiffusion results obtained with rabbit anti-T. saginata (Antiserum number 154)

The preciptin reactions observed with this antiserum are summarised in Tables 12a and 12b.

This antiserum showed four antigenic components in the homologous antigen (T. saginata). Two of these components show complete identity with C. bovis Inner membrane-scolex, Inner and Outer fluid. The other two components (number 3 and 4) showed partial identity with Inner membrane-scolex (Fig.12).

Using monospecific antiserum (Number 879) one of the components showing complete identity was found to be Antigen J.1.

```
Table 12a:Precipitin reactions observed with rabbit anti-<br/>T.saginata (Antiserum No.154) as compared to<br/>Antiserum No.879
```

This Table shows precipitin reactions with C. bovis and T. saginata extracts.

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM		
	No.154	No.879	
T. saginata	1,3,4, <u>11</u>	11	
Inner membrane scolex ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	1,3,4, <u>11</u>	11	
Inner fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	1, 11	11	
Outer fluid (C. bovis)	11	11	
Outer membrane (C. bovis)		ente	



Figure 12: Well A: Pooled rabbit anti-T. saginata (Antiserum 154).

Well 1	L:	T. saginata
Well 2	2:	Inner fluid (C. bovis)
Well 3	3:	Inner membrane-scolex (C. bovis)
Well 4	4:	T. saginata
Well S	5:	Outer fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )
Well 6	6:	Outer membrane ( <u>C. bovis</u> )

Table 12b shows the summary of the precipitin reactions obtained between Antiserum Number 154 and the other parasite extracts. It can be seen that the following parasites share antigenic components with <u>T. saginata: T.hydatigena</u>, <u>C. tenuicollis, Oesophagostomum spp. H. contortus</u>, <u>F.gigantica, Paramphistomum spp., Moniezia spp., S. hepatica</u>, C. cerebralis and C. cellulosae.

Figure 13 shows that one component(Number 4)in <u>T. saginata</u> is shared with <u>F. gigantica</u> and <u>C. cerebralis</u> fluid. On further examination this component was found to be present in <u>Moniezia</u>, spp, <u>C. cellulosae</u>, <u>S. hepatica</u> and <u>Paramphisto</u>mum spp.

Using monospecific Antiserum to Antigen 11, this antigen was identified in <u>C. cellulosae, T. hydatigena</u> and <u>C. tenuicollis</u>.

# Table 12b.Precipitin reactions observed between AntiseraNos.154 and 879 with other parasite extracts

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM	
	No. 154	No. 879
T. hydatigena	4,3, <u>11</u>	11
C. tenuicollis fluid (goat)	4,3,11	11
C.tenuicollis fluid (sheep)	4,3, <u>11</u>	11
<u>C. tenuicollis membrane-scolex</u> (sheep)	4, 11	11
<u>C. tenuicollis</u> membrane-scolex (goat)	4, 11	<u>11</u>

Table 12(b) (Cont'd)

Precipitin reactions observed between Antisera Nos.154 and 879 with other parasite extracts

ANTIGEN	ANTISERUM	
	No. 154	No. 879
<u>C. cerebralis</u> fluid (goat)	4	-
C. cerebralis membrane & scolices	4,3	iler.
Hydatid cyst fluid (cattle)	-	-
Hydatid cyst fluid (sheep/goat)	01m	daa
Moniezia spp. (cattle)	4,3	-
Moniezia spp. (goat/sheep)	4,3	4000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 - 1000 -
S. hepatica (goat)	4	
<u>C. fasciolaris</u> (mice)	-	-
F. gigantica (cattle)	4	-
Paramphistomum spp. (cattle)	4	in a
Paramphistomum spp. (goat)	4	_
<u>S. mansoni</u>	-	_
<u>H. contortus</u> (cattle)	3	195-A
Trichuris spp. (cattle)	-	-
Oesophagostomum spp (cattle	3	
T. brucei	-	
A. suum (pig)	_	-
C. cellolosae	3,4,11	11

- - No precipitin lines observed.



## Figure 13: Well A: Pooled rabbit anti-T. saginata (Antiserum 154)

Well	1:	<u>T. saginata</u>
Well	2:	C. fasciolaris
Well	3:	C. tenuicollis
Well	4:	Hydatid cyst fluid
Well	5:	C. cerebralis (fluid)
Well	6:	F. gigantica

4.2.10. Immunodiffusion results obtained with goat anti-C. tenuicollis (Antiserum Number 888)

This antiserum shows four antigenic components in the homologous antigen (Table 13a).

Two of these components were shared with the Inner membrane-scolex of <u>C. bovis</u>. One of the components was identified as Antigen <u>11</u> and was found to be present in <u>T. saginata</u>, Inner and Outer fluid of C. bovis (Table 13a).

<u>C. tenuicollis</u> antigens also shared components 3 and 4 with the Inner fluid of C. bovis.

Table 13a: Precipitin reactions observed with goat anti-

C. tenuicollis (antiserum No.888) as compared to Antiserum No.879.

This table shows precipitin reactions with  $\underline{C.$  Dovis and T. saginata extracts.

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM		
	No.888 No.8	379	
C. tenuicollis (goat)	1,3,4, <u>11</u> 1	. <u>1</u>	
<u>C. tenuicollis</u> (sheep)	1 3,4, <u>11</u> 1	1	
Inner fluid ( <u>C.bovis</u> )	1,3,4 11 1	.1	
Inner membrane scolex (C.bovis)	<u>1, 11</u>	11	
Outer fluid (C. <u>bovis</u> )	11 1	.1	
T. saginata	<u>11</u> <u>1</u>	.1	

No precipitin lines observed.

Using this antiserum the following parasite extracts were shown to share antigenic components with <u>C. tenuicollis:- C. cerebralis, Hydatid cyst fluid</u> <u>Moniezia spp, S. hepatica, F. gigantica, Paramphistomum spp.</u> <u>S. mansoni, H. contortus, Oesophagostomum spp and C. cellulosae</u>.

None of these parasites except <u>C</u>. <u>cellulosae</u> showed Antigen <u>11</u> reactivity when the monospecific antiserum (No.879) was used. Antigen number 1 and 3 showed reactivity only with cestodes while Antigen number 4 was common to a wide variety of parasites (Table 13b).

Table 13b:Precipitin reactions observed between AntiseraNos. 888 and 879 with other parasite extracts

ANTIGENS	ANTISERUM				
	No. 888	No.879			
C. cerebralis fluid (goat)	1	-			
C. cerebralis membrane scolices	1, 3	-			
Hydatid cyst fluid (cattle)	1				
Hydatid cyst fluid (goat/sheep)	1	4			
Moniezia spp. (cattle)	3	-			
Moniezia spp. (goat/sheep)	3	-			
S. hepatica (goat)	3	-			
C. fasciolaris (mice)	-	-			
F. gigantica	4				

No precipitin lines observed,

Table 13b (cont'd)	Precipitin rea	actions obser	ved between
	Antisera Nos.8	388 and 879 w	ith other
	parasite extra	acts	
ANTIGENS	5	ANTISE	RUM
		No.888	No, 879
Paramphistomum spp.	(cattle)	3	star
Paramphistomum spp	(goat)	3	-
S. mansoni		3	-
H. contortus (cattle	9)	4	-
Oesophagostomum spp	(cattle)	4	đer
Trichuris spp. (cat	tle)	*	dan
T. brucei		-	-
A. suum (pig)		000	-
<u>C. cellulosae</u>		4, <u>11</u>	11

No precipitin lines observed,

-

# 4.2.11. Synopsis of the antigenic relationship between <u>C. bovis, T. saginata</u> and other parasites.

The results of the immunodiffusion tests have been summarised in Tables 14a and 14b. A positive sign (+) has been used to indicate one precipitin line (i.e. a single antigenic component) as it has not been possible to assign a specific number to all precipitin lines. Reactions of identity have been recorded wherever possible with the use of several antisera in the same immunodiffusion test (Section C).

Table 14a summarises the precipitin reactions observed with <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> using various antisera prepared in the course of this study.

Antisera prepared in rabbits gave more precipitin lines than those prepared in calves. Rabbit antisera showed at least three common components between <u>C.bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> One of these components was common to a wide range of parasites (Table 14b), while the other two components showed restricted cross reactions. One of these two components was identified as Antigen <u>11</u> using an antiserum prepared specifically against this antigen.

Antigen 11 of <u>C</u>. bovis was shown to be present in <u>C. cellulosae</u>, <u>C. tenuicollis</u>, <u>T. hydatigena</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> (Figure 14). The third component was shown to be restricted to <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> only. and may therefore be species specific.

Antisera prepared in calves and goats showed two components common to C. bovis and T. saginata. One of these two components was shown to be Antigen 11 using

Antiserum No.879. The other component was shown to be identical to the widely occurring cross-reacting components detected by rabbit antisera (Table 14b). It was noted that calf anti-Inner membrane-scolex of <u>C. bovis</u> (Antiserum No.846) detected only Antigen <u>11</u>, despite the fact that this calf had been extensively immunized over a period of 18 months with the whole homogenate of Inner membranescolex of <u>C. bovis</u>. This may suggest that Antigen <u>11</u> is the principal antigen in <u>C. bovis</u> which might be capable of eliciting an immune response also in naturally infected animals. This is important since the choice of an antigen suitable for immunodiagnostic purposes will depend on whether the antigen chosen elicits an immune response in animals during natural infection.

Table 14a: A summary of precipitin reactions observed with all the antisera

Precipitin reactions observed with C. bovis and T. saginata extracts are summarised in this table.

ANTISERUM ANTIGENS	Inner membrane scolex ( <u>C.bovis</u> )	Inner fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	Outer fluid ( <u>C.bovis</u> )	Outer membrane ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	<u>T. saginata</u>
Pooled rabbit anti <u>C.bovis</u> (inner membrane scolex- antiserum No.140/158)	+ + + + +	÷ + +	+ + +	_	÷ + +
Pooled rabbit anti <u>C.bovis</u> Scolices (No.79)	+ + + + +	+ + +	+ + +	-	÷ + + +
Pooled calf anti <u>C.bovis</u> (inner membrane scolex No.846)	+	+	+	-	+
Pooled calf anti inner fluid C. bovis (No.835)	+ +	+ +	+	_	+ +
Pooled rabbit anti inner fluid (No. 146)	+ + +	+ + + +	+ +	-	+ ÷
Pooled calf anti outer fluid (No. 118)	+ + + +	+ + +	+ + +	-	+ + -
Pooled rabbit anti <u>T. saginata</u> (No.154)	+ + + +	+ +	+	-	+ + + +
Pooled calf anti T.saginata (No.900)	+ +	+	+	-	+ +

+ = The number of positive signs corresponds to the number of precipitin lines observed in immunodiffusion.

- = No precipitin line observed in immunodiffusion

Table 14a: (cont'd) Precipitin reactions with C. bovis and T. saginata extracts

ANTISERUM ANTIGENS	Inner membrane scolex ( <u>C.bovis</u> )	Inner fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	Outer fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	Outer membrane ( <u>C. bovis</u> )	T. saginata
Pooled goat anti <u>T. saginata</u> (antiserum No.824)	<b>+</b> +	+ +	+ +	-	+ + +
Pooled goat anti- serum (No.879) specific for component No.11	+	+	+	-	+

- Thε number of positive signs corresponds to the number of precipitin lines observed in immunodiffusion
- No precipition line observed in immunodiffusion



#### Figure 14: Well A: Goat anti-Antigen 11 Serum (No.879).

- Well 1: C. cellulosae.
- Well 2: C. tenuicollis
- Well 3: T. saginata
- Well 4: C. cellulosae
- Well 5: Inner fluid (C. bovis)
- Well 6: Inner membrane-scolex (C. bovis)

Table 14b summarises the precipitin reactions observed between all antisera and various other parasites.

It is important to note that antisera prepared against <u>T. saginata and C. bovis</u> showed precipitin reactions not only with closely related cestodes like <u>Moniezia</u> spp and hydatid cyst antigens but also with trematodes such as <u>F. gigantica</u> and nematodes such as <u>Oesophagostomum</u> spp. This is an important point in the choice of antigen for immunodiagnosis since the most reactive antigen may be one which occurs widely in other parasites. Therefore, the specificity of tests employing such an antigen must necessarily be poor.

Antigen <u>11</u> of <u>C. bovis</u> was shown to occur only in <u>T. saginata, C. cellulosae, C. tenuicollis</u> and <u>T. hydatigena</u>. Thirteen other parasites gave negative reactions for <u>Antigen</u> <u>11</u> in immunodiffusion tests. Antigen <u>11</u> was, therefore, analysed further, and used in the solid-phase radioimmunoassay to investigate the potential of this antigen to distinguish between known infected and non-infected animals.

hum issentia hum teachs	Vooled rabbit anti <u>C.bovis</u> (inner membrane scolex Antiserum No.140/158)	vooled rabbit anti C. bovis scolices (Antiserum No.79)	coled calf anti C. bovis (inner membrane scolex Antiserum (0.846)	'ooled calf anti inner fluid (Antiserum No.835)	ooled rabbit anti inner fluid (Antiserum No.146)	'ooled calf anti outer fluid (Antiserum No.118)	ooled rabbit anti T. saginata (Antiserum No.154)	ooled calf anti T. saginata (Antiserum No.900)	coled goat anti T. saginata (Antiscrum No.824)	ooled goat anti C. tenuicollis (Antiserum No. 838)	coled goat antiserum to Antigen 11 (Antiserum No.879)
T.hydatigena(cattle)	<u>⊢</u> _	4+	A Z	++	++	4+	1+++	р. ++	+	++	<u>C.</u>
C.tenuicollis fluid (goat)								-	-	++	
C. tenuicollis fluid (sheep)			Ŧ		T T	F 7			r	++	+
C. cerebralis fluid (goat)	++	++	+	+	++	++	1 +++	++	+	++	+
C. cerebralis membrane scolices	-	+	-	+	+	+	**	+	+	++	-
HCF (cattle	-	+	-	-	1	+	-	+	-	+	-
HCF (goat/sheep)	-	+	-	-		+	-	+	-	+	
Moniezia spp (cattle)	+	+	-	+	-	-	++	+	÷	+	-
Moniezia spp (goat/sheep)	+	+	-	+	-	-	++	+	+	+	-
S. hepatica (goat)		+	-	-	1	-	+	-	-	+	-
C. fasciolaris (mice)	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-

Preciptin reactions with other parasite extracts using various antisera

Table 14b

102

+ = The number of positive signs corresponds to number of precipitin lines observed in immunodiffusion.

No precipitin lines observed in immunodiffusion.

1 п No precipitin line observed in immunodiffusion.

+ 11 The number of positive signs corresponds to number of precipitin lines observed in immunodiffusion.

