



Prevalence and Characterization of *Salmonella* Isolated from Beef in Namibia

Renatus P. Shilangale^{1,2*}, Godwin P. Kaaya³ and Percy M. Chimwamurombe²

¹Central Veterinary Laboratory, Private Bag 13187, Windhoek, Namibia.

²Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Namibia, Private Bag 13301, Windhoek, Namibia.

³School of Biological Sciences, University of Nairobi, P.O.Box 30197-00100, Nairobi, Kenya.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author RPS designed the study, performed the statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors GPK and PMC managed the review of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/EJNFS/2015/17276

Original Research Article

Received 6th March 2015
Accepted 30th May 2015
Published 29th June 2015

ABSTRACT

Aim: The purpose of this research was to determine the prevalence of *Salmonella* in raw beef produced from selected commercial abattoirs in Namibia.

Methodology: A total of 9508 of beef samples from three different types of samples; meat cuts, carcass swabs and meat fluid were collected from the three local abattoirs over a period of two years starting from January 2008 to December 2009. Pre-enrichment for isolation of *Salmonella* was done in Buffered peptone water followed by enrichment in the Rappaport-Vassiliadis and selenite cystine broth. The isolation of *Salmonella* was done on Xylose Lysine Desoxycholate and Brilliant Green agar followed by biochemical confirmation and serotyping according to Kauffman-White scheme.

Results: The overall prevalence of *Salmonella* was 0.85% for beef samples derived from meat cuts, meat fluid and carcass swabs. The prevalence of *Salmonella* in carcass swabs (2.67%) was significantly different ($P = 0.05$) from that of meat cuts (0.50%) and meat fluid (0.43%). No significant difference ($P = 0.05$) on the prevalence of *Salmonella* existed between the meat cuts and meat fluid. A total of 34 different types of *Salmonella* serovars were identified with *S. Chester* being the most frequently isolated serovars ($n = 12$), followed by *S. Reading* and *S. Bredeney* ($n = 6$) and *S. Typhimurium* ($n = 5$).

Conclusions: The prevalence of *Salmonella* in raw beef found in this survey was lower than those observed in Sub Sahara Africa with *S. Chester* being the most prevalent serovar.

*Corresponding author: Email: ShilangaleR@mawf.gov.na;

Keywords: Namibia; *Salmonella*; beef meat cuts; beef carcass swab; beef meat fluid; serovar.

1. INTRODUCTION

Salmonella bacteria cause foodborne illness in humans commonly known as salmonellosis. The outbreaks of salmonellosis are commonly associated with consumption of food of animal origin which is contaminated with *Salmonella* [1,2]. The link between *Salmonella* infections and food consumption is supported by the findings of other researchers elsewhere [3,4]. According to a study in Australia, a wide range of *Salmonella* serovars, all of which have been isolated from humans, were found in both cattle and sheep [5]. In the US and Canada, S. Heidelberg is among the most frequently isolated serovar both in clinical cases of salmonellosis and from retail meats and food animals [6]. Another study on food animals, meat products and slaughterhouses personnel in Ethiopia suggested the likely link of human *Salmonella* infections and food of animal origin [3]. According to the Center for Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC) beef contributed up to 11% of the foodborne outbreaks for the year 2009 – 2010 in the United States [2].

In order to reduce the threat of *Salmonella* to food safety, the sources of contamination need to be identified in the food chain. This is because scientific investigation on the sources and distribution of *Salmonella* contamination in food are a basic step to mitigate the spread of *Salmonella* in food that contributes to food safety [7].

Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) system, a food safety management tool is currently being used in Namibia to manage the risk of pathogens in the abattoirs that produce beef for export. These abattoirs test for *Salmonella* on beef carcasses as part of the quality control criteria in order to meet the stringent export requirements for the European Union, Norway and South Africa. Norway, one of the major markets of Namibia's beef has a zero tolerance policy on *Salmonella* based on the Norwegian National Food Law [8]. Although Namibia has managed to export beef to Norway, there is limited information on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in Namibia. In most of the developing countries including Namibia the lack of epidemiological studies on foodborne diseases are probably due to poor coordination and lack of resources [7].

The purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence and to characterize *Salmonella* in raw beef (meat cuts, carcass swabs and meat fluid) produced from the beef export abattoirs in Namibia.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection

A total of 9508 of beef samples were collected from the three beef export abattoirs over a period of two years starting from January 2008 to December 2009. Three different types of samples were collected; meat cuts, carcass swabs and meat fluid. Of these, 3424 of meat cuts, 1688 of carcass swabs and 4396 of meat fluid samples were collected. Carcass swabs were collected before chilling and meat cuts and meat fluid were collected from the packaged finished product after deboning. Samples were collected by the State veterinary officials stationed at the three abattoirs for routine microbiological analysis using sterile dilution bags and media bottles.

2.2 Sample Collection Techniques

Meat cuts were collected from frozen packaged beef prepared for export to South Africa and the European markets. Approximately 5 g of meat was sampled from 10 randomly selected packages of frozen meat using the excision method. These samples were then pooled together into a sterile stomacher bag to make a total of approximately 50 g samples per bag. For carcass swabs sampling, two gauze swabs (wet and dry) were used to sample the surface area of 100 cm² per site of a carcass of which four sites were sampled. The wet swab was used first then the dry swab to sample the same sampling site. The 8 swabs per carcass were pooled together into a 500 ml media bottle containing 200 ml buffered peptone water (BPW). The four sites sampled were rump, flank, brisket and neck. Meat fluid samples were taken from vacuum packaged deboned beef stored for 24 h at 0 to 2°C. Approximately 10 ml of meat fluid from 10 randomly selected vacuum packed meats was sampled and pooled (approximately 100 ml in total). The sampling was done by draining the meat fluid from the vacuum packaged meat using a sterile needle with a syringe and then pooled into sterile 250 ml media bottles.

Samples were transported to the laboratory for analysis on the same day. During transportation samples were kept at refrigeration temperatures (2 to 8°C) using a cooler box with ice bricks. When received at the laboratory, samples were stored in the refrigerator (1 to 5°C) before the analysis. The isolation of *Salmonella* was done within 24 h from the time when samples were received.

2.3 Isolation and Identification

As a routine microbial analysis, samples collected were analyzed for the presence of *Salmonella* at the Central Veterinary Laboratory of the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry in Windhoek, Namibia. The pre-enrichment stage for all type of samples was done using BPW. For meat cuts, 25 g sample was pre-enriched into 225 ml of buffered peptone water (Merck, Darmstadt) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. For the carcass swabs, 300 ml of BPW (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) at ambient temperature was added into a sample containing 200 ml of BPW in a 500 ml media bottle. The samples were then incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours. For meat fluid, approximately 50 ml of the meat fluid sample was transferred into a 500 ml media bottle. Then 450 ml of BPW (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was added to the sample and incubation was maintained at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours.

Subsequently, 0.1 ml of the pre-enrichment culture was added to 10 ml of Rappaport-Vassiliadis (RV) broth (Merck, Darmstadt) and 10 ml to 100 ml of selenite cystine (SC) broth (Merck, Darmstadt) and incubated for 24 h at 41.5°C and 37°C, respectively. The culture was then streaked onto two selective agar: Xylose Lysine Desoxycholate (XLD) (Merck, Wadeville) and Brilliant Green Agar (BGA) (Scharlau Chemie SA, Barcelona) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The presumptive *Salmonella* colonies were then confirmed serologically and biochemically. On XLD agar, presumptive *Salmonella* colonies appear black or pink with or without black center while on BGA *Salmonella* change the medium from pink to red. For serological confirmation of *Salmonella*, Omnivalent anti-sera (Siemens, Marburg) were used. For biochemical confirmation, the following tests were performed: triple sugar iron reactions, urea production, the Voges-Proskauer reaction, the indole reaction, the lysine decarboxylase reaction and the detection of β -galactosidase.

The confirmed strains of *Salmonella* were then further identified biochemically using miniaturized commercial systems (Vitek-BioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile). Serological identification with commercially available antisera (State Serum Institute, Copenhagen) for detection of somatic and flagellar antigens was performed and the isolates were identified and named in accordance with the Kauffman-White scheme [9]. Further biochemical and serological identification were done at the Istituto 'G. Caporale', Teramo, Italy.

2.4 Data Analysis

The prevalence of *Salmonella* strains was evaluated in terms of percentage of occurrences on the total number of *Salmonella* isolates within a sample type. The differences between observations were analyzed using a Fisher Exact test with the confidence interval of 95%. The differences were considered significant at $P = 0.05$.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The prevalence of *Salmonella* serovars in meat cuts, meat fluid and carcass swabs samples are reported in Tables 1, 2 and 3 respectively. The overall prevalence of *Salmonella* was 0.85% for beef samples derived from meat cuts, meat fluid and carcass swabs.