<u>C. cellulosae</u>	A. suum	T. brucei	Oesophagostomum spp (cattle)	Trichuris spp.	H. contortus	S. mansoni	Paramphistomum spp (goat)	Paramphistomum spp (cattle)	F. gigantica (cattle)	SW3DIJNY WINHASIJNY
‡	L	-	+	ł	1	1	+	+	1	Pooled rabbit anti <u>C.bovis</u> (inner membrane scolex (Antiserum No. 140/158)
+++++	I	I	+	1	1		+	+	+	Pooled rabbit anti C.bovis scolices (Antiserum No. 79)
+	I	1	t	1	1	1	1	1	1	Pooled calf anti <u>C. bovis</u> (inner membrane scolex Antiserum No. 846)
+	1	1	÷	E.	I I	L	T	1	1	Pooled calf anti-inner fluid (Antiserum No.835)
+	a.	I	+	1	1	1	I	1	1	Pooled rabbit anti-inner fluid (Antiserum No.146)
-	1	I	+	E	I	1	I	1	1	Pooled calf anti cuter fluid (Antiserum No.118)
+	1	t	+	1	+	1	+	+	+	Pooled rabbit anti T. saginata (Antiserum No.154)
++	1	I.	+	1	1	1	I	1	1	Pooled calf anti T. saginata (Antiserum No.900)
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1	I	÷	+	+	1	8	1	1	Pooled goat anti T. saginata (Antiserum No.824
+	1	I	+	1	+	<u>.</u>	+ '	+	+	Pooled goat anti C. tenuicollis (Antiserum No.888)
+	I.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Pooled goat antiserum to Antigen 11 (Antiserum No.879)

Table 14b: (cont'd): Precipitin reactions with other parasite extracts using various antisera

## 4.3. The establishment of a reference system for antigenic constituents of C. bovis using crossed immunoelectrophoresis

In order to identify and recognise the various antigenic components of <u>C. bovis</u>, the Inner membrane-scolex extract was considered as the reference antigen. The antiserum used was a pool of sera from 3 rabbits (number 140, 158 and 79) as described in Materials and Methods.

The results of crossed immunoelectrophoresis are shown as a tracing of the enlarged stained pattern and in a colour photograph of the same (figure 15a and b). The precipitin peaks were numbered beginning from the anodic side. Fifteen precipitin peaks could be distinguished.

#### 4.3.1. Identification of antigen shared between C. bovis

#### T. saginata, C. tenuicollis, T. hydatigena and

#### C. cellulosae:

In this study it has been established by crossed immunoelectrophoresis that there are 15 <u>C. bovis</u> antigenic components, using the Inner membrane-scolex extract as the reference antigen and antiserum No.140/158/79. It was, therefore, also important to establish which one of these antigens was shared between <u>C. bovis</u>, <u>T. saginata</u>, <u>T. hydatigena</u>, <u>C</u>. tenuicollis and C. cellulosae.

Using an intermediate gel containing the monospecific antiserum No.879, if was shown that the antigen shared by <u>C. bovis, T. saginata, T. hydatigena, C. tenuicollis</u> and <u>C. cellulosae</u> was Antigen Number <u>11</u> (Figure 16a and b). Since

incoporation of antiserum in an intermediate gel is a very sensitive method for demonstration of antibody activity (Axelsen 1973, Axelsen <u>et al.</u>, 1974) and only one peak on the reference pattern showed a significant change in position, this is a strong indication that the monospecific antisera contains antibody activity to this antigenic component.



Figure 15a: <u>A tracing of the reference pattern for the antigenic</u> constituents of <u>C.bovis</u> using crossed immunoelectrophoresis. Antigen well (w) contains inner membrane-scolox of <u>C.bovis</u> while the intermediate gel contains 0.2 mls of preimmune antisers No.79/140/158. The top gel contains pooled absorbed rabbit anti-inner membrane-scolex No.79/140/158. The anode is to the right in the first dimension and at the top in the second dimension electrophoresis. The precipitin peaks are arbitrarily numbered toginning with the most anodic component.



Figure 15b:

The crossed immunoelectrophoresis with intermediate gel, pattern showing the position of Antigen 11 (Photograph of the gel illustrated in Fig. 15a



Figure 16a: A tracing of the crossed immunoelectrophoresis with intermediate gel, pattern showing the position of Antigen 11. Antigenic well(W) contains Inner membrane-scolex of <u>C.bovis</u> while intermediate gel contains 0.2 mls of monospecific antiserum No.879. The top gel contains pooled absorbed rabbit anti-inner membrane-scolex No.79/140/158. The anode is to the right in the first dimension and as the top in the second dimension electrophoresis.



Figure 16b:

The crossed immunoelectrophoresis with intermediate gel, pattern showing the position of Antigen 11 (photograph of the gel illustrated in Fig. 16a

#### 4.4. Charcterisation of Antigen 11

Antigen <u>11</u> eluted from affinity column gave a reaction of complete identity with an antigen present in <u>T. saginata</u>, <u>C. bovis and C. tenuicollis</u> by immunodiffusion using the monospecific antiserum to Antigen <u>11</u> (Antiserum No.879) as shown in Figure 17. The presence of this shared antigen in the eluted antigen, was also confirmed with polyspecific antisera to <u>C. bovis</u>, <u>T. saginata</u> and <u>C. tenuicollis</u> (Antisera Nos. 140, 154, 824 and 888).

The eluted antigen was further characterised in SDS-PAGE. Five bands were obtained corresponding to molecular weights between 15,000 to 100,000 daltons. Since it was difficult to determine which of these bands corresponded to Antigen <u>11</u>, analytical isoelectric focusing was subsequently performed.

The results of wide range isoelectric focusing (pH 3.5-10) gave three fractions with isoelectric points between 4.14 to 6.22. These fractions reacted in immunodiffusion tests with the monospecific antiserum (No.879) and gave a single line with the polyspecific antisera to <u>C. bovis</u>, T. saginata and C. tenuicollis (Nos.140, 154, 824, 888).

Analysis of the three fractions on SDS-PAGE showed that they contained two common bands. On further isoelectric focusing of these fractions on a narrow pH-range, two fractions with isoelectric points of pH 4.47 and 5.50 were obtained.

SDS-PAGE analysis of each fraction under both reducing and non-reducing conditions, showed two bands which correspond to molecular weights of 63,000 and 78,000 daltons.

Both of these two fractions reacted in immunodiffusion with the monospecific antiserum (No.879) and gave a single line with polyspecific antisera Nos.888, 824,154 and 140.

From these immunodiffusion results it was concluded that these two fractions contained Antigen <u>11</u> reactivity.



Figure 17:	Well A: Goat anti-Antigen 11 (Antiserum No. 879)
Well 1:	Inner membrane-scolex (C. bovis)
Well 2:	C. tenuicollis
Well 3:	T. saginata
Well 4:	Inner fluid (C. bovis)
Well 5:	Outer fluid ( <u>C. bovis</u> )
Well 6:	50X concentrated eluted Antigen 11.

# 4.5. The antibody response to Antigen 11 in animals infected with C. bovis

The two fractions of Antigen <u>11</u> obtained after isoelectric focusing in a narrow range pH (Section 4.3) were pooled and used in the solid-phase radioimmunoassay to determine whether or not <u>C. bovis</u> infected cattle produce antibodies against the antigen.

The results are shown in Table 15. It was found that antibodies of IgG1 and IgM classes were produced in infected animals. IgG1 antibodies were detected after 5 weeks of infection and highest titres of antibody were detected 18 to 28 weeks after infection. By contrast, IgM antibody titres were a thousand-fold lower and they were not detected until 36 weeks after infection. High antibody levels were also demonstrated in sera from two other infected animals, whereas sera from non-infected animals RS1 and RS6 were negative (Table 15 and Figure 18).

Identification of the	Week	Reciprocal Titres			
Samples	Post- Infection	IgM	IgG1		
	0	-	-		
	1	0	0		
	2	0	0		
	5	0	170		
	10	0	175		
	18	0	2500		
	23	0	26000		
	24	0	28000		
	28	0	14000		
	34	0	180		
	36	10	170		
	42	10	160		
	43	10	150		
	45	10	150		
	64	10	150		
Rs8(infected control)	-	150	1000000		
Rs10(infected control)	-	16800	1000000		
RS1(Non infected control)		0	0		
RS6(Non infected control)		0	0		

Table	15:	Antibody response to Antigen 11 in experimental
		cysticercosis





The results plotted are the mean counts per minute of duplicate assays of samples against the dilution of serum.

Key:

RS1, RS6, RS8 and RS10 are the samples.

B = Background counts

C = The serum dilution belonging to the

"negative control".

Titre of RS8 is given by A-C.

DISCUSSION

#### DISCUSSION

116

Bovine cysticercosis is an important economic problem in developing countries which are seeking to establish an export market for their cattle industries. Despite the availability of highly effective preventive measures and effective drugs for the treatment of taeniasis in man. these measures have had little or no effect on the incidence of bovine cysticercosis. Since the present meat inspection procedure is inadequate in detecting the lightly infected animals, reliable antemortem diagnostic procedures would represent a considerable advantage.

The major drawback of the present immunodiagnostic procedures for bovine cysticercosis is the lack of a well defined antigen(s) which possesses the necessary specificity together with a diagnostic test which possesses the required sensitivity (Greet <u>et al.</u>, 1979; Gathuma and Waiyaki, 1980). The problem is further aggravated by the possibility that infected animals may respond poorly to cysticercus antigens. This has been shown in human cysticercosis due to <u>C. cellulosae</u> where only 50% of patients produce antibodies detectable by the methods in common use (Flisser <u>et al.</u>, 1980).

The immune response to parasites is regulated by many factors of both host and parasite origin. Genetically determined differences and previous contact with the antigen or a cross reacting antigen may considerably influence the host immune responses. The potency of the immunogen and its

5:

concentration are also of great importance (McDevitt and Benacerraff, 1969; Amsbaugh <u>et al.</u>, 1972; Di-Pauli, 1972; Salk and Salk, 1976).

In this study calves were found to be poor antibody responders to antigens of C. bovis despite the extremely heavy immunizing schedule, using adjuvants, over a period of about 18 months. It was also noted that a calf immunized with the outer fibrous capsule (membrane) of C, bovis did not respond to this component. Failure to respond to the outer fibrous capsule of C. bovis may be due to the possibility that this membrane is derived from the host tissues as suggested by Thornton (1968a & b). Thus it would appear that the mechanism by which C, bovis is able to persist in the host may have its explanation in the outer membrane, since the membrane is generally in contact with the host tissues. In this regard it is pertinent to note that Gemmel and McNamara (1971) have suggested that cestodes may indeed evade the host immunological attack by formation or acquisation of host or host-like antigens which mask the inner or deeply situated parasite antigens.

There were two main reasons for using calves to produce antisera against <u>C. bovis</u> antigens. Firstly, calves being natural hosts of the intermediate stages of the parasite it was thought that responses in immunized animals might give an insight into the immune responses expected in natural infections. Secondly, the presence of host components (i.e. of cattle origin) in the antigenic preparation used for immunization would not be recognised if the immunization
took place in the same species.

The presence of the host components in the cyst fluid of some cestodes has been thoroughly studied, especially in hydatid cyst fluid (Chordi and Kagan, 1965; Norman and Kagan, 1966; Oriol et al., 1971; Varela-Diaz and Cortorti, 1974; Coltorti and Varela-Diaz 1974, 1975). The host components present in antigenic preparations used in the serodiagnosis of various helminthic infections have been known to cause difficulties in standardization and also lower the specificity of the antigen used (Kagan, 1968; Oriol et al., 1971). Several workers have attempted to use the cysticercus as their source of antigens for the immunodiagnosis of cysticercosis in humans, pigs and cattle (Bugyaki, 1961; Froyd, 1963; Proctor and Elsdon-Dew, 1966; Flisser et al , 1980). However, the literature on the presence of host components in C. bovis is very limited (Sviridenko-Stepankovskya et al. 1972).

In the present study, the host components have been detected in the cyst fluids of <u>C.bovis</u> and <u>C. tenuicollis</u> They were found to migrate in the albumin, beta and gamma regions in immunoclectrophoresis while those found in the inner membrane-scolex of <u>C. bovis</u> were found mainly in the albumin and the gamma regions. Using specific antisera, these components were identified as host albumin and immunoglobulin G. Host  $IgG_1$ , IgM and albumin have been found in hydatid cyst fluid (Chordi and Kagan, 1965; Kagan, 1968; Kagan and Agosin, 1968; Kassis and Tanner, 1977). Other host components in cyst fluid are blood group antigens

such as P1 and ABO(H) (Cameron and Stavely, 1957; Enyenihi, 1974). Ben-Ismail et al. (1980), described major crossreactions between patients with hydatidosis and fascioliasis due to <u>Fasciola hepatica</u>. They showed that the presence of anti-P1 antibodies in patients with fascioliasis was the main cause of the cross reactions. A search for the presence of blood group substances in the cyst fluids of <u>C. bovis</u> or antibodies to these substances in naturally infected animals should therefore be undertaken because the results of such a study could be of considerable importance in the evaluation of cross-reactions in serodiagnostic tests for C. bovis.

Owing to these foresceable problems, all the antisera prepared in this study were absorbed with insoluble immunosorbents prepared from host serum proteins and red cells of appropriate blood groups assumed to be present in the antigenic preparations used for immunizations. These absorptions were carried out routinely, even with those antisera which had not been shown to react with host antigens. All antisera were examined and shown not to react with host antigens after absorptions. All the reactions observed in subsequent assays were, therefore, considered to be due to antigenic determinants of the parasites themselves. It should be noted, however, that certain blood group-like antigens may indeed be of parasite origin, but for the purpose of this investigation these antigens have been intentionally avoided by prior absorption of antisera.

Although the calves gave relatively poor precipitating antibody responses to the antigens used for immunization, they did respond to a common antigen found in <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> (Table 14a). However, several animals of the same species should have been used in the production of antisera, since it is known that animals respond differently to the same immunizing agent (Crowle, 1973). Constraints in finances and facilities for keeping a large number of animals prevented the use of more than one calf for each antigenic preparation of <u>C. bovis</u>.

In general, rabbits were found to be better responders Three precipitin lines were found to be common to <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> as detected by double immunodiffusion tests in gel (Table 14a). One precipitin line was detected early (after 3 months of immunization), while the other two precipitin lines appeared late (after 16 months). The early precipitin line may correspond to the antigenic components described by Machnicka (1974).

Antisera to <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u>, prepared in goats and calves, showed 1 to 2 precipitin lines (Table 14a). One precipitin line showed a reaction of identity between <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u>. On further examination, using a specific antiserum (Antiserum No.879), this common antigen was identified as Antigen <u>11</u>. It should be emphasised that calf No.846 immunised with whole homogenate of Inner membranescolex of <u>C. bovis</u> produced antibody only to Antigen <u>11</u>. This suggests that Antigen <u>11</u> is one of the most highly UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBL

immunogenic components of C. bovis.

The existence of antigenic relationships between the larval stages and adult tapeworms has been known for many years. Campbell (1936) reported the presence of antigens common to T.taeniaeformis and C. fasciolaris and showed that a protein fraction of T.taeniaeformis elicited immunity in rats to subsequent infections with C. fasciolaris. Later, Nemeth (1971) demonstrated antigenic identity between a component of T. pisiformis and C. pisiformis. In other studies oncospheres from adult cestodes have been used to induce immunity to metacestodes. Gemmell (1964, 1965) infected sheep intramuscularly with hatched oncospheres of T. hydatigena and T. ovis and induced immunity to both homologous and heterologous infections. Wilkerhauser et al. (1971) immunized calves against C. bovis by intramuscular injection of artificially hatched oncospheres of T. saginata and T. hydatigena and obtained results similar to those of Gemmell (1964, 1965).

In the present study, it was, therefore, decided to investigate in considerable detail the antigenic relationship between the larval stage and the adult stage of <u>T. saginata</u>. The finding that there are common antigens in <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u>, may indicate that the selected antigen(s) from either the larval stage or the adult stage may be used in the serodiagnosis of bovine cysticercosis or taeniasis in man.

The antigenic relationship between <u>C. bovis</u>, <u>T. saginata</u>, adult and larval stages of other cestodes, as well as other unrelated parasites, were also examined. The results in Table

14b show that the antisera prepared against <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> gave precipitin reactions with several parasites, both in the Phylum Platyhelminthes and in the Phylum Nemathelminthes. This finding is similar to that of Greets <u>et al.</u>, (1979) who found that hyperimmune rabbit antiserum to <u>T. saginata</u> (non gravid segments) also reacted with cestodes and trematodes in immunoelectrophoresis. In the choice of antigen for serodiagnosis of bovine cysticercosis this factor must be considered.

The antigenic components of <u>C. bovis</u> were also studied using two dimensional electrophoresis (crossed immunoelectrophoresis). This was done with the intention of establishing a pattern for antigenic components of <u>C. bovis</u>, which could be used for reference purposes by identifying each antigenic component with a number. The reference pattern was established using one pool of antiserum (Rabbit Nos.79, 140 and 158) and one batch of antigen (Homogenate of a large number of <u>C. bovis</u> inner membrane and scolices). Fifteen precipitin lines were defined, each representing a single antigenic component. The high resolving power of crossed immunoelectrophoresis was confirmed, and the incorporation of TritonX-100<sup>(R)</sup> in the agarose gel for crossed immunoelectrophoresis resulted in an increased resolving power of the method.

Such a reference pattern for the antigenic constituents of C. bovis is invaluable, since it provides a means for

the selection of antigens unique to <u>C. bovis</u> as well as for the elucidation of cross-reactions with other parasites. Through exchange of antisera and antigensbetween various laboratories a two dimensional immunoelectrophoresis reference pattern would enable research workers to compare results and identify the antigens of interest.

The established reference pattern showing 15 precipitin arcs was used to examine one particular antigen which had been chosen because of its limited cross reactivity with other parasites. By incorporating monospecific antiserum (goat antiserum No.879) in the intermediate gel, the antigen was identified as the precipitin line which had been given the number <u>11</u> in the reference pattern. The presence of an antigen identical or similar to Antigen <u>11</u> in <u>T. saginata</u>, <u>T.hydatigena</u>, <u>C. tenuicollis</u> and <u>C. cellulosae</u> was therefore confirmed by the crossed immunoelectrophoresis method. All the antisera prepared against various constituents of <u>C. bovis</u> and homogenate of <u>T. saginata</u> reacted with Antigen **11** 

The complexity of shared antigenic determinants between a number of adult and larval stages of parasites which taxonomically are far apart, precludes an easy choice of antigen(s) for a specific test. A logical source of starting material for the preparation of an artigen suitable for an immunodiagnostic test, would be the form of the parasite which develops in that particular host. Even though antigens which are specific for this stage might be purified, however,

two major issues still remain, namely, the quantity available for processing and purification, and the natural immune response of the host to these specific antigen(s). Clearly, highly specific antigens would be of no use whatscever if the host cannot respond to this antigen in a reasonably consistent way. Secondly, the antigen must be of such nature that it can be utilised in an immunodiagnostic test.

The finding that Antigen 11 had a restricted cross reactivity and was also one of the antigens which consistently elicited antibody production in all animals immunized with <u>C. bovis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> antigens, led to the conclusion that this may be the antigen of choice for the serodiagnosis of bovine cysticercosis. Furthermore, Antigen 11 was isolated from <u>C. tenuicollis</u> cyst fluid, an easily obtainable source of antigen.

The antigen was further characterised by isoelectric focusing and SDS-PAGE analysis. Antigen <u>11</u> was found to have molecular weight between 63,000 and 78,000 daltons and isoelectric at pH 4.47 to 5.50. These values are given as two figures since it was not possible to purify this antigen further at this time to obtain a single band in SDS-PAGE. The molecular weights as well as the isoelectric points can, therefore, only be referred to as estimates. This "semi-purified" antigenic preparation, nevertheless, reacted with monospecific antiserum to Antigen 11. Poly-

specific antisers against <u>C. bovis</u>, <u>C. tenuicollis</u> and <u>T. saginata</u> showed only one component, which was found to give a reaction of identity with the monospecific antiserum to Antigen <u>11</u> (Antiserum No.879). Furthermore, antisera to host components (goat) from which the <u>C. tenuicollis</u> fluid was harvested, gave no reaction with the semipurified antigen. It was, therefore, concluded that, this antigenic preparation could be considered pure for further investigations.

Antigen <u>11</u> was subsequently used in solid-phase radioimmunoassay to detect antibody responses in calves experimentally infected with <u>C. bovis</u>. The results showed that IgG antibody levels were generally higher than IgM levels. These findings are similar to those of Flisser <u>et al.</u>, (1980) who found that IgG levels were higher than IgM in human cysticercosis caused by C. cellulosae.

Significant rises in IgG titres were detected 5 weeks after infection. The detection of serum antibodies from week 5 post-infection is in general agreement with Nemeth (1971) who observed a primary antibody response to <u>C</u>. pisiformis in rabbits between 2 and 5 weeks after infection.

The IgG antibody levels reached a peak on week 24. A gradual fall of titres was then recorded up to week 64 post-infection.

The fall in antibody levels from week 24 to week 64 may be due to a decrease of <u>C. bovis</u> antigens, possibly due to degeration and calcification of the cysts. The calci-

fication of <u>C. bovis</u> cysts has been recorded as early as 3 months post-infection (Smyth, 1963; Froyd, 1964).

In view of the unexpected fall in titres, the possibility that the samples after week 24 were wrongly labelled, was also considered. However, the results of samples from noninfected calves (RS1 and RS6) and infected calves (RS8 and RS10) corresponded very well with the results recorded in other laboratories using the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (Dr. Stevenson personal communication). These results show that Antigen <u>11</u> is capable of distinguishing experimentally infected animals from non-infected controls.

Thus the results obtained so far, strongly indicate that the component of <u>C. bovis</u> labelled Antigen <u>11</u> according to the place it occupies in a crossed immunoelectrophoresis reference pattern, might be useful in serodiagnostic tests for bovine cysticercosis. Although the antigen shows a restricted cross-reactivity with other parasites, out of 16 parasites investigated, only its presence in <u>C. tenuicollis</u> may possibly give rise to false positive reactions in cattle exposed to eggs of <u>T. hydatigena</u>. Since there may be a possible development of <u>C. tenuicollis</u> in cattle, a subsequent immune response to Antigen <u>11</u> is to be expected. There may be also other parasites of cattle not yet examined which share antigenic determinants with Antigen 11.

In view of the finding that Antigen <u>11</u> is present in <u>T. saginata</u> and <u>C. cellulosae</u>, it would be of great interest to investigate the immune responses to Antigen <u>11</u> in man infected with <u>T. saginata</u> or in cysticercosis due to

C. cellulosae.

In the course of this investigation, another potentially more useful antigen of <u>C. bovis</u> was detected. The antigen appears to be shared only by <u>T. saginata</u> and <u>C. bovis</u>. The specificity of this as yet unlabelled antigen, would make it a <u>priori</u> the antigen of choice. At present, information regarding its availability and ability to induce measurable immune responses is urgently needed. Until promising results are obtained with this antigen, Antigen <u>11</u> appears to be the antigen of choice for the diagnosis of bovine cysticercosis.

CONCLUSIONS

## 6. CONCLUSION

In this study, 15 antigenic components of <u>C. bovis</u> have been established using the crossed immunoelectrophoresis method. One of these components identified as Antigen <u>11</u> was found to be present in <u>C. bovis</u>, <u>T. saginata</u>, <u>T.</u> hydatigena, C. tenuicollis and C. cellulosae.

An attempt has been made to isclate, characterise and use this antigen in the serodiagnosis of experimental cysticercosis using the solid-phase radioimmunoassay. The results have shown that this antigen can clearly differentiate between experimentally infected and non infected animals.

The use of this antigen in other serodiagnostic tests for bovine cysticercosis may substantially reduce the occurrence of non-specific reactions. However, further studies are required for the identification and characterization of other antigens which may be strictly specific to C. bovis.

REFERENCES

## REFERENCES

 Alferova, M.V. (1969). D Inamika reakcii nepriamoi gemaggliutinacci pri cysticerkoze krupnogo rogatogo skota Med. Parasol (Mosk) 38, 162-166.

2. Alferova, M.V., Ishankulova, K. and Aslamav, B. (1972). Medskaya Parazit. <u>4</u> (2) 135-137 cited in Enyenihi, U.K. (1974) paper presented at the WHO/GEA consultation meeting on cysticercosis/Taeniasis held in Neuherberg, Muchen, Germany, 22-24 August, 1974.

- 3. Amsbaugh, D.F., Hansen, C.T., Prescott, B., Stashack, P.W., Barthold, D.R. and Barker, P.J. (1972). Genetic control of the antibody response to type III pneumococcal polysaccharide in mice I. Evidence that X-linked gene plays a decisive role in determining responsiveness. J. Exp. Med. 136,931.
- Andorson, R.I., Sadun, E.H. and Williams, J.S. (1961). A technique for the use of minute amounts of dried blood in the fluorescent antibody test for schistosomiasis. Expt. Parasit.<u>11</u>, 8-10.
- 5. Antienza-Fernandez, M., Gomez-Garcia, V.M. and Conzalez-Castro, J. (1969). Use of inert particles in diagnostic tests for ovine cysticercosis. I. Bentonite test. Revta Iber Parasit. 29, 35-45.

- 6. Arambulo III, P.V., Walls,K.W., Bullock,S. and Kagan,I.G. (1978). Scrodiagnosis of human cysticercosis by microplate Enzyme linked Immunospecific Assay (ELISA). Acta Tropica 35, 63-63.
- 7. Arkhipova,N.S. (1977). Role of pasture irrigation with sewage effluent in the Epizootiology of cattle cysticercosis. Byulleten Vsescyuznogo Instituta Gelmintologii 1977, No.7, 10-16.
- 8. Axelsen, N.H. (1973). Intermediate gel in cross and in fused Rocket immunoelectrophoresis in "A\_manual of quantitative immunoelectrophoresis method and application" eds Axelsen N.H., Krøll, J. and Weeke, B. Universitetsforlaget Oslo Bergen Tromsø pp 71-77.
- 9. Axelsen,N.H., Harboe,M., Closs,O. and Godal,T. (1974). BCG antibody profiles in tuberculoid and Leptomatous Leprosy. Infect Immun. 9, 952-958.
- Ayuya, J.M. and Williams, J.F. (1979). Immunological responses of rat to infection with <u>T. taeniaeformis</u> <u>Vll</u> oral and parenteral immunization with parasite antigens. Immunology: 36, (4), 825-835.
- Bailey, W.S. (1951). Host Tissue reactions to initial and superimposed infections with <u>H. nana var</u> fraterna. J. parasit. <u>37</u>, 440-443.

- Ballad,N.E. (1971). Izuchenie antigenikh Komponentor cysticerkov. <u>Cysticercus bovis</u>. Trucy V. Lust. Gel. K.I. Skryabina 17, 269-270.
- Befus, A.B. (1974). The immunoglobulin coat on <u>Hymenolepis</u> spp. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. <u>68</u>, 273
- 14. Befus, A.D., and Threagold, L.T. (1975). Possible immunological damage to the togument of <u>H. diminuta</u> in mice and rats. Parasitology 71: 525-534.
- 15. Ben-Ismail,R., Carme,B., Niel,G. and Gentilini,M. (1980). Non specific serological reactions with <u>Echinococcus</u> <u>granulosus</u> antigens: Role of anti-P<sub>1</sub> antibodies. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.29(2) 239-245.
- 16. Betran,F. and Gomez-Priego,A. (1973). Evaluation de los contraimmunoelectrophoresis (C.I.E.F.) para la deteccion de anticyerpos en la cisticercosis experimentally humana. Antioquia. Med. 23, 473-475.
- 17. Biagi,F.F., and Tay,J. (1958). A precipitation test for diagnosis of cysticercosis. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 1 63-65.
- 18. Blundell,S.K., Gemmell,M.A., and McNamara,F.N. (1968). Immunological responses of the mammalian host against tapeworm infections, <u>V1</u>. Demonstration of humoral immunity in sheep induced by actuated embryos of <u>T. hydatigena</u> and <u>T. ovis</u>. Exp. parasit. <u>23</u>, 79-82.

- 19. Blundell,S.K., Gemmell,M.A. and McNamara,F.N. (1969). Immunological responses of mammalian host against tapeworm infections <u>VIII</u>. Some evidence against cellular immunity induced in sheep by activated embryos of <u>T. hydatigena</u> and <u>T. ovis</u>. Expt. Parasit. 24, 291-298.
- Boonpucknavig, S. and Nairn, R.C. (1967). Serological diagnosis of Amoebiasis by immunofluorescence. J. Clin. Path. 20, 875-878.
- 21. Bugyaki,L. (1961). Diagnostic de la cysticercose al' aide del' intrademo reaction. Bull. <u>Epiz</u>. Dis. Afr. 9, 15-23.
- 22. Calamel, M. and Soule, C. (1972). Choice and preparation of an antigen for the diagnosis of bovine cysticercosis by immunofluorescence. Revue de Medicine Veterinaire 123, No.8/9, 1105-1114.
- 23. Cameron,G.L. and Staveley,J.M. (1957). Blood group P substance in hydatid cyst fluid. Nature, <u>179</u>, 147-148.
- 24. Campbell, D.H. (1936). Active immunization of Albino rats with protein fractions from <u>T. taeniaeformis</u> and its larval form <u>Cysticercus fasciolaris</u>. Am. J. Hyg. 23, 104-113.
- 25. Campbell, D.H. (1938a). The specific protective property of serum from rats infected with <u>C. crassicollis</u>.
  J. Immunol. 35, 195-204.