The prevalence of *Salmonella* in meat cuts ($n = 3424$) was 0.50%, whereas in meat fluid samples ($n = 4396$) the prevalence was 0.43%. The prevalence of *Salmonella* in carcass swabs ($n = 1688$) was 2.67%. The present study found that the prevalence of *Salmonella* in carcass swabs was significantly different ($P = 0.05$) from meat cut and meat fluid. On the other hand, there was no significant difference ($P = 0.05$) on the prevalence of *Salmonella* between meat cuts and meat fluid. This was based on the Fisher's exact test.

The low prevalence of *Salmonella* found in the present study was not comparable to the once reported elsewhere in the Region [3,10,11]. A study done in Ethiopia on the prevalence of *Salmonella* from beef carcasses in abattoirs found the prevalence of *Salmonella* to be 13.3% [10]. Another study in Ethiopia found the prevalence of *Salmonella* contamination in minced beef to be 14.4% [3]. In Botswana, a study done in raw beef sausages found the prevalence of *Salmonella* to be as high as

25.3% [11]. However, the two latter studies were done on naturally contaminated raw beef from retail outlets where the level of bacterial contamination is likely to be higher than the levels at the abattoir due to post handling or processing conditions.

The lower prevalence of *Salmonella* in beef found in the present study could be due to the effective implementation and maintenance of high hygiene standards together with the HACCP system in the abattoirs as part of the EU export requirements. Namibia together with Botswana and Swaziland are the only African countries that have access to the EU beef market where implementation of the HACCP system is mandatory. The EU requires the third countries exporting to the EU to implement the same requirements as the EU Member States. Nevertheless, the differences in the isolation procedures used in the present study could have

resulted to the low isolation rate of *Salmonella* because of the use of SC and RV combination as selective media. According to Hammack et al. [12] findings, the SC broth with a recovery rate of 96% and RV medium with a recovery rate of 90% have less proportion of *Salmonella* recovery as compared to tetrathionate broth (TT) of 97%. This study recommended a combination of RV and TT for recovery of *Salmonella* from food with low microbial load. The recommendation was based on the fact that the selectivity of RV medium and TT broth increase the recovery of *Salmonella* by inhibiting the growth of the competitors in favor of *Salmonella* [12]. Unlike the present study, the study on the natural contaminated carcasses in Ethiopia used a combination of RV and TT as selective media [10]. This could probably have resulted to the higher prevalence of *Salmonella* in the carcass as compared to the present study.

Table 1. Distribution of *Salmonella* serovars isolated in meat cuts and the proportion of positive isolates

<i>Salmonella</i> serotype	Number of positive samples	(%) (N = 17)
S. Schwarzengrund	1	5.88
S. Bredeney	1	5.88
S. Saintpaul	1	5.88
S. Parkroyal	1	5.88
S. Chester	5	29.41
S. Winston	1	5.88
S. Bahrenfeld	1	5.88
<i>Salmonella</i> group K*	1	5.88
S. Dublin	1	5.88
S. Lamberhurst	1	5.88
S. Uganda	1	5.88
S. Anatum	1	5.88
S. Sao	1	5.88
Total	17	100.00

* non-typeable isolates of *Salmonella*

Table 2. Distribution of *Salmonella* serovars isolated in meat fluid and the proportion of positive isolates

<i>Salmonella</i> serotype	Number of positive samples	(%) (N = 19)
S. Braenderup	2	10.53
S. Ball	1	5.26
S. Chester	1	5.26
S. Fischerkietz	1	5.26
S. Cannstatt	2	10.53
S. Petahtikve	1	5.26
S. Bredeney	3	15.79
S. Anatum	3	15.79
<i>Salmonella</i> enterica subsp. <i>salamae</i> *	1	5.26
S. Winston	1	5.26
<i>Salmonella</i> group K*	1	5.26
S. Vaertan	1	5.26
S. Cerro	1	5.26
Total	19	100.00

* non-typeable isolates of *Salmonella*

Table 3. Distribution of *Salmonella* serovars isolated in carcass swabs and the proportion of positive isolates