- 26. Campbell, D.H. (1938b). The specific sbsorbability of protective antibodies against <u>C. crassicollis</u> in rats and <u>C. pisiformis</u> in rabbits from infected and artificially immunized animals. J. Immunol. <u>35</u>, 205-216.
- 27. Campbell, D.H. (1938c). Further studies on the "non absorbable" protective property in serum from rats infected with <u>C. crassiocollis</u>. J. Immunol. <u>35</u> 465-476.
- 28. Campbell, D.H., Garvey, J.S., Cremer, N.E. and Sussdorf, D.H. (1970). In: "Methods in Immunology" 2nd Edition. Benjamin, W.A. Inc. New York, USA pp.160-180.
- 29. Capron, A., Vernes, A. and Biguet, J. (1967). Le diagnosis immunoelectrophoretique de l'hydatidose. "Le kiste hydatique du foic" Journess Lyonnese d'hydatidologie. SIMEP ed. (1967) pp.27-40.
- 30. Casoni,T. (1911). La diagnosi biologica dell echinococcosi human mediate l'intradermorazione. Folia Clin. Chem. et Microb. 4 4-16.
- 31. Castagnari,L. and Pozzuoli,R. (1969). Studio electroforetico e immunoelectropretico del liquido idatideo. Annali Sclavo 11, 99-107.
- 32. Chordi,A. and Kagan,I.G. (1965). Identification and characterization of antigenic component of sheep hydatid fluid by immunoelectrophoresis. J. Parasitol.51, 63-71.

- 33. Coleman, R.M., Carty, J.M. and Graziadel, W.D. (1968). Immunogenecity and phylogenetic relationship of tapeworm antigens produced by <u>H.nana</u> and <u>H. dimunuta</u>. Immunology <u>15</u>, 297-304.
- 34. Coltorti,E.A. and Varela-Diaz,V.M. (1974). <u>Echinococcus</u> <u>granulosus</u>: Penetration of macromolecules and their localization on the parasite membrane cysts. Expt. Parasit. <u>35</u>, 225,231.
- 35. Coltorti,E.A. and Varela-Diaz,V.M. (1975). Percetration of host IgG molecules into hydatid cysts. Zeitschrif für Parasitenkunde. 48, 47-51.
- 36. Craig, P.S. and Rickard, M.D. (1980). Evaluation of crude antigen prepared from <u>Taenia saginata</u> for serological diagnosis of <u>Taenia saginata</u> cysticercosis in cattle using Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay. Zeitschrift fur Parasitenkunde 61, (3), 287-297.
- Crowle, A.J. (1973). In: "Immunodiffusion".
   2nd edition. Academic Press New York. pp. 286-293.
- 38. D'Antonio,L.E., Von Doenhoff,A.E. and Fife,E.H. (1969). A new method for isolation and fractionation of complement fixing antigens from <u>Plasmodium knowlesi</u>. Proceedings of the society for experimental Biology and Medicine 123, 30-34.
- 39. Dada,B.J.O. and Belino,E.D. (1978). Prevelence of hydatidosis and cysticercosis in slaughtered live-

stock in Nigeria. Veterinary Record <u>103</u>, (14) 311-312.

- 40. Daoc,A.J.F., Petithory,J. and Brumpt,L. (1972).
  L'immunofluorescence indirecte sur coupes des
  <u>T. solium</u> dans le diagnostic de la cysticercose.
  Resultants Obtenus Chez 35 Malades. Nouvelle Presse
  Med. 1, 2049-2050.
- 41. Dewhirst,L.W. Trautman R.J., Pistor,W.J. and Reed R.E. (1960). Studies on antemortem diagnostic procedures in bovine cysticercosis. J. Parasit. <u>46</u>, (Sect.2) 10-11.
- 42. Dewhirst,L.W., Cramer,J.D. and Sheldon,J.J. (1967). Analysis of current inspection procedure for detecting bovine cysticercosis. Am. Vet. Med. Ass. J. <u>150</u>, 412-417.
- Di Conza, J.J. (1970). <u>H. nana</u>. Mouse subcutaneous tissue response to larval stages. Expt. parasitology <u>28</u>, 482-492.
- 44. Di-Pauli,R. (1972) Genetics of the immune responses.
  I. Differences in specificity of antibodies to lipopolysaccharides among different strains of mice.
  J. Immunol. 109, 394-400.
- Dunker, A.K. and Rueckert, R.R. (1969). Observations on molecular weights determinations on polyacrylamide gel. J. Biol. Chem. 244, (No.18), 5074-5080.

1.35

- 52. Fife,E.H., (1971). Advances in methodology for immunodiagnosis of parasitic diseases. Exp. Parasit. 30, 132-163.
- 53. Filippov, V.D. (1971). Medskaya Parazit. <u>40</u>, (2) 169. Cited in Enyenihi U.K. (1974) "Paper present at WHO/CBA consultation meeting on cysticercosis/Taeniasis held in Neuberberg, Muchen, Germany 22-24 August, 1974.
- 54. Fischman, A. (1960). Floculation test in hydatid disease J. Clin. Path. 13, 72-75.
- 55. Flisser, A., Perez-Montfort, R. and Larralde, C. (1979). The immunology of human and animal cysticercosis: Bull. Wld. Hlth. Org. <u>57</u>, (5) 839-859.
- 56. Flisser, A., Woodhouse, E. and Larralde, C. (1980). Human cysticercosis: Antigens, antibodies and non-responders. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 39, 27-37.
- 57. Frick, W. and Susse, H.J. (1970 a). Zum immunobiologischen Nachweis des Rinderfinnen beffals. Arch. Exp. Vetinaer. Med. 24, 451-457.
- 58. Frick, W., and Susse, H.J. (1970b). The serological diagnosis of cysticercosis in cattle. Monatheffe fur Veterina Medizi 25, (11), 435-438).

- 59. Friedberg, W., Neas, B.R., Faulkner, D.N. and Friedberg, D.N. (1969). Immunity to <u>H.nana</u>: transfer by spleen cell. J. Parasitol. <u>53</u> 895-896.
- 60. Froyd, G. and Round, M.C. (1960). The artificial infection in adult cattle with <u>Cysticercus</u> <u>bovis</u>. Res. Vet. Science <u>1</u>, 275-282.
- G1. Froyd,G. (1963). Intrademal tests in the diagnosis of bovine cysticercosis. Bull Epiz. Dis. Afr. 11, 303-306.
- 62. Froyd, G. (1964). The longevity of <u>Cysticercus</u> <u>bovis</u> in bovine tissue. Brit. Vet. J. <u>120</u>, 205-211.
- Froyd, G. (1965). Incidence of <u>Cysticercus bovis</u> Bull off Int. Epizoot. 63, 311-320.
- 64. Gallie,G.J. and Sewell,M.M.H. (1972). The survival of <u>C. bovis</u> in resistant calves, Veterinary Record 91, 481-482.
- 65. Gallie, G.J. and Sewell, M.M.H. (1974). The serological responses of calves infected neonatally with <u>T. saginata (C. bovis</u>). Trop. Anim. Hlth. Prod. <u>6</u>, 173-177.

66. Gathuma, J.M., Sollod, A.E. and Waiyaki, P.G.  $(197_{\delta})$ Evaluation of indirect fluorescent antibody test in diagnosis of <u>C. bovis</u> infection in cattle. Kenya Veterinarian 2 (1) 7-11.

- 67. Gathuma, J.M. (1979). Seroepidermiological study of bovine cysticercosis in Kenya. Kenya Veterinarian Vol.3, No.2, 33-35.
- 68. Gathuma, J.M. and Waiyaki, P.G. (1980). Evaluation of Indirect haemagglutination test (IHA) in diagnosis of <u>Taenia saginata</u> cysticercosis (<u>C. bovis</u>) infection in cattle. Bull. Anim. Hith Prod. Afr. <u>28</u>. 173-189.
- 69 Gemmell, M.A. (1962). Natural and acquired immunity factors inhibiting penetration of some hexacanth embr yos through intestinal barrier. Nature (Lond) 194, 701-702.
- 70. Gemmell,M.A. (1964). Immunological responses of mammalian host against tapeworm infections
  I. Species specificty of hexacanth embryos in protecting sheep against <u>T. hydatigena</u>.
  Immunology 7, 489-499.
- 71. Gemmell, M.A. (1965). Immunological responses of mammalian host against tapeworm infections.
  III. Species specificity of hexacanth embryos in protecting sheep against <u>T. ovis</u>. Immunology, <u>8</u>, 281-290.

- 72. Gemmell,M.A., Blundell,S.K., McNamara,F.N. (1968). Immunological responses of mammalian host against tapeworm infection VII. The effect of the interval between artificial immunization, and ingestion of eggs on development of immunity by sheep to <u>T.hydatigena</u>. Expt. Parasit. <u>23</u>, 83-87.
- 73. Gemmell, M.A. (1969). Hydatidosis and cysticercosis
  1. Acquired resistance to the larval phase.
  Australian. Veterinary J. 45, 521-524.
- 74. Gemmell, M.A., Blundell, S.K. and McNamara, F.N. (1969). Immunological responses of mammalian host against tapeworm infections. 1X. The transfer via colostrum of immunity to <u>T. hydatigena</u> Expt. Parasit. <u>26</u>, 51-57.
- 75. Gemmell, M.A. and McNamara, F.N. (1971). Immune response to tissue parasites. II. Cestodes in: "<u>Immunity</u> <u>to animal parasites</u>" ed. Soulsby, E.J.L. Academic Press. New York. pp 236-272.
- 76. Gemmell, M.A. (1973). Hydatidosis and cysticercosis VI. Acquired resistance by the ewe and its effects on prenatal infections with <u>T. ovis</u> in the lambs. Australian Veterinary Journal 49, No.7, 344-346.
- 77. Gomez-Garcia, V., Atienza-Fernandez, M. and Gonzalez-Castro, J. (1969). Empleo de particulas inertes

para el diagnostico de la cistercosis ovina II. Prueba de latex. Rev. Iber Parasitol. 29, (2-3), 191-196.

- 78. Gonzalez-Castro, J. and Chordi, A. (1960). "Beuthid" in nuevo antigeno para el serodiagnostice de la hidatidosis. Rev. Med. Est. gen. Navana <u>4</u>, 45-55.
- 79. Goudie, R.B., Horne, C.H.W. and Wilkinson, P.C. (1986). A simple method for producing antibody specific to a single selected diffusable antigen. The Lancet Vo.2: 1224-1227.
- 80. Grabar, P. and Williams, C.A. Jr. (1953). Methode permettant l'etude conjugee des propietes electrophoretiques et immunochiques dur melange de proteines. Application au serum sangui. Biochem. Biophy. Acta. 10, 193-194.
- S1. Graig, T.M. and Ronald, N.C. (1978). Preliminary studies on the effect of Albendozole on cysticerci of <u>T. saginata</u>. Southern Western Veterinarian 31, (2) 121-122.
- 82. Greets,S., Kumar,V. and Aerts,N. (1979). Antigenic components of <u>T. saginata</u> and their relevance to the diagnosis of bovine cysticercosis by immunoelectrophoresis. J. Helminthology <u>53</u>, (4), 293-299.

83. Grossklaus, D. and WalthermM. (1970). Serodiagnose der Zystizerllose des Rindes. Zenttbl. Vet. Med. <u>178</u>, 828-839.

- 84. Grossklaus, D. and Walther, M. (1971). Moglichkeiten der Serodiagnose der Zystizercose beim Schlachtrind. 19th World Vet. Congr. Proceedings 1, 120-123.
- 85. Grossklaus, D. and Walther, M. (1972). Untersuchungen Zur Frage de Diagnose der Rinder Zystizerkoze Mit Hilfe der inderecte Haemagglutination. Zbl. Vet. Med. 19B, 304-319.
- 86. Hammerberg, B., MnCinnis, G.A. and Hyler, T. (1978). <u>T. saginata</u> cysticercis in grazing steers in Virginia. Am. Vet. Med. Ass. <u>11</u>, 1464.
- 87. Harboe, M., Closs, O. and Deveril, J. (1976). Production of monospecific antisera against Antigenic component of <u>Mycobacterium bovis</u> (BCG) Scand. J. Immunol. <u>5</u>, 861-866.
- 88. Harris, W.G. and Turton, J.A. (1973). Antibody response to tapeworm (<u>H. diminuta</u>) in rat. Nature 246,521-522.
- 89. Hearin, J.T. (1941). Studies on the acquired immunity to dwarf tapeworm. <u>H. nana var fraterna in</u> the mouse host. Amer. J. Hyg. <u>33</u>, 71-87.

- 90. Heath, D.D. (1971). The migration of oncospheres
  of <u>T. pisiformis</u>, <u>T. serialis</u> and <u>E. granulosus</u>
  within the intermediate host. Int. J. Parasit.
  1, 145-152.
- 91. Heath, D.D. (1973). Resistance to T. pisiformis in rebbits I. Examination of the antigenically protective phase of larval development. Int. J. Parasit. 3, 485-490.
- 92. Herbert, I.V. and Oberg, C. (1974). Cysticercosis in pigs due to infection with <u>T. sclium</u> (Linnaeus 1978). In: "<u>Parasitic Zoonases</u>" ed. Soulsby E.J.L. Academic Press New York pp. 199-211.
- 93. Hiepe,T. and Buchwalder, R. (1978). Experimental studies on the epidemiology, pathogenesis and infravital diagnosis of bovine cysticercosis. Parasitologica Hungarica 11, 2, 57-62.
- 94. Hilwig,R.W., Cramer,J.D. and Forsyth,K.S. (1978). Freezing times and temperatures required to kill cysticerci of <u>T. saginata</u> in beef. Vet. Parasitol. 4, (3), 215-219.
- 95. Hopkins, C.A., Goodall, R.J. and Zajacic, A. (1977). The longevity of <u>H.microstoma</u> in mice and its immunological cross reactions with <u>H. diminuta</u>. Parasitology, <u>74</u>, 175-183.

96. Hunninen, A.V. (1935). Studies on the life history and host-parasite relationship of <u>H. fraterna</u> (<u>H. mana var fraterna Stiles</u>) in white mice. Am. J. Hyg. 22, 414-443.

- 97. Kabat,E.A. and Meyer,M.M. (1967). In: "Experimental Immunochemistry" 2nd edition Charles C. Thomas. Springfield Illinois, U.S.A. pp. 69-70.
- 98. Kagan, I.G. and Bargai, V. (1956). Studies on the serology of trichnosis with haemagglutination, agar diffusion tests and precipitin ring tests. J. Parasitol. 42, 237-245.
- 99. Kagan, I.G. (1968). A review of serological tests for the diagnosis of hydatid disease. Bull. Wld. Hlth. Org. 39, 25-37.
- 100. Kagan, I.G. and Agosin, M. (1968). <u>Echinococcus</u> antigens Bull Wld. Hlth. Org. <u>39</u>, 13-24.
- 101. Kagan, I.G. (1974). Advances in the Immunodiagnosis of parasitic infections. Z. Parasitenk 45, 168-195.
- 102. Kagan, I.G. (1978). Recent advances in the diagnosis of hydatidesis (1970-1976). Paraitologica Hungarica 11, 31-96.
- 103. Kassis, A.I. and Tanner, C.E. (1977). Host serum proteins in <u>Echinococcus multilocularis</u>: Complement activation via the classical pathway. Immunology 33, 1-9.

104. Kent,H.N. (1963). Fractionation, Isolation and definition of antigens from parasitic helminths in "Immunodiagnosis of helminthic Infections". Am. J. Hyg. Monograph series. No.22, pp 30-45.

- 105. Khan,Z.A. and Meerovitch,E. (1970). Studies on the purfication of <u>Entamoeba histolytica</u> antigens by gel filtration. I. Some physico-chemical properties of the isolated fractions. Canadian J. Microbiology 16, 485-492.
- 106. Kosminkov, N.E. (1973a). Antigenic vaccination of calves in cysticercosis. Doklady Vsesojuznoj akademic selsko-hozjajstvenniyh Nauk. 2: 30.
- 107. Kosminkov, N.E. (1973b). Antigenic vaccination of lambs with cysticerciasis. Doklady Vsesojuznoj Akademic Selsko-hozjastvenniyh Nauk 2. 32.
- 108. Koudela, B. and Schanzel, H. (1978). The effect of praziquantel (Droncit) on <u>C. pisiformis in</u> rabbits. Acta Veterinaria Brno 47, (1/2), 87-90.
- 109 Labzoffsky, N.A., Kuitunen, E. and Harnvas, J.J. (1959). Studies on the antigenic structure of <u>Trichinella spirallis</u> larvae. Canadian J. Microbiology 5, 395-403.
- 110. Lamina, J. and Hein, B. (1970). Untersuchugen Zur Frage des Immunologischen Manchweises einer

Einer Zystizerkose am lebenden Tier II. Mitteilung: Die Kompliment bindungsreaktion 77, 273-278.

- 111. Larsh, J.E. (1942). Transmission from mother to offspring of immunity against mouse cestodes, <u>H</u> nana var fraterna. Am. J. Hyg. 39, 187-J94.
- 112. Larsh, J.E. (1944). Studies on the artificial immunization of mice against infection with the dwarf tapeworm. <u>H. nana var fraterna</u>. Am. J. Hyg. <u>39</u>, 129-132.
- 113. Larsh, J.E. (1951). Host-parasite relationship in cestode infections, with emphasis on host resistance. J. Parasitol. <u>17</u>, 53-59.
- 114. Leid,R.W. and Williams,J.F. (1974). Immunological response of the rat to infection with <u>T. taeniaformis</u>. I. Immunoglobulin classes involved in passive transfer of resistance. Immunology 27, 195-208.
- 115. Leikina,E.S., Sokolovskaya, D.M., Poletaeva,O.G., Astakhova,O.O. and Moskvin,S.N. (1966). K Voprocu OB Immunodiagnostike cysticerkoza Krupnogo rogatogo skota L'metode Ocenki poluchennikh resultatov. Med. Parazit. (Moskva) 35, 157-164.

116. Leornad, A.B. and Leornad, A.E. (1941). The intestinal phase of the resistance of rabbits to the larval of <u>T. pisiformis</u>. J. Parasitol. 27, 375-378.

- 117. Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L. and Randall, R.J. (1951). Protein measurement with the Folin-phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. <u>193</u>, 265-275.
- 118. Luckers, J.T. and Vegors, H.H. (1965). Vaccination against beef measles. J.Anim.Sci. 24:284.
- 119. Machnicka-Roguska, B. (1965). Preparation of <u>Taenia</u> <u>saginata</u> antigens and chemical analysis of antigenic fractions. Acta Parasitol.Pol.13,337-347.
- 120. Machnicka-Roguska, B. and Zwierz, C. (1970). Intradermal tests with antigenic fractions in <u>Taenia saginata infection</u>. Acta Parasitol. Pol. 17, 293-299.
- 121. Machnicka, B. (1973). The indirect immunofluorescence test with <u>Taenia saginata</u> Oncosphere to diagnose <u>Cysticercus bovis</u> infection in calves. Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. <u>21</u>,743-748.
- 122. Manchnicka, B. (1974). Studies on antigens common to Cysticercus bovis and <u>Taenia saginata</u>. In: "<u>Parasitic Zoonoses</u>" ed. Soulsby, E.J.L. Academic Press New York, pp.213-221.

123. Maddison, S.E., Whittle, H. and Elsdon-Dew, R. (1961). The antigens of tapeworms. Preliminary Note. S. Afric. J. Sci. 57, 273-277.

- 124. Mango, A.M. (1971). Helminthiasis and East African Economy with special emphasis on hydatidosis and taeniasis cysticercosis. E. Afr. Med. J. 48, 606-608.
- 125. Mann, I. and Mann, E. (1947). The distribution of Measles (C. bovis) in African bovine carcasses. Vet. J. 103,239-251.
- 126. Mann,I. (1974). The background and Outline of research in Echinococcosis (hydatidosis). Abstract of a paper presented at the Third International Congress of Parasitology Munich P2.
- 127. Mann,I. (1978). Integrated multidisciplinary environmental approach to the study of taeniasis and echinococcosis. Estratto da: Annali dell' instituto superioedi sanita. Vol XLV p.II.
- 128. McDevitt, H.O. and Benacerraf, B. (1969) Genetic control of specific immune response Advan. Immunol. <u>11</u>, 31-74.
- 129. Melcher, I.R. (1943). An antigenic analysis of Trichinella spiralis. J. Inf.Dis. 73, 31-39.

- 130. Miller,H.M. Jr. (1931). The production of Artificial immunity in the albino rat to Metazooan parasite. J. Prev. Med. <u>5</u>, 429-452.
- 131. Miller, H.M. Jr. and Gardiner, M.L. (1932). Passive immunity to infection with a metazoan parasite. <u>C. fasciolaris</u>. J. Prev. Med. <u>6</u>, 479-496.
- 132. Miller,H.M. Jr. and Kerr,K.B. (1932). Attempts to immunize rabbits against a larval cestode <u>C</u>. <u>pisiformis</u>. Proc. Soc. exp. Biol. Med. <u>29</u>, 670-671.
- 133. Miller,H.M. Jr. (1934). Specific jumune serum as inhibitors of infections of a metazoan parasite (C. fasciolaris). Am. J. Hyg. 21, 270-277.
- 134. Miller, H.M.Jr. and Gardiner, M.L. (1934). Further studies on passive immunity to metazoan parasite C. fasciolaris. AM.J.Hyg.20, 424-431.
- 135. Miller, H.M.Jr. (1935). Transmission of off-spring of immunity against infection with a metazoan (cestode) parasite. Am. J. Hyg. 21, 456-461.
- 136. Minning, W. and McFadzen, J.A. (1956). Serological investigation in an area of endemic filariasis due to <u>Wucheria bancrofti</u> and <u>Acanthocheilonema</u> <u>perstans</u> in Gambia, West Africa. Trans. Roy. Soc. trop. Med. Hyg. 50, 246-257.

- 137. Monnig,H. (1941). Measles in cattle and pigs ways of infection. J.S. Afric. Vet. Med. Ass. <u>12</u>, 59-61.
- 138. Morris, N., Proctor, E.M. and Elsdon-Dev, R. (1968). A physico-chemical approach to the serodiagnosis of cysticeroosis. J. S. Afr. Vet. Med. Ass. <u>39</u>, 41-43.
- 139. Murkin, V.N. (1969). Ob antigennoi differenciacii chastei strobil bichego cepnia. Parasitologiya Leningrad 3, 369-374.
- 140. Musoke, A.J. and Williams, J.F. (1975). The immunological response of the rat to infection with T. taeniaeformis. V. Sequence of appearance of protective immunoglobulins and mechanism of action of 7s &2a antibodies. Immunology 29, 855-866.
- 141. Musoke, A.J. and Williams, J.F. (1976). Immunological responses of rats to infection with <u>T. taenia-</u> <u>formis</u> III. Protective antibody response to implanted parasites. Int. J. Parasitol. <u>6</u>, 265-269.
- 142. Musoke, A.J. and Williams, J.F. and Leid, R.W. (1978). The immunological response of the rat to infection with <u>T. taeniaeformis</u> V1. The role of Intermediate hypersensitivity in resistance. Immunolgy 34, 565-570.

- 143. Nemeth, I. (1971). Immunological study of rabbit cysticercosis. III. Precipitin response to experimental infection with <u>C. pisisformis</u>. (Bloch 1780). Acta Vet. Acad. Sci. Hung. <u>21</u>, (2-3), 319-331.
- 144. Nemeth, I. (1972). Immunological study of rabbit cysticercosis V. Characterization of the antibody response to experimental infection with <u>C. pisiformis</u>. (Bloch 1980). Acta. Vet. Acad. Sci. Hung. 22, 377-408.
- 145. Nemeth, I. (1973). Immunological study of rabbit cysticercosis VI. Isolation of specific antibodies from serum of rabbit infected with the larval form of <u>Taenia pisiformis</u>. (Bloch 1730) Gmelin 1790. Acta Vet. Acad. Sci. Hung. <u>23</u>, 13-23.
- 146. Newbould, B.B. (1965). Production of allergic encephalomyelitis in rats by injection of spinal cord adjuvant into the inguinal lymph nodes. Immunology 9, 613.
- 147. Norman,L., Sadun,E.H. and Allain,D.S. (1959). A bentonite flocculation test for the diagnosis of hydatid disease in man and animals. Am.J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 8, 46-50.

148. Norman, L. and Kagan, I. G. (1966). Preparation and

evaluation of antigens for use in the serologic diagnosis of human hydatid disease. I. Identification and partial purification of reactive elements in Echinococcus granulosus antigen prepared from sheep hydatid fluid. J. Immunol. 96 814-821.

- 149. Ohira,M (1967). Studies on echinococcosis XIX. Heat stable antigen in cystic fluid of <u>E. multilocularis</u> Jap. J. Vet. Res. <u>15</u>, 86-91.
- 150. Okamato,K. (1968). Effect of neonatal thymectomy on acquired resistance to <u>H. nana</u> in mice. Jap. J. Parasitol. 17, 53-59.
- 151. Okamoto, K. (1970). <u>H. nana</u>: Depression and restoration of acquired immunity in neonatal thymectomized mice. Exp. Parasit. <u>27</u>, 28-32.
- 152. Okamoto,K. and Koizumi,M. (1972). H<u>.</u> nana: Effects of antithymocyte serum on acquired immunity in mice. Exp. Parasit. <u>32</u>, 56.
- 153. Oriol,R., Williams,J.F., Miguela,V., Perez,E. and Oriol,C. (1971). Purification of lipoprotein antigen of <u>Echinococcus granulosus</u> from sheep hydatid fluid. AM. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. <u>20</u>(4), 569-574.
- 154. Pawlowski,Z. (1971). Taenirhynchosis, a progressive zoonoses in Europe. 1st multicollquy of
Parasitology Rennes 1-4 September, 1971.

- 155. Pawlowski,Z. and Schultz,M.G. (1972). <u>Taeniasis</u> and cysticercosis (<u>T. saginata</u>). Advances in Parasitology 10, 269-343.
- 156. Pawlowski,Z., Kozakewicz,B. and Wrobewski,H. (1978). Efficacy of Mebendazole and praziguantel against <u>T. saginata</u> cysticercosis in cattle. Vet. Sci. Comm. 2, (2) 137-139.
- 157. Prector, E.M., Powell, S.J. and Elsdon-Dew, R. (1966). The serological diagnosis of cysticercosis. Ann. Trop. Med. Parasit. 60, 146-151.
- 153. Reiber,S., Anderson,R.I. and Radke,M.G. (1961). Serologic diagnosis of <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> infections. III. Isolation and purification of antigen from adult <u>S. mansoni</u> for complement fixation test. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 10, 351-355.
- 159. Rickard, M.D. and Bell, K.J. (1971a). Immunity produced against <u>T. ovis</u> and <u>T. taeniaeformis</u> infection in lambs and rats following <u>in vivo</u> growth of their larvae in filtration membrane diffusion chamber. J. Parasitol. 57, 571-575.
- 160. Rickard, M.D. and Bell, K.J. (1971b). Induction of immunity of lambs to a larval cestode by diffusable antigens. Nature (Lond.) 232,120.

- 161 Rickard, M.D. and Adolph, A.J. (1976). Vaccination of calves against <u>T. saginata</u> infection using a parasite free vaccine. Vet. Parasitol. <u>1</u> 389-392.
- 162. Rickard, M.D. and Coman, B.J. (1977). Studies on the fate of <u>T. hydatigena</u> and <u>T. ovis</u> larvae in rabbits and cross immunity with <u>T. pisiformis</u> larvae. Int. J. Parasit. 7 257-267.
- 163. Rickard, M.D., Adolph, A.J. and Arundel, J.H. (1977). Vaccination of calves against <u>T. saginata</u> infection using antigens collected in vitro cultivation of larvae. Passive protection via colostrum from vaccinated cows and vaccination of calves protected by maternal antibody. Res. Vet. Sci. 23, 365-367.
- 164. Rydzewski,A.K., Chischolm,E.S. and Kagan,I.G. (1975). Comparison of serologic tests for human cysticercosis by indirect hemagglutination, Indirect immunofluorescent antibody and agar precipitation tests. J. Parasitol. <u>61</u>, (1), 154-155.
- 165. Sadun, E.H., Anderson, R.I. and Williams, J.S.(1962). Fluorescent antibody test for the serological diagnosis of trichinosis. Exp. Parasit. <u>12</u>, 423-433.

- 166. Sadun, E.H., Duxbury, R.E., Williams, J.S. and Anderson. R.I. (1963). Fluorescent antibody test for the diagnosis of African and American trypanosomiasis in man. J. Parasitol. 49, 385-388.
- 167. Salk, J. and Salk, D. (1976). Control of influenza and poliomyelitis with killed virus vaccines. Science 195, 834-847.
- 168. Sargent, J.R. and George, S.G. (1975). In: "Methods in Zone electrophoresis" 3rd edition BDH Chemicals Ltd, Poole, England, Publication pp 131-216.
- 169. Scheidegger, J.J. (1955). Une micromethode de Immuno-electrophrese. Int. Arch. Allergy. 7, 103-110.
- 170. Schoop,H. and Lamina,J. (1970). Untersuchungen zur Frage des Immunologischen Nachweisses einer Zystizerkose am lebenden Tier. J. Mittelung. Die allergische intrakutanprobe Deutsch. Tiertraztl. Wschr. 77, 156-161.
- 171. Schultz, M.G., Hermos, J.A. and Steel, J.H. (1970). Epidemiology of beef tapeworm infection in United States. Publ. Hlth Rep. 85, 169-176.
- 172. Schultz,M.G. (1974). The current status of cysticercosis/Taeniasis in the U.S.A. Abstract of paper presented at WHO/GBA joint consultation meeting on cysticercosis/taeniasis held in-

Neubergerg, Munchen, Germany 22-24 August, 1974.
173. Shultz,R.S. and Ismagilova R.G. (1969). Alma-Ata Izdat. "Kainar" Trudy Kazakh naucho-Issled Vet. Inst. 13. Cited by Enyenihi, U.K. (1974). In paper presented at WHO/GBA joint consultation meeting on cysticercosis/taeniasis held in Neubergerg, Munchen, Germany 21-24 August, 1974.