<i>Salmonella</i> serotype	Number of positive samples	(%) (N = 45)
S. Typhimurium	5	11.11
S. Saint-paul	2	4.44
S. Chester	6	13.33
S. Minnesota	1	2.22
S. Braenderup	3	6.67
S. Parkroyal	1	2.22
S. Djermaia	1	2.22
S. Petahtikve	1	2.22
S. Fischerkietz	1	2.22
S. Kaapstad	1	2.22
<i>Salmonella</i> group I*	1	2.22
S. Cannstatt	1	2.22
S. Reading	6	13.33
S. Bredeney	2	4.44
S. Chichiri	1	2.22
S. Newport	1	2.22
S. Kintambo	1	2.22
S. Banana	1	2.22
<i>Salmonella enterica</i> subsp. <i>salamae</i> *	5	11.11
S. Anatum	1	2.22
<i>Salmonella</i> Group D2*	1	2.22
<i>Salmonella</i> Group C1*	1	2.22
S. Schwarzengrund	1	2.22
Total	45	100.00

*non-typeable isolates of *Salmonella*

The lower prevalence of *Salmonella* in meat cuts and meat fluid as compared to carcass swabs in the present study was probably a result of different handling, sampling techniques used and the processing stage where samples were taken. This is because before packaging the meat had to be trimmed during deboning in order to remove the fat and dry part of the meat from the surface. Trimming the outer layer could have had reduced the population of bacterial contamination on the surface of the final product. Bacterial contamination and growth occurs on the surface of the meat while the inner part of the carcass is usually considered sterile. Furthermore, the lower prevalence of *Salmonella* in vacuum packaged beef could be the result of the preservation conditions which the beef was subjected to. Vacuum packaging has been reported to reduce the population of *Salmonella* stored at 4°C by 1 log cycle in one day [13]. A different study has shown that vacuum packaging could significantly reduce *S. Typhimurium* counts in beef stored at 4°C [14].

In general, a total of 34 different types of *Salmonella* serovars were identified with *S. Chester* being the most frequently isolated with 12 isolates, followed by *S. Reading* and *S. Bredeney* with 6 serovars each and *S. Typhimurium* with 5 isolates. Six strains were

found to be positive for *S. enterica* subsp. *Salamae*, two for *Salmonella* group K, one *Salmonella* Group I, one each for *Salmonella* Group C1 and D2. These groups could not be identified further because they did not express the phase 2 'H' antigens. Nevertheless, there is little information in the Sub Sahara Africa on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in beef from the slaughter houses which can be used to make a comparison with this study. Most of the studies in Sub Sahara Africa are pertaining to clinical cases [5,15,16] and retail markets [3,11]. Unlike Namibia, a study done in Botswana found *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *salamae* II, *S. Thompson* and *S. Anatum* to be the most frequently isolated *Salmonella* strains from different raw meat sausages made from beef with the prevalence of 13.8, 6.2 and 6.2% respectively [11].

Of the four most frequently isolated serovars found in this study, *S. Typhimurium* is the only serovar that is often being reported to be among the most frequently isolated elsewhere as compared to other serovars. A study in Sweden done between 1993 and 1997 on the isolation of *Salmonella* from animals and animal feed reported *S. Typhimurium* to be the second most frequently isolated serotype in cattle [17]. However, 78 of the 115 isolates originated from

infected herds where the remaining isolates were collected at autopsies, sanitary slaughter and surveillance at slaughterhouses. In a different study in Ireland on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in cattle carcass *S. Typhimurium* was the third most frequently isolated serotype after *S. Dublin* and *S. Agona* [18]. In the US, *S. Typhimurium* was the second most common isolate after *S. Montevideo* [19]. In a different study in meat and meat products, *S. Typhimurium* was among the top five most frequently isolated in Algeria [20].

Moreover, the differences on the type of strains found in the present study and those of other studies can probably be due to the differences in the geographical locations where these studies were carried out. This idea may be supported by the findings of other researchers who have suggested that the distribution of different types of *Salmonella* vary based on the geographical location [18,21]. According to Hendriksen et al. [21], the majority of salmonellosis cases in humans are caused by a limited number of *Salmonella* serovars which may vary over time from one country to another. According to McEvoy et al. [18], the higher prevalence in the incidence of *Salmonella* may be among other factors due to geographical variation. These suggestions imply that different types of *Salmonella* serovars may be expected to be more prevalent in this region and Namibia in particular as compared to other regions. Namibia's weather pattern is different from many countries and is among of the driest countries in the world which means it may have different growth conditions when compared to other countries.