- 174. Sewell, M.M.H. and Gallie, G.J. (1974). Immunological studies on experimental infection with the larval stages of <u>T. saginata</u>. In: "<u>Parasitic Zoonoses</u>" ed: Soulsby E.J.L. Academic Press New York.pp. 187-193.
- 175. Silverman, P.H. (1955). A technique for studying the <u>in vitro</u> effect of serum on activated taeniid hexacanth embryo. Nature (Lond) <u>176,598-599.</u>
- 176. Silverman, P.H. and Griffiths, R.B. (1955). A review of sewage disposal in Great Britain with special emphasis to epizootiology of <u>C. bovis</u>. Ann. Trop. Med. Parasit. 49, 436-450.
- Sleeman, H.K. (1960). Isolation and study of a specific complement fixing antigen from adult <u>Schistosoma mansioni</u>. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.
   9, 11-17.

1.56

- 178. Sleeman, H.K. (1961). Studies on complement fixing antigens isolated from <u>Trichinella spiralis</u> J. Isolation, purification and evaluation as diagnostic agents. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. <u>10</u>, 821-833.
- 179 Smyth,J.D. (1963). The biology of cestodes life cycle: Tech. Comm. No.34, Commonwealth Beureau of Helminthology. Farnham, Koyal, England: Commonwealth Agriculture Bureaux.
- 180. Smyth, J.D. and Smyth, M.M. (1968). Some aspects of host specificity in <u>E. granulosus</u> Helminthologica. 9, 519-529.
- 181. Stoll, N.R. (1947). This wormy world. J. Parasitol. <u>33</u>, 1-18.
- 182. Soulsby, E.J.L. and Sanquini, T.S. (1974). Comments on immunodiagnostic procedure in bovine cysticercosis: Counter-immunoelectrophoresis as a potential method. Working paper presented to WHO/CBA Joint Consultations on Taeniasis/Cysticercosis Research. Neuberberg, Germany 22-24 August, 1974.

# 183. Sviridenko-Stepankovskaya,L.P. (1972). Izuchenie Effectivnosti Reacii Nepriamoi Gemagglyticatcii S. Ekhinoko Kkovim Diagnostikumom. Parazitiaryne Bolenzi 41, (4) 400-404.

184. Sviridenko-Stepankovskaya,L.P., Konovalova,L.M., Poletaeva,O.G. and Sokolovskaya,O.M. (1972). Dection of group-like antigens in echinococcol fluid extracts of lyophilizate of <u>cysticercus</u> <u>cellulosae</u>, <u>C. bovis</u>, <u>Taeniarhynchus saginatus</u> and <u>A. suum</u>. Med. Parazitol. Parazit. Bolezn. 41, 131-137.

- 185. Tarrant, C.J., Fife, E.H. and Anderson, R.I. (1965).
  Serological characteristics and general chemical nature of the <u>in vitro</u> exoantigen of <u>T. cruzi</u>.
  J. Parasitol. 51, 277-285.
- 186. Taylor, J.M. (1975). Control of Cysticercosis and Echinococcosis and Factors involved in their transmission. Paper presented at FAO/NORAD Cooperative programme 6 NOR/1/1875.
- 187. Thomas, H.C. and Parrott, D.M.V. (1974). The induction of tolerance to soluble protein antigens by oral administration. Immunology. <u>27</u>, 631-639.
- 188. Thornton, H. (1968a). In "Text book of Meat <u>Inspection</u>" 5th edition, Bailliere, Tindall and Cassell Ltd. London p.376.
- 189. Thornton, H. (1968b). Micrograph of Cysticercus bovis. In: "Monnig Helminths Arthropods and protozoa of Domesticated animals" ed. Soulsby,

E.J.L. Baillieve, Tindall and Casseli Ltd. London p.124 plate 1V.

- 190. Trawiniski, A. (1936). Precipitation tests for swine cysticercosis. Zbl Bakt 1, (136), 116-120.
- 191. Trawiniski, A. (J937). Liber Nachweis der leberfaule Millel der frazipitations methode. Zbl Bakt 1, 90-95.
- 192. Tsu, T.T. and Herzenberg, L.A. (1980). Solid-phase radioimmune assays. In: "Selected methods in <u>cellular immunology</u>". eds Mishell, B.B. and Shiigi, S.M., W.H. Freeman San Francisco pp.373.
- 193. Urquhart,G.M., Mulligan,W. and Jennings F.W. (1954). Artificial immunity to <u>Fasciola hepatica</u> in rabbits. I. Some studies with protein antigens of <u>F. hepatica</u> J. Inf. Dis. <u>94</u>, 126-133.
- 194. Urquhart,G.M. (1958). The production of experimental cysticercosis in calves in Kenya. Bull. Epiz. Dis. Afr. 6, 385-392.
- 195. Varela-Diaz,V.M. and Cottorti,E.A. (1974). Limitaciones de la intrademorreaccion de Casoni en el immunodiagnostico de la hidatidosis. Humana. Boletin de la Oficina Sanitaria Panamericana <u>76</u>, 400.
- 196. Van Knapen, F., Fridas, S. and Franchimont, J.A. (1979a). The serodiagnosis of <u>Taenia saginata</u> cysticercosis by means of Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay

(ELISA). Report 131/79 path: Rijksintituut Voor De Volksgezondheid Bilthoven.

- 197. Van Knapen,F., Fridas,S. and Franchimont,J.H. (1979b). The detection of circulating antigens by means of the Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA). Rijksintituut Voor De Volksgezondheid. Bilthoven report 179/79.
- 198. Walther, M. and Grossklaus, D. (1972). Untersuchugen zur Frage de Diagnose der Rinderzystizerkose mit Hilfe der Indirecte Haemegglutination. Zbl. Vet. Med. 19B, 309-319.
- 199. Walther, M. and Koske, J.K. (1979). The efficacy of Praziquantel against <u>T. saginata</u> cysticercosis. In: Naturally infected calves. Tropen. Med. Parasit. 30, 401-403.
- 200. Walker, W.A. and Issalbacher, K.J. (1974). Uptake and transport of macromolecules by the intestines: Possible role in clinical disorders. Gastroenterology <u>67</u>, 531-550.
- 201. Warsaw,A.L., Walker,W.A. and Issalbacher,K.J. (1974). Protein uptake by the intestine: Evidence for absorption of intact macromolecules. Gastroenterology 66, 987-992.

202. Weber,K. and Osborn,M. (1969). The reliability of molecular weight determination by dodecyl sulfate-Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. J. Biol. Chem. 244, (No.16), 4406-4412.

- 203. Weeke,B. (1973). Crossed Immunoelectrophoresis In: "A manual of quantitative immunoelectrophoresis methods and application" eds Axelsen,N.H., Kroll,J. and Weeke,B. Universitetsforlaget Oslo, Bergen, Tromsø. pp.47-56.
- 204. Wilkerhauser, T., Zukovic, M. and Dzakula, N. (1971). <u>Taenia saginata</u> and <u>Taenia hydatigena</u>: Intramuscular vaccination of calves with oncospheres. Expt. Parasit. 30, 36-40.
- 205. Wilkerhauser, T. (1972). Problems and prospects of Immunization of calves against bovine cysticercosis. Acta Parasitologica. Jugoslavia 3, 41-45.
- 206. Wilkerhauser, T., Zukovic, M., Dzakula, N. (1974). A study of active and passive immunization of calves against infections with <u>T. saginata eggs</u>. Immunological, serological. haematological and biochemical investigation. Acta. Parasitologica. Jugoslavica. 5: 87-100.
- 207. Williams, C.A. and Chase, M.W. (1968). Estimation of protein by the Folin-Ciocalteu reaction. In: "Methods in Immunology and Immunochemistry" eds

William, C, A. and Chase, M.W. Vol. II Academic Press New York pp: 273-275.

- 208. Williams, J.F. and Soulsby, E.J.L. (1970). Genusspecific and stage-specific antigens of the development stages of <u>Ascaris suum</u>. J. Parasitol. 56, No.4 Sect. 11, 483-484.
- 209. William, J.F. and Perez-Esandi, M. (1971). Reagenic antibodies in dogs infected with <u>E. granulosus</u>. Immunology 20, 451-455.
- 210 Williams, J.F. (1979). Recent advances in the immunology of cestodes infections. J. Parasitol. <u>65</u>, (3) 337-349.
- 211. Wilson, M., Sulzer, A.J. and Runcik, K. (1970). Malaria antibody patterns as demonstrated by IFA test in U.S.A. servicemen after chemotherapy. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 19, 401-404.

4

212 Zapart, W., Slusarski, W. and Ptasinski, J. (1974). Intradermal test with acid soluble protein fraction of cestodes antigens in the diagnosis of cerebral cysticercosis in man. In: "Parasitic Zoonoses" ed. Soulsby E.J.L. Academic Press New York pp.223-228. APPENDIX

	Antigen coupled to CNBr-Sepharose	Amount by the (1951)	of protein (determined method of Lowry et al.,	Percentage coupl to Sepharose %	ed
1.	Whole bovine serum (pool of randomly selected normal sera)		80 mg protein per ml	90	
2.	Whole goat serum (pool of randomly selected normal sera)		80 mg protein per ml	60	
3.	Preimmune goat serum (No.824)		80 mg protein - 11-	-11-	
4.	Anti-whole goat serum (antisera No.539)		70 mg protein per ml	90	0.0
5.	Fasciola gigantica		2.70 mg protein per ml	82	
6.	Stilesia hepatica		8.84 mg protein per ml	75	
7.	Moniezia spp (ccw origin)		2.30 mg protein per ml	85	

APPENDIX 1a: Immunoadsorbents used in this study:

CNBr Sepharose 4E (Batch No. DF 6993 Pharmacia Fine Chemical AB Uppsala, Sweden) was used in the preparation of all immunoadsorbants.

 $\psi_{i}\psi_{i}=-\psi_{i}\psi_{i}$ 

Red blood cells (rbc's) treated with gluteraldehyde		Number of animals from which the pool was made	Place of origin		
1.	Sheep rbcs	20	Ongata Rongai		
2.	Goat rbcs	30	11		
3.	Cattle rbcs	20	Athi River		
4*	Human P1 red cells	Obtained from Prof. K. Lindqvist	Kabete		

\* Human P1 red cells were not treated with glutaraldehyde.

APPENDIX 22. SCHEME OF ABSORPTION OF ANTISERA

IMMUNOADSORBENT ANTISERA	Whole bovine serum	Whole goat serum	Pre-immune goat serum No. 824	T. gigantica	S. hepatica	M <u>oniezia</u> spp
Antiserum No.10	x	-	-	-	-	-
Antiserum No.118	X	-	-			a manana ang ng ng dang dang dang dang ng n
Antiserum No.835	x	-	-	8465		
Antiserum No.846	X	-	-	_		
Antiserum No.900			-	-		
Antiserum No.824	-		88°			
Antiserum No.888	-	x	-		-	
*Antiserum Na 879	x	X	х	x	X	X
Antiserum No.140	X	-		900	-	-
Antiserum No.154	x		-	_		-
Antiserum No.158	X	-	***	-	-	-
Antiserum No.79	X	ngiti	649		-	_
Antiserum No.146	x		-	-	-	
Antiserum No.878	-			x	x	X

X = Absorbed with the immunoadsorbent

- = not absorbed with that particular immunoadsorbent.
- \* = Before antiserum 879 was absorbed with parasite extracts it was tested in immunodiffusion with the same parasites

#### APPENDIX 2a (Cont'd)

#### SCHEME OF ABSORPTION OF ANTISERA

ANTISERA	Sheep rbcs	Goat rbes	Cattle rbcs	Human rbcs (Pl)	REMARKS OF THE ABSORPTION SCHEME
Antiserum No.10	x	X	х	х	Absorption of anti-bovine components and anti- blood group substances
Antiserum No.118	x	х	x	x	п
Antiserum No.835	x	x	x	х	11
Antiserum No.846	x	x	X	x	11
Antiserum No.900	x	х	x	x	Absorption for anti- blood-group substances
Antiserum No.824	x	X	x	х	11
Antiserum No.888	x	х	X	х	Absorption of anti-goat components and anti-blood group substances
Antiserum No.879	x	X	Х	х	Absorption for anti-allotypes, anti-bovine components and other antibodies which might be present
Antiserum No.140	X	x	x	х	Absorption for anti-bovine components and anti-blood group substances
Antiserum No.154	x	x	Х	х	Absorption for anti-blood group substances
Antıserum No.158	x	x	X	x	Absorption for anti-bovine and anti-blood group substances
Antiserum No.79	х	x	x	x	11
Antiserum No.146	х	x	x	x	11
Antiserum No.878	x	x	x	x	Absorption for anti-blood group substances

Appendix 2b: Scheme of absorption of C. tenuicollis fluid. C. tenuicollis fluid obtained from goat was absorbed with rabbit anti-whole goat scrum immunoadsorbent to remove the host components present in the fluid.

Appendix 3: <u>Buffers and solutions used in the preparation</u> of insoluble immunoadsorbent

3.1 <u>InM hydrochloric acid solution for washing</u> the freeze dried cyanogen bromide activated sepharose 4B.

> 1mM hydrochloric acid solution was prepared by dilution 36% concentrated acid sp. gr. 1.18.

3.2 <u>0.1M sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO3) pH 8.3 with</u> 0.5M sodium chloride (protein coupling buffer).

8.4g of sodium bicarbonate was dissolved into 200 mls of distilled water, the pH was adjusted to 8.3 with 1M Sodium hydroxide **so**lution under magnetic stirring,29.22g of Sodium chloride was added : and stirring continued until the salt had dissolved the pH was checked again. The buffer was then transferred into the volumetric flask and the volume made to 1000 mls.

3.4. 0.1M acetate buffer pH 4 containing 0.5M sodium

chloride (to wash away excess blocking agent)

Acetic	acid5.77g.
Sodium	acetate8.2g.
Sodium	chloride

Dissolved in 1000 mls distilled water.

APPENDIX 4: Reagents and solutions used in the Folin

#### Ciocalteu reaction

4.1. Solution 1

2% sodium carbonate in 0.1N sodium hydroxide.