Nevertheless, *S. Typhimurium* was the second most frequently isolated serotype in carcass swabs and was among the top four when the three beef products were analyzed together. This observation suggests that *S. Typhimurium* is an important bacterium of public concern in Namibia just as it is in other parts of the world. In the US, 43% of all *Salmonella* isolates from human sources are only from three types of strains; *S. Enteritidis*, *S. Newport* and *S. Typhimurium*, and of which the latter contributes to 11% of all *Salmonella* outbreaks [2]. However, there is no information that can be used to estimate the potential public health threat of *S. Typhimurium* in Namibia.

The most notable *Salmonella* strain in this study was *S. Chester*. Although this strain is infrequently reported in foods worldwide, it is the

most common strain isolated in this study. In a different study by Shilangale et al. [22], *S. Chester* was the most prevalent serovar of *Salmonella* in Namibia in animal feed made from bovine and ovine byproducts. In this study the prevalence of *S. Chester* was found to be as much as 19.7% [22].

Unlike Namibia, in Canada *S. Chester* is considered to be a rare serotype of *Salmonella* with regard to human infections [23]. Despite being considered as a rare serovar in human infections, *S. Chester* can still be one of the *Salmonella* serovars of public concern worldwide due to some few outbreaks which have been reported [24,25]. This is because of its recent links to several salmonellosis outbreaks in North America and Australia. In 2010, *S. Chester* caused a multistate outbreak of human infections in 18 States in the US [24]. In the same year, another outbreak of *S. Chester* in Canada which involved 26 people was due to the consumption of luncheon meat, sausage and hard cheese [25]. Another notable case of *S. Chester* happened in Australia where 36 people of Aboriginal community were infected due to consumption of turtle meat in 1998 [26]. However, there are no clinical records to determine if *S. Chester* is a major cause of foodborne salmonellosis in Namibia apart of being the most frequently isolated strain in beef. Unlike in the developed countries, in Namibia sources of attribution of most of the *Salmonella* infections are unknown because most of these cases are treated based on the clinical diagnosis.

4. CONCLUSION

The prevalence of *Salmonella* in raw beef found in this survey was lower than those observed in Africa with *S. Chester* being the most prevalent serovar. Although the prevalence of *Salmonella* contamination is low in beef in Namibia the prevalence of *Salmonella* in beef suggest that there is still a risk of *Salmonella* infection through beef consumption as well as possible export of *Salmonella* strains to other countries through trade. Because of scant *Salmonella* studies in the Region, the information on the prevalence in the present study may be useful in future epidemiological studies of *Salmonella* in Namibia and in the Region. The information of the present study may further be useful in the beef production in their efforts to improve the hygiene and safety standards in the food chain as part of the requirements to meet the high demanding export requirements.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the work of the Food Hygiene personnel at the Central Veterinary laboratory for microbiological analyses of sample. Further acknowledgement is extended to the Istituto "G. Caporale" of Teramo, Italy for serotyping of *Salmonella*.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Center for Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC). Multistate outbreak of *Salmonella* Typhimurium infections associated with eating ground beef-United States, 2004. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 2006;55:180-182.
2. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR). Surveillance for Foodborne Disease Outbreaks - United States, 2009 – 2010. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2013;62(3):41–47. Available:<http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/wk/mm6203.pdf>
3. Ejeta G, Molla B, Alemayehu D, Muckle A. *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from minced meat beef, mutton and pork in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Revue de Medicine Veterinaire. 2004;155(11):547-551.
4. Vanselow BA, Hornitzky MA, Walker KH, Eamens GJ, Bailey GD, Gill PA, et al. *Salmonella* and on-farm risk factors in healthy slaughter-age cattle and sheep in eastern Australia. Aust Vet Journal. 2007; 85 (12):498-502.
5. Kariuki S, Revathi G, Gakuya F, Yamo V, Muyodi J, Hart A. Lack of clonal relationship between non-typhi *Salmonella* strains types from humans and those from animals living in close contact. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2002;33:165-171.
6. Zhao S, White DG, Friedman SL, Glenn A, Blickenstaff K, Ayers SL, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Heidelberg isolates from retail meats, including poultry, from 2002 to 2006. Appl Env Micro. 2008;74(21): 6656- 6662.
7. Molla B, Alemayehu D, Salah W. Sources and distribution of *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from food animals, slaughterhouse personnel and retail meat products in Ethiopia: 1997-2002. Ethiop J Health Dev. 2003;17(1):63-70.
8. Isakbaeva E, Lindstedt BA, Schimmer B, Vardund T, Stavnes TL, Hauge K, et al. *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT104 outbreak linked to imported minced beef, Norway, October – November 2005. Euro Surveillance. 2005;10(45):2829.
9. Grimont PAD, Weill FX. Antigenic formulae of the *Salmonella* serovars. 9th Edition. WHO collaborating centre for reference and research on *Salmonella*. Institut Pasteur, 28 rue du Dr. Roux, 75724 Paris Cedex 15, France; 2007.
10. Dabassa A, Bacha K. The Prevalence and AntibioGram of *Salmonella* and *Shigella* Isolated from abattoir, Jimma town, South West, Ethiopia. Int J Pharm and Biol Res. 2012;3(4):143-148.
11. Samaxa RG, Matsheka MI, Mpoloka SW, Gashe BA. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Salmonella* Isolated from a variety of raw meat sausages in Gaborone (Botswana) retail stores. J Food Prot. 2012;75(4):637-642.
12. Hammack T, Amaguaña RM, June GA, Sherrod PS, Andrews WH. Relative effectiveness of Selenite Cystine Broth, Tetrathionate Broth, and Rappaport-Vassiliadis medium for the recovery of *Salmonella* spp. from foods with a low microbial load. J Food Prot. 1999;62(1): 16–21.
13. Kim J, Song JB. Effect of vacuum packaging on the microbiological profile of chilled chicken during storage. Agric. Chem. Biotechnol. 2004;47(1):35-37.
14. Tu L, Mustapha A. Reduction of *Brochothrix thermosphacta* and *Salmonella* Serotype Typhimurium on vacuum-packaged fresh beef treated with Nisin and Nisin combined with EDTA. J Food Science. 2002;67(1):302-306.
15. Gordon MA, Graham SM, Walsh AL, Wilson L, Phiri A, Molyneux E, et al. Epidemics of invasive *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis and *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium infection associated with multidrug resistance among adults and children in Malawi. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;46: 963-969.
16. Morpeth SC, Ramadhani HO, Crump JA. Invasive non-Typhi *Salmonella* disease in Africa. Clin Infct Dis. 2009;49:606-611.
17. Boqvist S, Hansson I, Bjerselius UN, Hamilton C, Wahlström H, Noll B, et al.