#### Solution 2:

0.5% Copper sulphate (CuSc4.5H\_0) in 1% sodium tartrate was prepared freshly each day by mixing equal volume of double strength reagents.

#### Solution 3:

Alkaline copper solution was prepared by mixing 50 parts of solution 1 to one part of solution 2.

#### 4.2. Phenol reagent

Commercial Phenol reagent (Fisher Scientific Company, New York) was diluted in the ratio of 5 parts reagent to 4 parts of distilled water (v/v).

#### 4.3. Bovine serum Albumin (BSA)

BSA (No.A-4503, lot No.107C-0307 Fraction V powder Sigma Chemical Company St. Louis USA) was used to prepare the standard curve for determination of protein. APPENDIX 5: SDS-PAGE reagents

5.2.

5.3.

5.1. Gel buffer (0.2M phosphate pH 7.0 with 0.2% SDS)
Sodium bydrogen phosphate 1 hydrate
(NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. H<sub>2</sub>O).....17.64g
di-sodium hydrogen phosphate
(Na<sub>2</sub>HFO<sub>4</sub> anhydrous)......40.89g
Sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS).....4.00g

Dissolve the salts in 1 litre distilled water and adjust the pH to 7.0 (with 0.1N acid or alkali) then make up to 2 L in distilled water. For use in electrophoresis dilute the buffer 1:2 (Buffer : Distilled water).

Dissolve the polyacrylamide reagents into 250 mls of distilled water then filter through Whatman No.1 filter paper to remove the insoluble material.

5.4. <u>0.05% Bromophenol blue</u>
Dissolve 0.01g Bromophenol blue dye into
20 mls distilled water.

- 5.5. <u>44 mM phenlyl methyl sulphonyl fluoride (PMSF)</u>
  C.038 (PMSF) was dissolved into 5ml acetone/
  ethanol to make a 44mM solution.
- 5.7. Gel destaining solution

Glacial	ac	et	ic	a	C	i	d.	*	•	•	٠	•	• •		•	•	•	• •	 •	•	.70	mls
Methanol		• •	• •		•	•	• •	•	•		•		, ,			•	٠	• •	 •	•	200	mls
Distille	d	wa	te	r.			• •		•	e	•	• •	•	8	•		•	• •		•	730	mls

- 5.8. <u>0.02% Ammonium per sulphate analytical grade</u> (Fisher Scientific Company, New York, USA).
  Dossolve 0.12g of ammonium per sulphate into 8 mls of distilled water.
- 5.9. <u>N,N,N,'N- tetramethylenediaming (TEMED)</u> TEMED (Merck, Darmstadt) was used as accelerator of the polymerisation reaction.

5.10. Preparation of SDS-PAGE (gel)

\*

The following proportion of the above mentioned reagents were used

15 mls of gel buffer
13.5 mls of stock acrylamide solution
1.5 mls of ammonium per sulphate
20 ul of TEMED

5.11 Preparation of sample mixture for SDS-PAGE

In the preparation of the samples to be loaded on SDS-PAGE (gels) the following proportion of reagents were used.

Total volume	230.	0 u]
Sample	. 100	ul
0.05% Bromophenol blue dye	10	ul
PMSF	5	ul
B-mercaptoethanol	5	ul
50mml phosphate buffer pH 8.0	50	υl
5% SDS	20	ul
70% glycerol	40	ul

\* If the sample is to be reduced and denaturedB-mercaptoethanol is added in the proportion shown above the whole mixture (230 ul) is then boiled in waterbath for 2 minutes. APPENDIX 6: Isoelectricfocusing solutions and reager15

6.1. Density gradient solutions for use with 1% Ampholine

pH range 3.5-10

All the solutions were prepared according to the manufacturers recommendations (LKB\_Bromma Sweden) LKB 8100-1(110 ml) column was used for all the electrofocusing experiments.

Density Gradient Solutions prepared.	Weight and volumes of solutions used
(a) Dense gradient solution	
Sucrose	27g
Distilled water	31 ml
1% Ampholine(R)	2 ml
Sample	4 ml
Total volume	54 ml
Concentration of sucrose	50% (w/v)
(b) Light Gradient Solution	
Sucrose	2.7 g
Distilled water	48.3 ml
1% Ampholine (R)	O.7 ml
Sample	<b>4</b> m <b>1</b>
Total volume	54 ml
Concentration of sucrose	5% (w/v)
(c) Electrode Solutions	
(i) Cathode at the top of the c	column
Cathode Solution	
1M sodium hydroxide	2.5 ml
Distilled water	7.5 ml
Total volume	10 ml
(ii) Anode Solutions	
1M phosphoric acid(H <sub>2</sub> P	20 <sub>4</sub> ) 4 ml
Distilled water	12 ml
Sucrose	15 g
Total volume	25 ml
Concentration of sucrose	60% (w/v)

Dens prep	sity Gradient Solutions pared	Weights and Volumes of Solutions
(a)	Density Gradient Sulution	8
	Sucrose	27 g
	Distilled water	34 ml
	1% Ampholine	2.0 ml
	Sample	1 ml
	Total volume	54 mls
	Concentration of Sucrose	50% (w/v)
(b)	Light Gradient Solutions	
	Sucrose	2.7 g
	Distilled Water	51.3 ml
	1% Ampholine	0.7 ml
	Sample	1 ml
	Total volume	54 ml
	Concentration of Sucrose	5% (w/v)
(c)	Electrode Solutions	
	(i) Cathode at the top of the column	
	Cathode Solution	
	1M sodium hydroxid	e 2.5 ml
	Distilled water	7.5 ml
	Total volume	10 ml
	Anode Solution	
	1M H PO 4	14 ml
	Distilled water	12 ml
	Sucrose	15 g
	Total volume	25 ml
	Concentration of sucrose	60% (w/v)

6.2. Density gradient solutions for use with 1% Ampholine pH 4-6

AFPENDIX 7. DULBECCO'S PBS USED IN THE RADIOIMMUNOASSAY (RIA)

7.1. Solutions for preparing Dulbecco's PBS

Solu	tion A	
	KCL	2.0 g
	KH2PO4	2.0 g
	NaC1	80.0 g
	Na2HPO4	11.5 g
Solu	tion B	
	CaCl	1 g
or	CaCl <sub>2</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> 0	1.3 g
Solu	tion C	
	MgCl <sub>2</sub>	0.4 g
or	MgCl <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> C	2.5 g

These chemicals were used to make 10 litres of Dulbeco's FBS.

7.2. Radioimmunoassay buffer with 1% normal rabbit serum The radioimmunoassay buffer was made by adding the reagents shown below:

Normal rabbit serum	10 ml
Dulbecco's PBS	990 ml
0.1% Sodium azide	1 g.

7.3. Buffer for dilution of antigen used in RIA Dulbecco's PBS was used to dilute the Antigen 11. APPENDIX 8: List of buffers and solutions used in immunoelectrophoresis and immunodiffusion

- 8.1. <u>Barbital Calcium lactate buffer for immunoelectro-</u> phoresis
- 8.1.1. For electrophoresis chambers

Sodium barbital (Sodium 5.5-diethylbarbiturate)-105.1g. Barbital(5.5 diethylbarbituric acid) - 16.6g. Calcium lactate - 15.2g. This was made into 10 l. of distilled water the pH was adjusted to 8.4 or 8.6.

8.1.2 For preparation of agarose

The above buffer was diluted with distilled water in the ratio 2:1 (2 parts buffer and 1 part water (v/v)

- 8.2. Phosphate buffered saline for preparation of agar gel for immunodiffusion and other uses.
- 8.2.1 Phosphate buffer 0.15M pH 7.4.

di Sodium hydrogen phosphate (anhydrous) 21.2g. This was dissolved in about 800 mls of distilled water; the pH was adjusted to 7.4 by adding dilute hydrochloric acid (1N HCl), the volume was then filled up to 1000 ml in the volumetric flask.

8.2.2 Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4

1 volume of 0.15M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 was added to 9 volumes of saline. (0.9% sodium chloride in distilled water).

APPENDIX 9:	Agar and agarose used in the Immunodiffusion
	and immunoelectrophoresis

9.1. 1% Agar in phosphate buffered saline pH 7.4 for immunodiffusion.

Purified Oxoid Agar2g.Phosphate buffered saline50 mlDistilled water150 mlSodium azide (NaN3)0.02 g0.1% (w/v) sodium azide was added as preservative toto prevent microbial growth on the agar duringimmunodiffusion.

9.2. <u>1% Agarose in barbital lactate buffer with Triton X-100</u> (p-Isooctylphenoxypolyethoxyethanol) for crossed immunoelectrophoresis and immunoelectrophoresis. Litex agarose (Tpye HSA)
2g
Barbital lactate buffer
50 ml
Distilled water
150 ml
Triton X-100
100 ul
0.5% Triton X-100 was added to the agarose and the

antigen before electrophoresis. Triton X-100 is non ionic detergent.

# APPENDIX 10: Protein staining solutions and destaining solutions

10.1 Coomassie Brilliant Blue 250 R solution

Coomassie Brilliant Blue 250R	108	· ·
Ethanol	900	ml
Glacial acetic acid	200	ml
Distilled water	900	m1

 10.1
 Destaining solution/procedure for Coomassie

 Blue staining solution

 Ethanol
 900 ml

 Glacial acetic acid
 200 ml

 Distilled water
 900 ml

10.3	Ponceau S solution	
	Ponceau S	2g
	1M acetic acid	1000 m]
	0.1M socium acetate	1000 ml

10.4. Destaining solution for ponceau S staining procedure 3% (v/v) glacial acetic acid in distilled water. 180

## APPENDIX 11: The amount of protein as determined by the method of Lowry et al., (1951). In different batches of parasites.

# 11.1. Cysticercus bovis

Place of origin (where infected	Batch	Number	Amount of protein in mg/ml				
meat was brought from )		cysts pooled together	Outer fluid	Outer membr- ane	Inner fluid	Inner messbrane.	
Athi River	1	500	1.62	10.09	0.587	12.39	
Athi River	2	81	1.60	11.00	0.572	12.30	
Athi River	3	81	0.690	11.00	0.550	12.40	
Athi River	4	11	1.590	10.00	0.580	12.00	
Athi River	5	9.0	1,560	9.09	0.577	14.01	
Ongata Rongai	6	11	1.500	9.98	0.550	12.11	
Ongata Rongai	7	8.8	2.090	9.88	0.557	12.12	
Ongata Rongai	8	F 2	1.590	9.00	0.555	12.14	
Ongata Rongai	9	ŤE	1.580	10.00	0.550	12.15	
Ongata Rongai	10	11	1.670	10.00	0.580	12.16	
Athi River	11	11	1.500	10.00	0.582	12.10	
Athi River	12	**	1.670	10.00	0.581	12.20	
Athi River	13		1.575	9.82	0.580	12.40	
Athi River	14	**	1.500	9.85	0.580	12.50	
Athi River	15	**	1.620	9.91	0.580	12.78	
Athi River	16	11	1.620	10.09	0.580	12,00	
Athi River	17	9.9	1.500	10.02	0.579	12.82	
Athi River	18	11	1.600	10.07	0.575	12.82	
Athi River	19	11	1.570	9.68	0.578	12.00	
Athi River	20	91	1,575	9.80	0.580	12.02	
Athi River	21	19	1.578	10.00	0.560	12.04	
Athi River	22	11	1.700	10.72	0.580	12.05	
Dagoretti	23	98	1.590	10.07	0.582	12.16	
Dagoretti	24	н	1.550	10.18	0.583	12.17	
Kabete	25	11	1.700	10.20	0.580	12.20	

## APPENDIX 11: The amount of protein as determined by the method of Lowry et al., (1951). In different batches of parasites

11.1 Cysticercus bovis (Cont'd)

Place of origin	Batch	Number	Amoun	t of pro	tein in	mg/ml
(where infected meat was brought from	Number	v of cysts pooled together	Outer fluid	Outer membr- ane	Inner fluid	Inner membr- ane
Ongata Rongai	26	500	1.700	10.10	0.590	12.18
Ongata Rongai	27	11	1.600	10.20	0.550	12.20
Ongata Rongai	28	11	1.556	10.00	0.575	12.40
Ongata Rongai	29	2.5	1.500	10.11	0.581	12.15
Ongata Rongai	30	4.4	1.542	10.32	0.580	12.18
Ongata Rongai	31	4.8	1.550	10.30	0.580	12.16
Athj River	32	9.9	1.200	10.30	0.581	12.17
Athl River	33	11	1.500	10.00	0.581	12.40
Athi River	34	41	1,500	10.00	0.580	12.00
Athi River	35	9.9	1.600	10.00	0.580	12.39
5EAN			1.56	10.03	0.57	12.29
STANDARD DE	EVIATION	1 ±	0.20	0.41	0.01	0.37

Place of origin	Batch Number	Amount of Protein in mg/ml
Kajiado District	1	19.350
Nairobi(Kariobangi)	2	19.347
11	3	20.002
**	4	20.000
**	5	19.000
14	6	19.450
17	7	20.003
11	8	20.004
11	9	20.005
**	10	20.000
11	11	20.000
11	12	19.340
11	13	19.000
*1	14	19.045
11	15	19.000
11	16	19.200
11	17	19.450
11	18	19.354
*1	19	19.340
11	20	19.356
	MEAN	19.51