- Salmonella* Isolated from Animals and Feed Production in Sweden between 1993 and 1997. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica. 2003;44(3-4):181-197.
18. McEvoy JM, Doherty AM, Sheridan JJ, Blair IS, McDowell DA. The prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in bovine faecal, rumen and carcass samples at a commercial abattoir. J Appl Microbiol. 2003;94(4): 693-700.
 19. Schlosser W, Hogue A, Ebel E, Rose B, Umholtz R, Ferris K, et al. Analysis of *Salmonella* serotypes from selected carcasses and raw ground products sampled prior to implementation of the Pathogen Reduction; Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point Final Rule in the US. Int J Food Microbiol. 2000;58:107-111.
 20. Mezali L, Hamdi TM. Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance of *Salmonella* Isolated from Meat and Meat Products in Algiers (Algeria). Foodborne Path and Dis. 2012;9(6):522-529.
 21. Hendriksen RS, Vieira AR, Karlsmose S, Lo Fo Wong DM, Jensen AB, Wegener HC et al. Global monitoring of *Salmonella* serovar distribution from the world health organization global foodborne infections network country data bank: Results of quality assured laboratories from 2001 to 2007. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease. 2011;8:887-900.
 22. Shilangale RP, Di Giannatale E, Chimwamurombe PM, Kaaya GP. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance pattern of *Salmonella* in animal feed produced in Namibia. Vet Ital. 2012;48(2): 125-132.
 23. Taylor J, Galanis E, Wilcott L, Hoang L, Stone J, Ekkert J et al. An outbreak of *Salmonella* Chester infection in Canada: Rare serotype, uncommon exposure, and unusual population demographic facilitate rapid identification of food vehicle. J Food Prot. 2012;75(4):738-742.
 24. Center for Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC). What is Salmonellosis? 2012. Accessed 14 May 2013. Available:<http://www.cdc.gov/salmonella/general/>
 25. Foodborne Illness Outbreak Database (FIOD). Canada Freybe Gourmet Foods, Ltd. and G. Brandt Meat Products Ltd., Head Cheese; 2010. Accessed 14 May 2013. Available:<http://www.outbreakdatabase.com/details/canada-freybe-gourmet-foods-ltd.-and-g.-brandt-meat-products-ltd.-head-cheese-2010/>
 26. O'Glady K, Krause V. An outbreak of salmonellosis linked to a marine turtle. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health. 1999;30(2):324-327.