11.2. Taenia saginata (Crude saline extract)

### 11.3 C. tenuicollis

Place of origin         Batch Number         Source orgsts poole         No.of cysts poole         Amount of protein in mg/ml           Ongata Rongai         1         goat         50         1.144         9.79           "         2         goat         "         0.898         9.70           "         3         goat         "         0.898         9.70           "         3         goat         "         1.056         9.75           "         4         goat         "         1.03         9.00           "         5         goat         "         1.60         9.50           "         7         goat         "         1.60         9.50           "         7         goat         "         1.00         9.50           "         9         sheep         "         0.730         9.00           "         10         sheep         "         0.730         9.00           "         11         goat         "         1.000         9.50           Ongata Rongai         12         "         "         1.000         9.50           "         13         "         "         1.000 <th></th> <th>the second s</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>ingenerative sector in</th> <th></th>		the second s			ingenerative sector in		
Pooled         Fluid         Membrane scolex           Ongata Rongai         1         goat         50         1.144         9.79           "         2         goat         "         0.898         9.70           "         3         goat         "         1.656         9.75           "         4         goat         "         1.03         9.00           "         5         goat         "         1.60         9.50           "         7         goat         "         1.70         10.00           Dandora         8         goat         "         1.89         9.00           "         10         sheep         0.730         9.00           "         13<"	Place of origin	Batch Source Number		No.of cysts	Amount of protein in mg/ml		
Ongata Rongai       1       goat       50       1.144       9.79         "       2       goat       "       0.898       9.70         "       3       goat       "       1.056       9.75         "       4       goat       "       1.056       9.75         "       4       goat       "       1.03       9.00         "       5       goat       "       1.35       9.65         Dagoretti       6       goat       "       1.60       9.50         "       7       goat       "       1.60       9.50         "       7       goat       "       1.70       10.00         Dadora       8       goat       "       1.89       9.00         "       10       sheep       "       0.730       9.00         "       11       goat       "       1.000       9.55         Ongata Rongai       12       "       "       1.000       9.55         "       13       "       "       1.000       9.55         "       14       "       "       1.900       9.00         "       16<	and dealer the second se			pooled	Fluid	Membrane scolex	
"       2       goat       "       0.898       9.70         "       3       goat       "       1.056       9.75         "       4       goat       "       1.03       9.00         "       5       goat       "       1.03       9.00         "       5       goat       "       1.35       9.65         Dagoretti       6       goat       "       1.60       9.50         "       7       goat       "       1.60       9.50         "       7       goat       "       1.60       9.50         "       7       goat       "       1.70       10.00         Dandora       8       goat       "       1.70       10.00         "       10       sheep       "       0.730       9.00         "       11       goat       "       1.000       9.75         "       13       "       "       1.000       9.75         "       14       "       "       1.900       9.00         "       16       "       "       1.700       9.75         "       17       sheep	Ongata Rongai	1	goat	50	1.144	9.79	
"       3       goat       "       1.056       9.75         "       4       goat       "       1.03       9.00         "       5       goat       "       1.35       9.65         Dagoretti       6       goat       "       1.60       9.50         "       7       goat       "       1.60       9.50         "       7       goat       "       1.70       10.00         Dandora       8       goat       "       1.89       9.00         "       9       sheep       "       0.730       9.00         "       10       sheep       "       0.730       9.00         "       11       goat       "       1.000       9.65         Ongata Rongai       12       "       "       1.000       9.50         "       13       "       "       1.000       9.75         "       14       "       "       1.800       9.00         "       16       "       "       1.700       9.75         "       18       "       0.72       9.80         "       19       "       1	*1	2	goat	t r	0.898	9.70	
"       4       goat       "       1.03       9.00         "       5       goat       "       1.35       9.65         Dagoretti       6       goat       "       1.60       9.50         "       7       goat       "       1.70       10.00         Dandora       8       goat       "       1.89       9.00         "       9       sheep       "       0.789       9.65         "       10       sheep       "       0.730       9.00         "       11       goat       "       1.000       9.55         Ongata Rongai       12       "       "       1.000       9.55         "       13       "       "       1.800       9.00         "       13       "       "       1.800       9.00         "       14       "       "       1.800       9.00         "       16       "       "       1.700       9.75         "       17       sheep       0.74       9.00         "       19       "       "       1.73       9.75         "       20       "       "	2.3	3	goat	2.9	1.056	9.75	
"       5       goat       "       1.35       9.65         Dagoretti       6       goat       "       1.60       9.50         "       7       goat       "       1.70       10.00         Dandora       8       goat       "       1.89       9.00         "       9       sheep       "       0.789       9.65         "       10       sheep       "       0.730       9.00         "       10       sheep       "       0.730       9.00         "       10       sheep       "       0.730       9.00         "       11       goat       "       1.000       9.65         Ongata Rongai       12       "       "       1.000       9.50         "       13       "       "       1.000       9.75         "       14       "       "       1.800       9.00         "       15       "       1.900       9.00         "       16       "       1.700       9.75         "       17       sheep       0.72       9.80         "       18       "       0.76       9.50 <td>8.8</td> <td>4</td> <td>goat</td> <td>† F</td> <td>1.03</td> <td>9.00</td>	8.8	4	goat	† F	1.03	9.00	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	¥ 1	5	goat	18	1.35	9.65	
"       7       goat       "       1.70       10.00         Dandora       8       goat       "       1.89       9.00         "       9       sheep       0.789       9.65         "       10       sheep       0.730       9.00         "       10       sheep       0.730       9.00         "       11       goat       "       1.000       9.50         "       13<"       "       1.000       9.75         "       14<"<"       "       1.800       9.00         "       16<"<"       "       1.700       9.75         "       17       sheep<"       0.72       9.80         "       18<"<"       "       0.74       9.00         "       19<"<"       "       1.73       9.75         "       20<"<"       "       1.347       9.449         STANDARD       DEVIA- TION       0.367	Dagoretti	6	goat	11	1.60	9.50	
Dandora       8       goat       "       1.89       9.00         "       9       sheep       "       0.789       9.65         "       10       sheep       "       0.730       9.00         "       11       goat       "       1.000       9.65         Ongata Rongai       12       "       "       1.000       9.50         "       13       "       "       1.000       9.75         "       14       "       "       1.800       9.00         "       16       "       1.900       9.00         "       16       "       1.700       9.75         "       17       sheep       0.72       9.80         "       18       "       0.74       9.00         "       19       "       1.73       9.75         "       20       "       "       1.76       9.50         Goat       MEAN       1.347       9.449         STANDARD       DEVIA- TION       0.367       0.368	5.1	7	goat	1 7	1.70	10.00	
"       9       sheep       "       0.789       9.65         "       10       sheep       "       0.730       9.00         "       11       goat       "       1.000       9.65         Ongata Rongai       12       "       "       1.000       9.50         "       13       "       "       1.000       9.75         "       14       "       "       1.800       9.00         "       16       "       "       1.900       9.75         "       16       "       "       1.700       9.75         "       18       "       0.72       9.80         "       18       "       0.74       9.00         "       19       "       "       1.73       9.75         "       20       "       "       1.76       9.50         Goat       MEAN       1.347       9.449         STANDARD DEVIA-       -       0.367       0.363         Sheep       MEAN       1.078       9.45         Standard Devia-       *       0.517       0.363	Dandora	8	goat	**	1.89	9.00	
"       10       sheep       "       0.730       9.00         "       11       goat       "       1.000       9.65         Ongata Rongai       12       "       "       1.000       9.50         "       13       "       "       1.000       9.75         "       14       "       "       1.000       9.75         "       14       "       "       1.800       9.00         "       15       "       "       1.900       9.00         "       16       "       "       1.700       9.75         "       16       "       "       0.74       9.00         "       18       "       0.74       9.00         "       19       "       1.73       9.75         "       20       "       1.76       9.50         Goat       MEAN       1.347       9.449         STANDARD       DEVIA- TION       0.367       0.368         Sheep       MEAN       1.078       9.45         STANDARD       DEVIA- TION       *       0.517       0.363	9.1	9	sheep	**	0.789	9.65	
"       11       goat       "       1.000       9.65         Ongata Rongai       12       "       "       1.000       9.50         "       13       "       "       1.000       9.75         "       14       "       "       1.000       9.75         "       14       "       "       1.800       9.00         "       15       "       "       1.900       9.00         "       16       "       "       1.700       9.75         "       16       "       "       1.700       9.75         "       17       sheep       0.72       9.80         "       18       "       0.74       9.00         "       19       "       1.73       9.75         "       20       "       1.76       9.50         Goat       MEAN       1.347       9.449         STANDARD       DEVIA- TION       0.367       0.368         Sheep       MEAN       1.078       9.45         STANDARD       DEVIA- TION       0.517       0.363	**	10	sheep	11	0.730	9.00	
Ongata Rongai       12       "       "       1.000       9.50         "       13       "       "       1.000       9.75         "       14       "       "       1.800       9.00         "       15       "       "       1.900       9.00         "       15       "       "       1.900       9.00         "       16       "       "       1.700       9.75         "       16       "       "       1.700       9.75         "       18       "       0.72       9.80         "       19       "       "       1.73       9.75         "       20       "       "       1.76       9.50         Goat       MEAN       1.347       9.449         STANDARD DEVIA- TION       *       0.367       0.368         Sheep       MEAN       1.078       9.45         STANDARD DEVIA- TION       *       0.517       0.363	11	11	goat	77	1.000	9.65	
"       13       "       "       1.000       9.75         "       14       "       "       1.800       9.00         "       15       "       "       1.900       9.00         "       16       "       "       1.700       9.75         "       17       sheep       "       0.72       9.80         "       18       "       "       0.74       9.00         "       19       "       "       1.73       9.75         "       20       "       "       1.76       9.50         Goat       MEAN       1.347       9.449         STANDARD DEVIA- TION       -       0.367       0.368         Sheep       MEAN       1.078       9.45         STANDARD DEVIA- TION       -       0.517       0.363	Ongata Rongai	12	11	9.9	1.000	9.50	
"14""1.8009.00"15""1.9009.00"16""1.7009.75"17sheep"0.729.80"18""0.749.00"19""1.739.75"20""1.769.50GoatMEAN1.3479.449STANDARDDEVIA- TION $\pm$ 0.3670.368SheepMEAN1.0789.45STANDARDDEVIA- TION $\pm$ 0.5170.363		13	11	11	1.000	9.75	
"15""1.900 $9.00$ "16"" $1.700$ $9.75$ "17sheep" $0.72$ $9.80$ "18"" $0.74$ $9.00$ "19"" $1.73$ $9.75$ "20"" $1.76$ $9.50$ GoatMEAN $1.347$ $9.449$ STANDARD DEVIA- TION $\pm$ $0.367$ $0.368$ SheepMEAN $1.078$ $9.45$ STANDARD DEVIA- TION $\pm$ $0.517$ $0.363$	5.8	14	8.5	11	1.800	9.00	
"16""1.700 $9.75$ "17sheep" $0.72$ $9.80$ "18"" $0.74$ $9.00$ "19"" $1.73$ $9.75$ "20"" $1.76$ $9.50$ GoatMEAN1.347 $9.449$ STANDARD DEVIA- TION $0.367$ $0.368$ SheepMEAN $1.078$ $9.45$ Standard DEVIA- TION $1.078$ $9.45$	2.2	15	, u	11	1.900	9.00	
"       17       sheep       " $0.72$ $9.80$ "       18       "       " $0.74$ $9.00$ "       19       "       " $1.73$ $9.75$ "       20       "       " $1.76$ $9.50$ Goat       MEAN $1.347$ $9.449$ STANDARD       DEVIA- TION $0.367$ $0.368$ Sheep       MEAN $1.078$ $9.45$ STANDARD       DEVIA- TION $0.517$ $0.363$	5 G	16	11	11	1.700	9.75	
"       18       "       " $0.74$ $9.00$ "       19       "       " $1.73$ $9.75$ "       20       "       " $1.76$ $9.50$ Goat       MEAN $1.347$ $9.449$ STANDARD       DEVIA- TION $0.367$ $0.368$ Sheep       MEAN $1.078$ $9.45$ STANDARD       DEVIA- TION $0.517$ $0.363$	E F	17	sheep	11	0.72	9.80	
"       19       "       "       1.73       9.75         "       20       "       "       1.73       9.50         Goat       MEAN       1.347       9.449         STANDARD DEVIA- TION       0.367       0.368         Sheep       MEAN       1.078       9.45         STANDARD DEVIA- TION       0.517       0.363	10	18	17	11	0.74	9.00	
"         20         "         1.76         9.50           Goat         MEAN         1.347         9.449           STANDARD DEVIA- TION         50.367         0.368           Sheep         MEAN         1.078         9.45           STANDARD DEVIA- TION         0.517         0.363	5 F	19	11	11	1.73	9.75	
Goat         MEAN         1.347         9.449           STANDARD DEVIA- TION         50.367         0.368           Sheep         MEAN         1.078         9.45           STANDARD DEVIA- TION         0.517         0.363	**	20	11	71	1.76	9.50	
STANDARD DEVIA- TION       +       0.367       0.368         Sheep       MEAN       1.078       9.45         STANDARD DEVIA- TION       +       0.517       0.363	Goat		MEAN		1.347	9.449	
Sheep         MEAN         1.078         9.45           STANDARD DEVIA- TION         *         0.517         0.363			STANDARD TION	DEVIA- +	0.367	0.368	
STANDARD DEVIA- TION <sup>+</sup> 0.517 0.363	Sheep		MEAN		1.078	9.45	
			STAN DARD TION	DEVIA-+	0.517	0.363	

Place of Origin	f	Parasite	Source /	Amount of p	protein in ng/ml
				mg/ml	sd X-sd
Ongata	Rongai	F.gigantica	Goat	2.72	2.71-0.01
	17	<i>4</i> k		2.70	
	9 E 9 E	19	sheep	2.71 2.70	2.71 - 0.01
	77 77	9 P 8 1	cattle	2.81	2.76 + 0.08
Ongata	Rongai	S.hepatica	goat "	8.874 8.810 8.860	8.84-0.04
Dandor: Athi Ri	iver	17 17	sheep	8.700 8.750	8.58-0.18
Orgata	Rongai	Monieza pp	cattle	2.304	2.30+0.001
	11	t1	sheep	8,91	8.91
	11	11	goat	8.16	8.16
Ongata	Rongai	Hvdatid cyst fluid "	goat "	1.76 1.75	1.755-0.007
Athi Ri	ver	42 59	cattle	4.124 4.136	4.13 + 0.008
Dandora "	1	11	sheep	1.755 1.756	1.756-0.001
Ongata	Rongai	Paramphis- tomum pp	goat	12.48	12.48+0.007
	τt	11	-	12.47	
	11	9.0	sheep	10.67	10.67
	11	11	cattle	12.48	12.48
Kabete		<u>C.fascio</u> - laris	Mice	0.0502	0.0502

11.4. Other parasite extracts

UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBA

1.84

Place of origin	Parasite	Source	Amount of protein in mg/ml		
			mg/ml	X-Sd	
Turkana	C.cerebra-				
	lis fluid	goat	2.75	$2.45^{+}_{-9.007}$	
44	8 F	11	2.74		
	Membrane				
	scolex	• 9	3.96	3.96	
Kabete	Trichuris				
	spp	cattle	4.311	2 01 0 71	
Kahete	71	11	4.312	3.81-0.71	
Ongata Rongai	Oesophagos-				
	tomum spp	cattle	8.913		
11	ŦŦ	FT	8.900		
93	17	11	9.000		
Athi River	11	11	9.200		
**	11	**	8.920		
11	†1	11	8.800		
Ongata Rongai	H.contortus	cattle	0.193		
8.8	2.7	12	0.205	0.20-0.01	
11	17	11	0.211		
Turkana	T.hydatigena	Dog	9.273	C 27	
u	11	11	9.273		
Kabete(ILRAD)	T.brucei 221	cattle	7.699	+	
17	19	11	7.698	7.70-0.001	
Kenyatta					
National Hos- pital(Nairobi)	S.mansoni	Man	13.658		
(1	11	**	13.650	13.65-0.01	
Unlands	A cuum	Dia	10 837		
	<u>n. suun</u>	r 1g	10 040		
(Limuru)			10.040	10 04 0 01	
11		.,	10,850	10.84-0.01	
	"		10.831		

# 11.4 Other parasite extracts (cont'd)